

MINUTES
BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE AND INTERIOR DESIGN

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL

June 5, 2006

2:00 p.m. Eastern Time

Toll Free 888.461.8118 or Direct 850.414.5775

Call to Order

Mr. Gonzalez, Chair, called the meeting to order at 2:05 p.m. Ms. Chastain advised the board that Mr. Schreiber resigned from the board and accepted a full time teaching position in Massachusetts.

Board members present:

Neil Hall
Rossana Dolan
Rick Gonzalez, Chair
Mary Jane Grigsby
Sharon Del Bianco
Joyce Shore
Kenneth Horstmyer
Roymi Membiela

Board members absent:

Lourdes Solera, excused
Garrick Gustafson, excused

Others present:

Mary Ellen Clark, Board Counsel
David Minacci, Prosecuting Attorney
Juanita Chastain, Executive Director
Terri Estes, Board Staff
Jerry Hicks, Board Consultant
Les Smith
Emory Johnson
John Kranodebski
Michael Wirtz
Teri Moore
Mike Stanfield
John Barley

Application Review

Architecture Endorsement

John Kranodebski – state

Mr. Kranodebski was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that Mr. Kranodebski holds a Bachelor in Architectural Studies from Illinois and it is not a professional degree. He commented that Mr. Kranodebski was licensed in 1992 and did not meet the board's educational requirements for licensure.

Mr. Kranodebski confirmed that Illinois allowed for a Bachelor of Architectural Studies and 4 years of experience to qualify to take the examination. Ms. Clark commented that Mr. Kranodebski applied pursuant to Chapter 481.213(3)(b), Florida Statutes, which requires that the criteria for licensure in another state be substantially equivalent or more stringent than Florida. Ms. Clark commented that Section 1150(2)(c), Illinois Code, allowed for a 4 year degree and 5 years of experience for licensure which is not substantially equivalent or more stringent than Florida. Therefore, he was not eligible for licensure in Florida.

The board referred Mr. Kranodebski to Florida NAAB schools to obtain a 5 year degree to gain licensure in Florida. Mr. Gonzalez commented that Mr. Kranodebski should associate with a Florida licensed architect.

Mr. Kranodebski requested to withdraw his application.

Peter Shawinsky – state

Mr. Shawinsky was not present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that Mr. Shawinsky did not hold an accredited degree and Minnesota allowed for other means of education for licensure. Ms. Clark commented that Minnesota allowed for a NAAB degree or an architectural education equivalent as determined by the board.

Motion: Ms. Grigsby moved to deny based on Minnesota statutes were not substantially equivalent to or more stringent than Florida's at the time of licensure.

Second: Ms. Del Bianco seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Architecture Certificate of Authorization

The Barley Consulting Group, LLP

Mr. Barley was present. Mr. Hicks presented the application and commented that the application reflected practice prior to licensure. Mr. Barley commented that he appeared before the board at the last meeting for a determination if the private provider inspection services he offered need to be licensed with a certificate of authorization and the board determined yes.

Ms. Clark commented that he did not realize he needed a certificate of authorization and therefore the board could impose a \$500 fine. Mr. Barley commented that they did not offer architectural services only private provider inspection and code services.

Ms. Clark commented that the board determined in a declaratory statement to Mr. Barley that he needed a certificate of authorization to offer private provider inspection and code

enforcement services. She commented that based on that determination, Mr. Barley applied for a certificate of authorization and the board should now determine if he was practicing architecture services through the business and approve the application or approve with a fine.

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve the application and impose a \$500 fine.
Second: Ms. Grigsby seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Interior Design

Teri Moore – endorsement

Ms. Moore was present. Mr. Johnson presented the application and commented that Ms. Moore's education was reviewed by Mr. Butler, the board's educational consultant, and it was determined that she did not meet the statutory requirements for licensure. Mr. Johnson commented that Ms. Moore provided additional educational information which he did not feel met the educational requirements. Ms. Clark commented that Ms. Moore's application was denied at the May meeting. She commented that since the notice of intent to deny had not been filed and she requested that the additional information be reconsidered, her application was placed on the agenda. Ms. Clark advised that the board could let the notice of intent to deny stand, or reconsider the denial and approve the application or allow Ms. Moore to withdraw the application.

Ms. Moore commented that she attended an interior design program that was categorized under the architectural program. She commented that her education was in commercial design specialty but the degree was titled Architectural Drafting. She commented that she was NCIDQ qualified.

Ms. Del Bianco commented that Ms. Moore's course descriptions were more dominant in architecture. Mr. Johnson commented that Ms. Moore did not meet the minimum educational requirements. The board referred Ms. Moore to the rules and recommended that she contact a FIDER accredited or equivalent program for additional education.

Ms. Moore asked if the board was provided the course information from Butler University and if it was considered in the review of her education. Ms. Chastain affirmed that it was provided and the Mr. Johnson advised via e-mail that the 15 hours of interior design coursework did not meet the threshold for educational content required in Chapter 481.209(2), Florida Statutes.

Ms. Clark reviewed Ms. Moore's options regarding her application, i.e. notice of intent to deny, reconsider and approve her application with the additional information or allow her to withdraw.

Ms. Moore requested to withdraw her application.

Discussion

Invitation to Negotiate (ITN)

Ms. Chastain advised that the ITN provided was a draft of the new format and needs to be tailored to the board's needs. She commented that the ITN provided did not include the

board's comments from the May meeting. She advised that a new Bureau Chief with Purchasing would be available for an upcoming meeting. She requested that the ITN committee or the full board schedule a telephone conference meeting after June 16, 2006 to allow the new Bureau Chief to attend to define the scope of services and scoring. She advised that she would bring a revised draft to the July meeting.

A telephone conference call was scheduled June 29, 2006 at 2:00 p.m.

Mr. Wirtz commented that the ITN was confusing compared to the first one issued in 2002. Ms. Chastain commented that the format presented was the format that must be used. Mr. Wirtz commented that there were references to the department when the reference should be the board. Ms. Chastain agreed.

Ms. Del Bianco asked why they could not use the ITN that was used in 2002. Ms. Chastain commented that purchasing requirements had changed since then and this was the format that must be used. She advised that the board's specific issues would be outlined in the ITN and the references to the department could be changed.

The committee members asked that the ITN document be sent to them electronically.

Ratification List

Licensure list (faxed/e-mailed)

Interior design applicant by endorsement
Items 1-8

Interior design businesses
Item 9-14

Architect applying for interior design dual
Item 15

Architecture applicants by passage of examination
Items 16-26

Architect by endorsement
Items 27-87

Architecture businesses
Item 88-112

Architecture business name change
Items 113-115

Motion: Ms. Del Bianco moved to approve the list as presented.

Second: Ms. Grigsby seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Discussion/For information

2006 Legislative Language

Ms. Chastain advised that the language passed and was awaiting the Governor's signature. Ms. Clark commented that the board needed to respond to Ms. Printy's concern regarding the responsible supervisory control rule language. She advised that the board would need to direct her on how to proceed.

Motion: Mr. Hall moved to authorize Ms. Clark to respond to Ms. Printy's concerns once the language was signed by the Governor and if the language was not signed then the entire matter would be scheduled for discussion on the July board agenda.

Second: Ms. Del Bianco seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

National Council of Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB) NCARB Resolutions to be acted upon at the 2006 Annual Meeting NCARB Board of Directors Meeting –April 2006

Ms. Chastain requested that the board provide direction on the NCARB Resolutions. She advised that Mr. Gonzalez would be the board's delegate and that she, Mr. Hall, and Ms. Dolan would be attending the meeting. The board voted on the following resolutions.

In favor of Resolution 06-1, Bylaw Amendment – Assuring Confidentiality of the Architectural Registration Examination (ARE) Related Matters

In favor of Resolution 06-2, Bylaw Amendment – Clarifying the Timing for Ascertaining Qualification of Regional Directors

In favor of Resolution 06-3, Rules of Conduct Amendment – Clarifying the Resident Architect Office Requirement

In favor of Resolution 06-4, Bylaw Amendment – Reducing Terms of Office of Secretary and of Treasurer from Two Years to One Year

The board voiced a concern regarding this resolution because of previous lack of budget oversight. Resolution 06-5, Bylaw Amendment – Elimination of the Finance/Management Committee and Transfer of its Duties to the Executive Committee

The board voiced that it was important to have a representative from each region. Resolution 06-6, Bylaw Amendment – Elimination of the Requirement that each Region have a Representative on the Committee on Examination

Not in favor of Resolution 06-7, Model Law Amendment – Conforming Change to Legislative Guideline IV (A): NCARB Certificate Authorizing Reciprocal Registration

In favor of Resolution 06-8, Tri-National Agreement for Mutual Recognition

In favor of Resolution 06-9, Architects Council of Europe Agreement toward Mutual Recognition

In favor of Resolution 06-10, Australia Agreement for Mutual Recognition

In favor of Resolution 06-11, Revisions to NCARB Education Standard

Not in favor of Resolution 06-12, Model Law Amendment – ARE Timing

In favor of Resolution 06-13, Member Board Executive to serve on the Board of Directors

In favor of Resolution 06-14, Revocation of Northern Mariana Islands Council Membership for failure to pay dues

Mr. Gonzalez would be the board's delegate and vote accordingly.

National Council of Interior Design Qualifications (NCIDQ)

NCIDQ – Advisory Letter Ballots

NCIDQ Board of Directors Meeting – February 2006

Ms. Shore advised that NCIDQ held a telephone conference call that she and Ms. Del Bianco attended. She commented that the Nevada Bylaw change they presented was withdrawn until the November meeting for more discussion.

Ms. Del Bianco commented that the Nevada Bylaw change would make a predominant amount of the Board of Directors be required to serve as an official delegate, alternate delegate, or a member of a member board. She commented that the NCIDQ Board of Directors was in favor of the change but wanted to make the Bylaw change a policy. There were some states that were in favor of keeping that requirement a Bylaw. Ms. Del Bianco commented that the board had discussed the issue before and were in favor of it remaining a Bylaw and would co-sponsor the language with Nevada.

Ms. Del Bianco commented that there was a Foundation of Interior Design Education Research (FIDER) name change questionnaire that was not returned to NCIDQ because of the change over from the previous delegate. She commented that it was important that the questionnaire be returned since FIDER was specially referenced in statutes. Ms. Chastain offered to handle the questionnaire for the board.

Review and Approval of Meeting Minutes

May 2-3, 2006 General Business Tampa, Florida

Motion: Ms. Grigsby moved to approve the minutes as presented.

Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

February 23-24, 2006 General Business Fernandina Beach, Florida

Motion: Ms. Grigsby moved to approve the minutes as presented.
Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Portions of the tape were inaudible.

Letter from Jeffrey Huberman
July meeting memo

Ms. Chastain advised the board members that if they wanted to overnight at the upcoming July board meeting they needed to make the request in writing with an explanation and she would submit an exceptions for travel authorization.

New Business

No new business.

Old Business

No old business.

Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 3:20 p.m.