

MINUTES  
BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE AND INTERIOR DESIGN

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL

January 17, 2006  
11:00 A.M. Eastern Time

Toll Free 800.416.4254 or Direct 850.922.2903

**Call to Order**

Mr. Gonzalez, Chair called the meeting to order at 11:09 a.m.

Board Members Present:

Ellis Bullock  
Rick Gonzalez, Chair  
Stephen Schreiber  
Mary Jane Grigsby, Vice-Chair  
Sharon Del Bianco  
Joyce Shore  
Kenneth Hortsmyer  
Garrick Gustafson  
Roymi Membiela

Board Members Absent:

Miguel Rodriguez  
Neil Hall

Others Present:

Mary Ellen Clark, Board Counsel  
David Minacci, Prosecuting Attorney  
Les Smith, Investigator  
Trent Manausa  
Terri Estes, board staff  
Jerry Hicks  
Christopher Craver  
Bradley Doeden  
Laura Ciccone  
Bonnie Lancaster  
Jorge Gamarra  
Carl Abbott  
Paul Pergakis  
Grayson Hudson  
Douglas Root  
GW Harrell  
Fred Dudley

Martin Mullen  
Edward Silva  
Eric Hugger  
Dallas Peacock  
Brian Fredley  
Roman Yurkiewicz

## **Application Review**

### Interior Design

#### Individual

Laura Ciccone

Ms. Ciccone was present. Ms. Grigsby presented that application and commented that Ms. Ciccone did not have the required interior design degree and recommended denial. The board discussed Ms. Ciccone's Bachelor's Degree in Humanities from FSU. Ms. Clark advised that she may meet the educational requirements set forth in 481.209(2)(c), F.S. that allowed for a 3 year interior design curriculum. Ms. Ciccone advised the board that she had additional interior design education from Italy and had passed the NCIDQ examination in October.

Ms. Ciccone requested that her application be continued and she waived her 120.60, F.S. application processing rights to allow time for the additional education information to be reviewed.

Motion: Mr. Bullock moved to continue Ms. Ciccone's application.

Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Certificate of Authorization

Humma White Studio, Inc. dba Lancaster Humma White Studio

Ms. Lancaster was present. Ms. Grigsby presented the application and commented that the company started in 1979 and needed verification of the type of practice performed. Ms. Lancaster commented that interior design licensing was not required in 1979 and that she performed residential work and that the company was a retail store.

Mr. Minacci advised the board that the company was issued a citation for offering interior design services without a certificate of authorization and that they had paid the citation.

Motion: Ms. Grigsby moved to approve both applications.

Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### Architecture

#### Architecture Endorsement

Christopher Craver – state

Mr. Craver was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application, commented that Mr. Craver had a Bachelor of Science in Environmental Design which was not an approved program and recommended denial pursuant to Rule 61G1-13.003(3), F.A.C. Ms. Clark advised the board that

Mr. Craver applied pursuant to Chapter 481.213(3)(b), F.S. and based on Colorado statutes Section 12-4-107(2)(a), the laws were not substantially equivalent to Florida's educational requirements for licensure. Mr. Craver commented that he attended additional schooling but lacked enough credits to graduate with a NAAB accredited Master's degree.

Mr. Clark advised that Mr. Craver needed a 5 year degree. Mr. Gonzalez recommended that Mr. Craver contact FAU in Boca Raton for an avenue to gain the required education for Florida licensure.

Mr. Craver requested to withdraw his application.

Motion: Ms. Membiela moved to accept Mr. Craver's request to withdraw his application.  
Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Bradley Doeden – state

Mr. Doeden was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that he did not meet Florida's educational requirements for licensure and recommended denial. Ms. Clark advised the board that Mr. Doeden applied pursuant to Chapter 481.213(3)(b), F.S. and based on Kansas statutes Section 74-7019(a)(2), it appeared that the laws were not substantially equivalent to Florida's educational requirements for licensure.

Mr. Doeden commented that he had 14 years of experience and requested that the board consider his experience as a substitute for the required education. Ms. Clark advised that the board did not have the authority to grant his request.

Mr. Doeden requested to withdraw his application and thanked the board for their consideration of his application.

Motion: Ms. Membiela moved to accept Mr. Doeden's request to withdraw his application.  
Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

The board advised Mr. Doeden that he could couple with a Florida licensed architect.

Architecture Certificate of Authorization

Architectura Group, Inc.

Mr. Gamarra was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that the application reflected that the firm may have offered services prior to receiving a certificate of authorization. Mr. Gamarra commented that they had offered schematic designs for commercial and residential projects under his personal license. He commented that they applied for the certificate immediately when they were contacted by the client.

Motion: Mr. Schreiber moved to approve the application.  
Second: Ms. Del Bianco seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Carl Abbott FAIA Architect / Planner PA

Mr. Abbott was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that the application was not clear as to whether the business practiced on projects. Mr. Abbott commented that he was not aware that he needed a business license and that he had an attorney file the paperwork to set up his business years ago. He commented that once he realized he needed the business license he applied immediately to correct the matter. Mr. Gonzalez commented that Mr. Abbott brought the matter to the board's attention and that the application was not based on discipline.

Motion: Mr. Bullock moved to approve the application.

Ms. Grigsby commented that she disagreed with the motion.

Motion: Mr. Bullock moved to approve the application.

Second: Mr. Schreiber seconded the motion, the question was called, and the motion failed.

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve the application with a \$500 fine.

Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion, it passed, with Mr. Bullock opposed.

Cooper, Robertson & Partners LLP

No one was present. Mr. Hicks presented that application and commented that the applicant listed projects completed prior to receiving the certificate of authorization.

Motion: Mr. Gustafson moved to approve the application with a \$6,000 fine.

Second: Ms. Del Bianco seconded the motion.

Ms. Clark advised the board that they must be consistent, use the citation rule and that the maximum fine allowed was \$500.

Motion: Mr. Gustafson moved to approve the application with a \$500 fine.

Second: Ms. Del Bianco seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

E.S.A., Inc., Architectural Planning

Mr. Silva was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that the application reflected that they offered services prior to receiving the certificate of authorization. Mr. Silva commented that he received a letter of guidance, a fine a few years ago and had been practicing only under his personal name.

Motion: Mr. Gustafson moved to approve the application.

Second: Mr. Bullock seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Fishbeck, Thompson, Carr & Huber, Inc. dba FTC&H, Inc.

Mr. Huber was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that the application reflected that services were offered prior to receiving the certificate of authorization. Mr. Huber commented that he thought their firm had the required registration but realized they had a business registration for engineering services. He commented that when they realized they needed the architecture registration they immediately made application.

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve the application with a \$500 fine.  
Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve the fictitious name application.  
Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Historical Concepts

No one was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that the application reflected practice prior to receiving the certificate of authorization.

Motion: Mr. Schreiber moved to approve the application with a \$500 fine.  
Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Nodus, LLC

No one was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that he was not familiar with the issue regarding the citation that was issued against the firm. Mr. Minacci commented that a citation was issued, certified mailed and it was returned. Ms. Estes confirmed that she had no contact with the applicant. The board delayed the review of the application.

#### Paul Pergakis Architect, Inc.

Mr. Pergakis was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that the application reflected residential projects listed prior to receiving the certificate of authorization. Mr. Pergakis commented that he created the business for financial and accounting purposes. He commented that he had performed the work primarily under his personal license, they were residential in nature, and because his legal name was in the title of the firm he thought he was in compliance.

Motion: Mr. Schreiber moved to approve the application.  
Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion, it passed with Ms. Del Bianco opposed.

#### WKWW, Inc.

Mr. Hudson was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that the application reflected that the firm offered services prior to receiving the certificate of authorization. Mr. Hudson commented that the firm did not solicit for services and they received work via word of mouth. He commented that the client wanted to begin work on a church right away since they were hit by two hurricanes. He commented they began the paperwork for licensure as soon as they realized they needed the certificate.

Motion: Mr. Bullock moved to approve the application.  
Second: Mr. Schreiber seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### BFY Associates, Inc.

Mr. Yurkiewicz was present and the firm was represented by Fred Dudley and GW Harrell. Mr. Dudley commented that this application was an immediate response to disciplinary action imposed at the November board meeting. Ms. Estes confirmed for the board that the fines and costs were paid in full.

Motion: Mr. Gustafson moved to approve the application.  
Second: Ms. Grigsby seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### BPF Design Incorporated

Mr. Peacock was present and the firm was represented by Fred Dudley and GW Harrell. Mr. Dudley commented that this application was an immediate response to disciplinary action imposed at the November board meeting. He commented that Mr. Fredley was a Florida examination applicant and the firm hired Mr. Peacock which was a corporate Secretary and the qualifier for the firm. Ms. Estes confirmed for the board that the fines and costs were paid in full.

Motion: Mr. Gustafson moved to approve the application.  
Second: Mr. Bullock seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Douglas Root Architects, Inc.

Mr. Hicks commented that the application reflected services offered prior to receiving the certificate of authorization. Ms. Del Bianco commented that there was disciplinary action against the firm in 2001 and wondered why they were now applying for the license.

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve with a \$500 fine.  
Second: Mr. Schreiber seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Nodus, LLC

No one present. Mr. Minacci advised the board that the firm should only have one \$500 citation to pay.

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve for licensure upon payment of the \$500 fine.  
Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Continuing Education Course Review Antonio Cantillo – Self Directed Study

Motion: Ms. Grigsby moved to deny.  
Second: Ms. Del Bianco seconded the motion.

Ms. Clark asked for the statutory rule or provision for denial. Ms. Del Bianco commented that it did not build upon the basic knowledge of architecture and what was submitted was performed on a daily basis. Ms. Clark commented that Rule 61G1-21.003, F.A.C. defined the continuing education handbook and the reasons for denial would need to be noted in the motion. Ms. Estes commented that the course content subject matter may be a reason for denial. Ms. Del Bianco commented that she agreed that the course did not meet the criteria set forth in the course content subject matter. Ms. Clark commented that under self directed study the following were required to be demonstrated, log of learning as maintained for each of the following a-e. She commented that if a log is maintained then the board must demonstrate why they recommend denial.

Ms. Del Bianco commented that the course must build upon the knowledge of architecture. Ms. Estes commented that under optional topics a maximum of 4 hours allowed all subject matter must directly relate to the practice of architecture or the ability to enhance the practice in order to qualify for continuing education credit. Ms. Clark commented that the courses must be deemed creditable by contributing to the proficiency of the registrants following the licensure and such programs are intended to enhance the quality of technical knowledge, fill in voids that may exist, provide knowledge of new technical areas, or provide courses that are focused on practical applications that impact public, health, safety, and welfare.

Mr. Gonzalez commented that he thought learning about the plumbing and mechanical codes would apply for continuing education. Ms. Shore commented that there was not a true log on learning submitted. Mr. Gonzalez commented that he logged the time on his personal stationery and did not feel that he would submit that information without it being accurate.

Motion: Mr. Gustafson moved to approve the request for 4 hours of continuing education.  
Second: Mr. Schreiber seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Richard Krent – Self Study Codes

Ms. Del Bianco commented that the applicant was requesting 12 hours for periodic reviews for reviewing codes that he was required to perform for his daily work. Mr. Gonzalez commented that it was general knowledge that he was requesting credit for. Mr. Bullock commented that this was normal practice for this gentleman.

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to deny because the applicant did not submit a log of learning.  
Second: Mr. Bullock seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **Ratification Lists**

#### Licensure list (faxed/e-mailed)

#### Interior Design applicants by Endorsement Items 1-7

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve items 1-7.  
Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Interior Design Business Items 8-12

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve items 8-12.  
Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Architecture Design Business Name Change Items 13-14

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve items 8-12.

Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Architecture by Endorsement  
Items 15-51

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve items 15-51.

Second: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Architecture Business  
Items 52-66

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve items 52-66.

Second: Mr. Bullock seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Continuing Education Ratification List

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve the list as submitted January 13, 2006.

Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**Discussion/for information**

Rule 61G1-23 Letter from Mary Ellen Clark to Suzanne Printy

Rules 61G1-16.005, 17.001, 23.010

Letter to Mr. Barley

NCIDQ, Minutes from the Board of Directors' Meeting October 2005

NCARB, North American Architects Sign Mutual Recognition Agreement with ACE

NCARB 2006 Joint Regional Meeting (Regions 2 & 3)

Mr. Gonzalez appointed Mr. Bullock and Mr. Schreiber to attend the Joint Regional Meeting.

**Application Review**

Historical Concepts LLC

Mr. Mullin was present. Ms. Estes advised Mr. Mullin that the board reviewed his application previously and approve the application with a \$500 fine as a condition of licensure for practice prior to licensure. Mr. Mullins commented that he did not practice prior to licensure. Mr. Hicks commented that the application reflected that he began offering services in Florida in 2002. Mr. Mullin commented that the practiced under his personal license no under the business license. He commented that Historical Concepts LLC had not practiced or offered services.

Motion: Mr. Gustafson moved to reconsider the application.

Second: Mr. Bullock seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Gustafson moved to approve the application with no fine.

Second: Mr. Bullock seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Board Appointed DCA Accreditors – Thomas Matthias and Stephen Hefner

Newsletter Articles due by January 30, 2006

Mr. Gonzalez commented that he would be submitting an article regarding hurricane initiatives and planning. He requested that Ms. Clark write an article regarding the rule changes, Mr. Bullock write an article on NCARB, Mr. Rodriguez write an architect on AIA, Mr. Schreiber write an article on architecture schools, Ms. Grigsby or Ms. Shore write an article on interior design schools, and Ms. Del Bianco write an article on NCIDQ.

**New Business**

No new business.

**Old Business**

No old business.

**Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.