## STATE OF FLORIDA **BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY**

IN RE: PETITION FOR VARIANCE BY

**LINDA M. SANCHEZ** 

FILED

Deputy Agency Clerk

**Brandon Nichols** 

Date 10/19/2016 File# 2016-08017

VW 2016-194

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO DENY PETITION

Petitioner, Linda M. Sanchez, filed a petition for a permanent variance from the requirements of Rule 61H1-28.0052(1)(b), Florida Administrative Code (FAC), on August 24, 2016. The Notice of the petition appeared in the Florida Administrative Register on August 29, 2016, in Volume 42 Number 168; no comments by interested persons were received. The petition was heard at a duly-noticed public meeting of the Board of Accountancy on September 23, 2016, in Daytona Beach, Florida. At the hearing on this matter, Petitioner was not present and the Board was represented by Mary Ellen Clark. Senior Assistant Attorney General.

## STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

The facts relevant to the petition are as follows:

- Petitioner is an applicant for licensure as a certified public accountant (CPA).
- 2. Rule 61H1-28.0052(1)(b), FAC, provides in part, "candidates must pass all four test sections of the CPA Examination within a rolling eighteen-month period, which begins on the NASBA grade release date for the first test section(s) passed."
- Petitioner passed the REG portion of the CPA examination on August 17, 2009, and credit for that portion of the examination expired on February 17, 2011. Petitioner passed the BEC portion of the CPA examination on February 17, 2010, and credit for that portion of the examination expired on August 17, 2011. Petitioner passed the FAR portion of the examination on September 8, 2014, and credit for that portion of

the examination expired on March 8, 2016. Petitioner passed the AUD portion of the examination on June 15, 2015, and credit for that portion of the examination will expire on December 15, 2016.

- 4. Petitioner has worked in public accounting for over fourteen years. During that time, she studied for the examination but was not able to pass all four parts within the rolling eighteen month window because of the impact of the deaths of her grandfather, father, grandmother and going into pre-term labor during a pregnancy.
- 5. Petitioner seeks a permanent variance from Rule 61H1-28.0052(1)(b), FAC, to allow an extension of 4 years and 4 months, and 3 years and 7 months, beyond the eighteen months provided in the rule to retain the passing scores on the REG and BEC portions of the examination through June 15, 2015, when she passed the fourth portion of the examination.

### **GROUNDS FOR DENIAL**

The Board determined the petition should be denied on the following grounds:

- 6. Petitioner failed to establish that the Board's full application of Rule 61H1-28.0052(1)(b), FAC, to her circumstances would violate principles of fairness.
- 7. Petitioner failed to establish that, if she were granted the variance, the purpose of the accountancy examination statute would be met as required by Section 473.306, FS.

This Order shall become effective upon filing with the Clerk of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.

the Florida Board of Accountancy.

Library A. Kelly, Division Director

## **NOTICE TO APPLICANT**

You may seek review of this Order, pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, by filing a petition with the Division Director of the Board, 240 Northwest 76<sup>th</sup> Drive, Suite A, Gainesville FL 32607, within 21 days of receipt of this Order. If you dispute any material fact upon which the Board's decision is based, you may request a hearing before an administrative law judge pursuant to Section 120.57(1), Florida Statutes; your petition must contain the information required by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, a copy of which is enclosed, including a statement of the material facts which are in dispute. If you do not dispute any material fact, you may request a hearing before the Board pursuant to Section 120.57(2), Florida Statutes; your petition must include the information required by Rule 28-106.301, Florida Administrative Code, a copy of which is enclosed. If you request a hearing, you have the right to be represented by an attorney or other qualified representative, to take testimony, to call or cross-examine witnesses, to have subpoena and subpoena duces tecum issued, and to present written evidence or argument.

Pursuant to Section 120.573, Florida Statutes, you are hereby notified that mediation pursuant to that section is not available.

## **Certificate of Service**



G H	U.S. Postal Service na CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only, No Insurance Coverage Provided)						
7	For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com-						
-4		FICIA	LUSE				
1744 0	Postage	8					
	Certified Fee						
0000	Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		Postmerk Here				
	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)						
1870	Total Postage & Fees	\$	324330				
7010	Junda S	Vanchez	NOID				
20	OF PO, BOX No. 920   SW   DI PAW.						
	City: State, 21748 Miami	Howar .	33/76				
	PS Form 3800, August 26	101	Sine Heve se to multiplicate				

# **ELECTION OF RIGHTS FORM**

## DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & PROFESSIONAL REGULATION BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

Applicant Name: Linda Sanchez Application Number: 324330

Date of Review: September 23, 2016	
I would like to supplement my application. I unde to the Board of Accountancy on or before the twenty-fi of Intent to Deny. I understand also that the Board	PPLEMENT THE APPLICATION  Instand that any additional information must be submitted  rst (21) day from the date on which I received my Notice  will only reconsider its action if the additional material  on. I understand further that my right to have a hearing
I want to have a hearing. If I have also elected hearing will not be scheduled unless and until the Boar IF YOU HAVE ELECTED TO HAVE A HEARING, FITHE TYPE OF HEARING THAT YOU WANT TO HAVE	LORIDA LAW REQUIRES THAT YOU ALSO DECIDE VE. THERE ARE TWO TYPES OF HEARINGS UNDER ER. IF YOU FAIL TO CHOOSE ONE AT THE PRESENT
Florida Statutes. This is a hearing before an independent Administrative Hearings. To avail yourself of this optendent that complies with Section 120.57(1), Florida Statutes petition must specify disputed issues of material fact.	t an evidentiary hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(1), ent Administrative Law Judge assigned by the Division of ion, the law requires that you submit a separate petition, and Rule 28-106.201 Florida Administrative Code. The f you fail to include a separate petition or if that separate .57(1,), F.S. and Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., your request accorded the hearing described under option #2.
Option #2 I do not dispute the material facts an Statutes, and Rule 28-106.301, F.A.C. This is a hearing	nd want a hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(2), Florida g before the Board of Accountancy.
	earing be received by the Board Office on or before the Notice of Intent to Deny. IF IT IS NOT RECEIVED ON UEST FOR A HEARING MUST BE DENIED.
*Please print your name, address and telephone #:	Return this form to:
	Board of Accountancy
	240 Northwest 76 <sup>th</sup> Drive, Suite A
	Gainesville, FL 32607-6635
	Phone: 352-333-3505
	Fax: 352-333-2508

Denise graves@myfloridalicense.com

Att: Denise Graves

## TON FOR VARIANCE FROM RULE 61H1-28.0052(1)(b)

18 Month Rule

FILED

Deputy Agency Clerk **Brandon Nichola** 

Date 8/24/2016

### Petitioner Information:

Name

Linda M. Sanchez

Address

9201 SW 101 Avenue, Miami, FL 33176

Phone Number 305-904-9192

Attorney Information:

Not Applicable

W 2016-194

#### Applicable Portions of the rules:

61H1-28.0052(1)(b) Number of Sittings, and Granting of Credit, Release of Grades and Completion of Examination, Transition Rules.

Candidates must pass all four test sections of the CPA Examination within a rolling eighteen-month period, which begins on the NASBA grade release date for the first test section(s) passed. In the event all four test sections of the CPA Examination are not passed within the rolling eighteen-month period. credit for any test section(s) passed outside the eighteen-month period will expire and that test section(s) must be retaken.

The citation to the statute the rule is implementing:

Section 473,306 - Examination

Type of Action Requested:

The petitioner requests that the Board of Accountancy please waive Rule 61H1-28,0052(1Yb), FAC stated above due to the following circumstances.

Specific fact that demonstrate a violation of the principles of fairness that would justify a variance for the petitioner:

The petitioner has been working in public accounting under the direction of certified public accounting firms for over fourteen years. During this time, she was in pursuit of studying for all four parts of the CPA exam but due to much hardship was not able to pass within the 18-month window. Due to the deaths of her grandfather, father, grandmother and going into pre-term labor, exams were missed and subsequently rescheduled for later dates.

Listed below is a chronological order of pass dates of the CPA exam sections along with a list of dates of the extreme circumstances causing delays in passing the exams.

Section	Abby.	Pass Date(s)
Regulation	REG	8/01/09
Business	BEC	1/20/10
Financial	FAR	5/20/11, 8/24/14
Audit	AUD	6/16/15

Family Member	Relation	Incident Date	Event
Antonio Concepcion	Grandfather	1/05/2011	Date of Death
Rogelio Sanchez	Pather	7/27/2011	Date of Death
Isabel Concepcion	Grandmother	5/04/2015	Date of Death
Skylar Rioseco	Son	1/31/2016	Early Labor (36 Weeks)

The passing of each of the petitioner's family members was extremely hard on her mental state and well-being. Between 2009 and 2011 she was actively pursuing her CPA license by taking each of the exams but the passing of both her grandfather and father was un-expectant and hard to deal with. After the passing of her father in 2011 in particular, it made taking any exam inconceivable as she was mentally incapable of focus and lost sight of her future.

In late 2014, the petitioner was able to gather herself mentally and emotionally and sought out to start her exams once again since she couldn't even bare to look at the copy of her father's death certificate. In the process, her grandmother unfortunately had succumb to illness and passed away adding some unrest with her family. The petitioner took solace her family members are at peace and has continued on her journey in passing her exams but later went into pre-term labor and added more hardship to the exam windows having to be on short-term disability earlier than expectant.

Given the extreme circumstances causing delays in passing the exams, the petitioner would have met the 18 month policy.

The reason why the variance requested would serve the purpose of the underlying statute:

To the petitioner's knowledge, she has met all the education requirements and is of good moral character.

#### Petitioner Statement:

The petitioner seeks a permanent variance from Rule 61H1-28.0052(1)(b), F.AC.