

1 MINUTES
2 BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE AND INTERIOR DESIGN

3
4 TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL

5 August 30, 2011
6 2:00 p.m. Eastern Time

7
8 Toll Free 888.808.6959 conference code 4879597

9
10 **Call to Order**

11
12 Mr. Ehrig, Chair, called the meeting to order at 2:03 p.m. The roll was called and a quorum
13 established.

14
15 Board Members Present:

16 John Ehrig, Chair
17 E. Wendell Hall
18 Warren Emo
19 Aida Bao-Garciga
20 Joyce Shore
21 J. Emory Johnson
22 Wanda Gozdz

23
24 Others Present:

25 Mary Ellen Clark, Board Counsel
26 David Minacci, Prosecuting Attorney
27 Susan Morgan, IDAF
28 Vicki Long, AIA Florida
29 William Campbell
30 Stephanie Sipp, Florida Community College at Jacksonville
31 Charles Sacco
32 Frank Gramieri

33
34 **Application Review**

35
36 William Campbell – architect state endorsement

37 Mr. Campbell was present. The application was before the board based on an affirmative
38 answer to a background question. Mr. Campbell met the requirements for licensure. After
39 discussion the following motion was made.

40
41 Motion: Mr. Hall moved that the board approve the application as presented.

42 Second: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

43
44 Charles Sacco – architect state endorsement 481.213(3) and 481.209(1)

45 Mr. Sacco was present. The application was before the board for an education review. Mr.
46 Sacco does not have a Bachelor or Masters degree in architecture. He holds an Associate of

47 Construction Technology. As noted in a letter from New York; New York has always had an
48 alternate path to licensure and allows for a combination of education and experience.
49

50 Mr. Hicks reviewed the application and recommended denial, based on the fact that the
51 licensure criterion in New York was not substantially equivalent to the licensure criteria that
52 existed in Florida at the time of licensure.
53

54 After discussions Mr. Sacco withdrew his application.
55

56 Frank Gramieri – interior design state endorsement 481.213(3)(b) and 481.209(2)
57 Mr. Gramieri was present. The application was before the board for an education review. Mr.
58 Gramieri does not have a degree in interior design. He holds a Bachelor of Professional
59 Studies in Architecture.
60

61 Mr. Butler reviewed the application and recommended denial, based on the fact that the
62 licensure criterion in New Jersey was not substantially equivalent to the licensure criteria that
63 existed in Florida at the time of licensure. Mr. Gramieri does not have a degree in interior
64 design and does not meet the minimum interior design education requirements by statute or
65 rule.
66

67 Mr. Gramieri was initially licensed in New Jersey March 9, 2007, through the certification
68 process without a written examination (grandfathering provision). However, he took and
69 passed the NCIDQ examination October 4, 2008.
70

71 After discussions Mr. Gramieri withdrew his application.
72

73 **Discussion**

74
75 Lawrence Reznik's request to remove disciplinary posting from web
76 The disciplinary case was for an unlicensed activity case where the case was closed based on
77 the respondent signing a cease and desist order.
78

79 Motion: Mr. Emo moved that the board retain his information on the web page and deny
80 his request.

81 Second: Ms. Bao-Garciga seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.
82

83 Proposed rule language for Rule 61G1-11.005
84

85 Motion: Mr. Hall moved that Ms. Clark notice Rule 61G1-11.005 for development with
86 text.

87 Second: Mr. Emo seconded the motion, it passed with Mr. Johnson opposed.
88

89 Ms. Clark requested that the board determine the following: will the proposed rule amendment
90 have an adverse impact on small business or will it directly or indirectly increase regulatory
91 costs to any entity including government in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in Florida in
92 one year after the implementation of the rule?
93

94 Motion: Mr. Hall moved that the proposed rule change would not impact small business
95 or increase regulatory costs.
96 Second: Mr. Emo seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.
97

98 Ms. Clark commented that staff would not prepare a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs.
99

100 Ms. Gozdz joined the meeting.
101

102 **Rules Report**

103

104 Florida Supreme Court Decision in *Whiley v. Scott*
105 Memorandum from Governor re: *Whiley* decision and Office of Fiscal Accountability and
106 Regulatory Reform (OFARR)
107

108 Ms. Clark reported that Rule 61G1-17.003 was prepared for repeal and submittal to OFARR.
109

110 Ms. Clark reported that she submitted the forms for Rule 61G1-24.001 development. She
111 reported that the process was complete and it had been submitted to the department.
112

113 Ms. Clark explained the *Whiley* case and that the Governor's Office exceeded its authority to
114 establish OFARR and required OFARR to give permission for rule making. She provided the
115 board three options for proceeding forward with rule making. After discussion the following
116 motion was made.
117

118 Motion: Mr. Emo moved that the board continue the current process of notifying OFARR,
119 receive their comments first, and then proceed with the rule making process.

120 Second: Ms. Gozdz seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.
121

122 The board discussed the fact that they could change the process if OFARR took too long to
123 review the language.
124

125 **New Business**

126

127 No new business
128

129 **Old Business**

130

131 No old business
132

133 **Adjourn**

134

135 Motion: Ms. Gozdz moved that the meeting adjourn.

136 Second: Mr. Emo seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.
137

138 The meeting adjourned at 2:55 p.m.
139