

## **MINUTES**

**Board of Architecture and Interior Design  
Courtyard by Marriott St. Augustine Beach  
605 A1A Beach Boulevard  
St. Augustine Beach, Florida 32080  
(904) 940-3800**

**January 24, 2019  
General Business  
9:00 a.m.**

### **Call to Order**

Mr. Toppe, Chair, called the meeting to order at 9:06 a.m. and a quorum established.

#### **Board Members Present:**

John Ehrig  
Miguel Rodriguez  
Jonathan Toppe, Chair  
G. Steven Jernigan  
Aida Bao-Garciga, Vice- Chair  
Holly Dennis  
Ivette O'Doski  
Timothy Nolan

#### **Board Members Absent:**

Francisco "Frank" Costoya, unexcused  
Dylan Rivers, excused

#### **Others Present:**

Krista Woodard, Executive Director  
Terri McEwen, Government Analyst  
David Minacci, Prosecuting Attorney  
Melissa Minacci, Investigator  
Michael Wirtz  
David Roberts, Esquire, Nortelus Roberts Group, ASID  
Vicki Long, AIA Florida  
Stephen Martin, AIA National  
Wanda Gozdz  
Other Interested Parties

Court Reporter: Volusia Reporting Company, 432 South Beach Street, Daytona Beach, Florida 32114, telephone 386.255.2150.

## Disciplinary Cases

DBPR vs. Harvey Koehnen

Case Number 2018-017104

PCP: Rodriguez, Bao-Garciga, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$1,000.00 fine plus \$172.50 costs, 48 hours of continuing education, suspension until compliance*

The respondent was not present or represented by counsel. Mr. Rodriguez and Ms. Bao-Garciga were recused from the review of the case based on their participation with the Probable Cause Panel. The case was before the board based on a one count administrative complaint for failing to comply with a statutory obligation. The administrative complaint was served by certified mail.

After board discussion, the following motions were made.

Motion: Mr. Ehrig moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Ms. O'Doski seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Ehrig moved that the board impose a \$1,000.00 fine plus \$172.50 costs to be paid within 30 days of the final order, 48 hours of continuing education and suspension until compliance.

Second: Mr. Nolan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

DBPR vs. Richard Larosa

Case Number 2018-018675

PCP: Rodriguez, Bao-Garciga, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$1,000.00 fine plus \$332.25 costs, 48 hours of continuing education, suspension until compliance*

The respondent was not present or represented by counsel. Mr. Rodriguez and Ms. Bao-Garciga were recused from the review of the case based on their participation with the Probable Cause Panel. The case was before the board based on a one count administrative complaint for failing to comply with a statutory obligation. The administrative complaint was served by certified mail.

After board discussion, the following motions were made.

Motion: Mr. Nolan moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board

adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Nolan moved that the board impose a \$1,000.00 fine plus \$332.25 costs to be paid within 30 days of the final order, 48 hours of continuing education and suspension until compliance.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

DBPR vs. Steven M. Lawler

Case Number 2017-055532

PCP: Hall, Rodriguez, Shore, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$547.50 costs, suspension until compliance*

The respondent was not present or represented by counsel. Mr. Rodriguez was recused from the review of the case based on his participation with the Probable Cause Panel.

The case was before the board based on a one count administrative complaint for failing to comply with a lawful order of the board entered May 5, 2017. The administrative complaint was served by certified mail.

After board discussion, the following motions were made.

Motion: Mr. Ehrig moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Ehrig moved that the board impose \$547.50 costs and suspension of the license until compliance with the order entered May 5, 2017.

Second: Ms. O'Doski seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

DBPR vs. David Perkins

Case Number 2018-017091

PCP: Rodriguez, Bao-Garciga, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$1,000.00 fine and \$232.50 costs, 48 hours of continuing education, suspension until compliance*

The respondent was not present or represented by counsel. Mr. Rodriguez and Ms. Bao-Garciga were recused from the review of the case based on their participation with the Probable Cause Panel. The case was before the board based on a one count administrative complaint for failing to comply with a statutory obligation. The administrative complaint was hand served.

After board discussion, the following motions were made.

Motion: Mr. Nolan moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Nolan moved that the board impose a \$1,000.00 fine plus \$232.50 costs to be paid within 30 days of the final order, 48 hours of continuing education and suspension until compliance.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

DBPR vs. Gerald Todd Perrodin

Case Number 2018-000842

PCP: Rodriguez, Bao-Garciga, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$250.00 fine plus \$1,080.00 costs, 12.75 hours of continuing education within 150 days of final order*

The respondent was not present or represented by counsel. Mr. Rodriguez and Ms. Bao-Garciga were recused from the review of the case based on their participation with the Probable Cause Panel. The case was before the board based on a one count administrative complaint for failing to comply with a statutory obligation. Mr. Minacci provided a settlement stipulation for the board to consider. The stipulation reflected the panel's recommendation.

After board discussion, the following motion was made.

Motion: Mr. Ehrig moved that the board approve the settlement stipulation as presented.

Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

DBPR vs. Cathleen Saraduke

Case Number 2018-019685

PCP: Rodriguez, Bao-Garciga, and Rivers

*PCP: \$1,000.00 fine plus \$135.00 costs, 40 hours of continuing education, suspension until compliance*

The respondent was not present or represented by counsel. However, Ms. Saraduke provided an e-mail for the board's consideration. Mr. Rodriguez and Ms. Bao-Garciga were recused from the review of the case based on their participation with the Probable Cause Panel. The case was before the board based on a one count administrative complaint for failing to comply with a statutory obligation. The administrative complaint was hand served.

After board discussion, the following motions were made.

Motion: Ms. O'Doski moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Mr. Nolan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Nolan moved that the board impose \$135.00 costs to be paid within 30 days of the final order, 40 hours of continuing education and suspension until compliance.

Second: Mr. Jernigan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

DBPR vs. Rodrigo H. Cadavid

Case Number 2015-037503

PCP: Hall, Rodriguez, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$1,500.00 fine plus \$872.50 costs*

The respondent was not present or represented by counsel. Mr. Rodriguez was recused from the review of the case based on his participation with the Probable Cause Panel.

The case was before the board based on a one count administrative complaint for offering architectural services without a license. The administrative complaint was hand served.

After board discussion, the following motions were made.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the board impose \$1,500.00 fine plus \$872.50 cost to be paid within 30 days of the final order.

Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

DBPR vs. Adriana Cifuentes and Marquis Design Corporation

Case Numbers 2017-058051 and 2017-058058

PCP: Hall, Rodriguez, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$1,500.00 fine plus \$2,160.00 costs*

The respondent was not present or represented by counsel. Mr. Rodriguez was recused from the review of the case based on his participation with the Probable Cause Panel.

The case was before the board based on a two count administrative complaint for practicing interior design without a license and offering interior design services through a business entity without a certificate of authorization. The administrative complaint was served by publication in the Tampa Bay Business Journal.

After board discussion, the following motion was made.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved to dismiss the case.  
Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion.

After further discussion, the motion and second was withdrawn.

The board made the following motions.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Ms. O'Doski seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the board impose a \$1,500.00 fine plus \$2,160.00 costs to be paid within 30 days of the final order.

Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

*Settlement Stipulations - Cases marked with an asterisk reflect the Probable Cause Panel's Recommendation.*

DBPR vs. Phillip Charles Pecord \*  
Case Number 2018-021012  
PCP: Hall, Rodriguez, Shore, and Rivers  
*PCP Recommendation: Reprimand plus \$982.50 costs*

DBPR vs. Rui Ponte \*  
Case Number 2018-019420  
PCP: Rodriguez, Bao-Garciga, and Rivers  
*PCP Recommendation: \$750.00 fine plus \$75.00 costs, 33.5 hours of continuing education within 150 days of the final order*

DBPR vs. Charles Keith Summerour \*  
Case Number 2018-016928  
PCP: Rodriguez, Bao-Garciga, and Rivers  
*PCP Recommendation: \$1,000.00 fine plus \$225.00 costs, 46 hours of continuing education within 180 days of the final order*

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the board approve the settlement stipulations as presented.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### Voluntary Relinquishment

DBPR vs. Stephen Jones  
Case Number 2018-000838

DBPR vs. Barbara Walker  
Case Number 2018-019691

Motion: Ms. Bao-Garciga moved that the board approve the voluntary relinquishments as presented.  
Second: Mr. Nolan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **Application Review**

Felicia Gondomulia – Interior Design Endorsement  
Ms. Gondomulia was not presented or represented by counsel but submitted an e-mail for the board to consider. The board's consultant, Mr. Butler, reviewed the application and recommended denial based on the lack of interior design education as required by statute and rule. After board discussion, the following motion was made.

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved to deny the application based on the lack of the required interior design education.  
Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **Request for Extension to Complete Continuing Education**

Kenneth A. Strong  
Mr. Strong requested an extension due to medical reasons. After board discussion, the following motion was made.

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board grant an extension until December 31, 2019 to comply with the continuing education requirements.  
Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Robert Koger  
Mr. Koger requested an extension due to personal hardship. After board discussion, the following motion was made.

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board grant an extension for 60 days from today's meeting to comply with the continuing education requirements.  
Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion

Sheryl Cucchiella  
Ms. Cucchiella requested an extension due to personal hardship. After board discussion, the following motion was made.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the board grant an extension for 60 days from February 28, 2019 to comply with the continuing education requirements.  
Second: Mr. Nolan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **Review and Approval of Meeting Minutes**

October 18, 2018 – Probable Cause Panel, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board approve the Probable Cause Panel meeting minutes as presented.

Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

October 19, 2018 – General Business, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board approve the General Business meeting minutes as presented.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **Ratification Lists**

Licensure

*Architect license by Examination, Architect license by NCARB Examination, Architect license by NCARB Endorsement, Architect license by State Endorsement, Architect license by Direct Endorsement, Architect by Initial from Null and Void/Hardhip, Architect Business by Corporation, Fictitious Name, Partnership, and Limited Liability Corporation, Architect Business Name Change, Interior Designer license by Exam, Interior Designer by Endorsement, Interior Designer by Dual License, Interior Design Business by Corporation and Limited Liability Corporation, Interior Design Business by Dual Licensure, and Interior Design Business Name Change.*

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board approve the licensure ratification list as presented.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Continuing Education

*A Builders License Training Institute, Allen M. Weiss-Sesco Lighting, Bliss & Nyitray, Inc., Boss Construction School, Design Arts Seminars, Florida Educational Facilities Planners Association, FRS Educational and Research Foundation, Halfmoon Education, Inc., J.S. Held, LLC, JC Code & Construction Consultants, Inc., and the University of Florida Program for Resource Efficient Communities.*

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board approve the continuing education ratification list as presented.

Second: Mr. Jernigan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

## Disciplinary Cases

DBPR vs. Nu Living Development Group, Inc. and Maria Matilde Rodriguez

Case Number 2017-030799

PCP: Hall, Smith, Rodriguez, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$3,000.00 fine plus \$1,608.15 costs*

Ms. Rodriguez was present and sworn in by the court reporter. Mr. Rodriguez was recused from the review of the case based on his participation with the Probable Cause Panel. The case was before the board based on a two count administrative complaint for practicing architecture without a license and offering architecture through a business entity without a certificate of authorization. The administrative complaint was hand served.

After board discussion, the following motions were made.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the board impose \$3,000.00 fine plus \$1,608.15 costs to be paid within 30 days of the final order.

Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

DBPR vs. Maria Matilde Rodriguez

Case Number 2017-011062

PCP: Hall, Rodriguez, and Rivers

*PCP Recommendation: \$2,500.00 fine plus \$4,462.50 costs*

Ms. Rodriguez was present and sworn in by the court reporter. Mr. Rodriguez was recused from the review of the case based on his participation with the Probable Cause Panel. The case was before the board based on a one count administrative complaint using the title architect without a license. The administrative complaint was hand served. Ms. Rodriguez requested a formal hearing and did not respond to request for admissions. The Division of Administrative Hearings relinquished jurisdiction to the board.

After board discussion, the following motions were made.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the administrative complaint was properly served upon the respondent and that the respondent waived the right to dispute the material facts by failure to timely respond thereto, and that the board

adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan moved that the board impose \$2,500.00 fine plus \$4,462.50 costs to be paid within 30 days of the final order.

Second: Mr. Nolan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

## Rules Report and Discussion

### Rule 61G1-16.003, Use of Seal

#### **61G1-16.003 Use of Seal.**

(1) The personal seal, signature and date of the architect or interior designer shall appear on all architectural or interior design documents to be filed for public record and shall be construed to obligate his partners or his corporation. A corporate seal alone is insufficient. Documents shall be signed personally and sealed by the responsible architect or interior designer. Final official record documents (not tracings, etc.) shall be so signed. The signing and sealing of the specification index sheets shall be considered adequate. All drawing sheets and pages shall be so signed and sealed. An architect or interior designer shall not affix, or permit to be affixed, his seal or name to any plan, specifications, drawings, or other related document which was not prepared by him or under his responsible supervising control as provided in Rule Chapter 61G1-23, F.A.C. An architect or interior designer shall not use his seal or do any other act as an architect or interior designer unless holding at the time a certificate of registration and all required renewals thereof.

(2) When utilizing Electronially Transmitted Plans, which are Digitally Signed and Sealed pursuant to the provisions of 61G1-16.005, and when all the transmitted drawings are transmitted as a single-bound and secured set, it shall be sufficient for the architect or interior designer to apply their digital signature and seal to the Cover or First Sheet of that bound set, as long as an accurate Index of Drawings, listing all the architectural or interior design sheets, with their sheet numbers and latest revised date, which are intended to be signed and sealed is included within that Cover or First Sheet.

*Specific Authority 481.2055, 481.221 FS. Law Implemented 481.221, 481.225(1)(e), (g), (j), 481.2251(1)(g), (h), (i) FS. History—New 12-23-79, Formerly 21B-16.03, Amended 7-27-89, Formerly 21B-16.003, Amended 11-21-94, 4-18-00.*

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board notice for development Rule 61G1-16.003, F.A.C., as revised and presented.

Second: Mr. Jernigan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the proposed rule would not have an adverse impact on small business or be likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs to any entity including government in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in Florida within 1 year after the implementation of the rule.

Second: Mr. Ehrig seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved the rule would be considered a minor violation.  
Second: Mr. Jernigan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

The board discussed the need to update rule language regarding responsible supervisory control.

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board notice for rule development Rule 61G1-23, F.A.C. in its entirety.  
Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

The board discussed the need to update the rules and handbooks to clarify health, safety, and welfare definition.

Rule 61G1-21, Continuing Education Interior Designers Handbook

Motion: Mr. Ehrig moved that the board notice for development Rule 61G1-21, F.A.C.  
Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Rule 61G1-24, Continuing Education Architects Handbook

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez moved that the board notice for development Rule 61G1-24, F.A.C.  
Second: Mr. Jernigan seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Continuing Education Rule Examples

Construction Rule 61G4-18, F.A.C.

Building Code Administrators and Inspectors Rule 61G19-9, F.A.C.

NCARB HSW

AIA National Presentation – Stephen Martin

AIA Power Point

AIA Standards for Continuing Education

Mr. Martin provided a history of how AIA National continuing education evolved and advised that 18 hours are required annually of which 12 hours must be health, safety, and welfare for membership. Their health, safety, and welfare definition is in sync with NCARBs HSW definition. The board was provided the updated AIA National CE requirements as of January 1, 2019. AIA performs provider and course audits to address concerns.

## **Discussion and Correspondence**

NCARB – Member Board Chairs and Member Board Executive Summit

Mr. Toppe reported that the meeting was informative and that high priority topics were incidental practice, advocacy, legislative activity, communication strategies, educating code officials, responsible control, and technology in practice.

#### Federal Trade Commission Report

Promoting Competition in Professional Services: FTC Perspectives – David Minacci

Mr. Minacci briefly reviewed the materials and reported that he was confident that the board is following the law. He reported that at the CIDQ meeting he learned that licensure benefits minorities.

#### NCARB Continuing Education Guideline Comment Period

Board members should provide comments directly to Ms. Woodard.

#### NCARB Revised Policy on Access to Electronic Portfolio Submissions

For information only.

### **Reports**

#### Board Chair – Jonathan Toppe

Mr. Toppe reported that Mr. Rodriguez was a candidate for NCARB Region 3 Vice President.

#### Executive Director's Report – Krista Woodard

Operating Account ending June 30, 2018

Unlicensed Activity ending June 30, 2018

Ms. Woodard reported that the board was in good financial shape. Governor DeSantis sent an e-mail to all of profession's board members inviting them to a "Deregathon" meeting at Valencia College East Campus, Bldg 6, Orlando, Florida on January 31, 2019 from 9:00 a.m. until 4:00 p.m.

#### AIA Florida – Vicki Long

Ms. Long reported that they were preparing for Legislative Session, they continue to work on the new Building Code adoption and implementation, and they were offering continuing education for the upcoming renewal.

#### IDAF – Cynthia David

No one present.

#### ASID – Dave Roberts

Mr. Roberts reported that they would attend the "Deregathon" meeting and support the interior design profession.

#### Prosecuting Attorney's Report – David K. Minacci

Prosecutor's Case List Licensed/Unlicensed Cases

Investigator's Case List Licensed/Unlicensed Cases

Fines Chart – Summary  
Fines Chart – Unlicensed  
Fines Chart – Licensed  
Billable Hours – September 2018  
Billable Hours – October 2018  
Billable Hours – November 2018  
Results of the October 2018 Board Meeting

For information purposes.

## **Elections**

Chair

Motion: Mr. Rodriguez nominated Ms. Bao-Garciga as Chair.  
Second: Ms. O'Doski seconded the motion.

Motion: Mr. Jernigan nominated Mr. Ehrig as Chair.  
Second: Mr. Toppe seconded the motion.

After discussion, the board voted to elect Ms. Bao-Garciga as Chair.

Vice-Chair

Motion: Ms. Bao-Garciga nominated Mr. Ehrig as Vice-Chair.  
Second: Ms. O'Doski seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

## **Future Board Meeting Dates and Locations**

St. Petersburg area  
April 17, 2019, 9:00 a.m. Probable Cause Panel  
April 18-19, 2019, 9:00 a.m. General Business  
(consider changing dates)

The meeting dates were changed to May 16, 2019 Probable Cause Panel and May 17, 2019 General Business.

JW Marriott Orlando, Grande Lakes  
July 21, 2019, 9:00 a.m. Probable Cause Panel  
July 22-23, 2019, 9:00 a.m. General Business

The meeting dates were changed for October 24, 2019 Probable Cause Panel and October 25, 2019 General Business.

## **New Business**

The board discussed focusing on the needs of the professions for future meetings.

**Old Business**

No old business.

**Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

**General Business**  
**January 24, 2019**  
**2:30 p.m.**

**Call to Order**

Mr. Toppe, Chair, called the meeting to order at 2:32 p.m. and a quorum established.

**Board Members Present:**

John Ehrig  
Miguel Rodriguez  
Jonathan Toppe, Chair  
Aida Bao-Garciga, Vice- Chair  
Holly Dennis  
Ivette O'Doski  
Timothy Nolan

**Board Members Absent:**

Francisco "Frank" Costoya, unexcused  
Dylan Rivers, excused  
G. Steven Jernigan, excused

**Others Present:**

Krista Woodard, Executive Director  
Terri McEwen, Government Analyst  
David Minacci, Prosecuting Attorney  
Melissa Minacci, Investigator  
David Roberts, Esquire, Nortelus Roberts Group, ASID  
Wanda Gozdz  
Other Interested Parties

Court Reporter: Volusia Reporting Company, 432 South Beach Street, Daytona Beach, Florida 32114, telephone 386.255.2150.

**Discussion**

The board discussed prior efforts to reduce burdensome regulation, unlicensed activity, and the current number of out of state licensed professionals.

The board reviewed and discussed the statutes and rules that could be changed to be less burdensome.

- Remove the certificate of authorization requirement
- Accept an architecture degree for interior design licensure
- Remove the Florida Building Code 2 hour advanced requirement

- Review the supervisory control rules to bring them current with today's technology requirements

The meeting adjourned at 3:33 p.m.