

**Regulatory Council for
Community Association Managers**

Telephone Conference Meeting

Telephone Number 888.808.6965 ~ Conference Code 4879597

Friday, August 21, 2009 @ 10:30am

Operator: Conference record has joined the conference.

Anthony Spivey: Okay, good morning, this is Anthony Spivey, Executive Director for the Regulatory Council of Community Association Managers.

Today is August 21, 2009 and we're - I'm going to turn the meeting over to the Chair, Mr. Brown.

Chris Brown: Thank you. Can we have roll call?

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Brown, Chair called the meeting to order at 10:30am and a quorum was established.

Renese Jones: Mr. Brown.

Patricia Rogers: Present.

Chris Brown: Present.

Renese Jones: Tony Spivey.

Renese Jones: Terence Brennan.

Anthony Spivey: Present.

Terence Brennan: Present.

Renese Jones: Tim Dennis.

Renese Jones: Steve Czonstka.

Tim Dennis: Present.

Steve Czonstka: Present.

Renese Jones: (Libby Duffy).

Renese Jones: Kelly Moran.

(Libby Duffy): Present.

Kelly Moran: Present.

Renese Jones: Tim Vaccaro.

Renese Jones: Maggie Rogers.

Tim Vaccaro: Present.

Maggie Rogers: Present.

Renese Jones: All present.

Renese Jones: Patricia Rogers.

JUNE 5, 2009 MINUTES

Chris Brown: Okay, good morning everyone. Thank you. Do we have a motion to accept the June 5, 2009 meeting minutes?

Maggie Rogers: I make a motion to accept the June 5.

Chris Brown: Thank you.

Maggie Rogers: I have a...

Chris Brown: And one moment. When you say your motion say who you are first, please. Which council member made the motion?

Maggie Rogers: Maggie Rogers.

Chris Brown: Right, okay.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia Rogers I have a - I'll second it and I have a correction.

Chris Brown: Okay, we have a second and go ahead with the correction.

Patricia Rogers: Okay. I was the one who thanked Mr. Vaccaro and Mr. Spivey at the meeting. And Mr. Brennan asked for a report of what was going to be sent to the Legislature and I would ask that that be inserted right before the meeting was adjourned at 12:05 am.

Man: Oh, I have a question of procedure here. Are we talking about the July 31 or the June 5 meeting?

Chris Brown: No, thank you. I was just going to say that.

Maggie Rogers: Talking about June 5.

Chris Brown: We're talking about June 5.

Patricia Rogers: Oh, okay wait a minute. I had one section on that on Page 5. I didn't ask for a summary of the condo bylaws I asked for a summary of the condo law.

Actually it was Community Association laws, how they interact with the CAM profession.

Chris Brown: Okay, we can make that change. Were there any other changes to the June 5 meeting?

Steve Czonstka: Yeah, there're two typos. This is Steve Czonstka; there are two typos in here.

Chris Brown: Okay, what are they Steve?

Steve Czonstka: Well under the Council Report, it made minor changes. They spelled the minor with an "e" instead of "or".

Chris Brown: Okay, thank you.

Steve Czonstka: And then under the CBPR Budget Review on the next page, requesting budge suggestions. That should be budget suggestions.

Chris Brown: Okay, thank you Steve.

Terence Brennan: Mr. Chairman?

Chris Brown: Yes Terence.

Terence Brennan: Before we - before you get to requesting a motion for the July 31 minutes, I had a problem with them. I just wanted to advise you so we didn't go through them too quickly.

Chris Brown: Okay, all right. Do we have any other corrections or additions to June 5?

All right hearing none it will - if we can approve the motion of the minutes as corrected. All those in favor say aye.

((Crosstalk))

Opposed - same sign. Okay it's unanimous then. All right we've approved the June 5 meeting. Do we have any motion to accept July 31?

Terence Brennan: Mr. Chairman I have some problems with it which I would like to discuss perhaps before a motion.

Anthony Spivey: Just a moment please, can everyone hold up for a minute. This is Anthony Spivey. I must insist that before you speak, announce yourself because this is a telephone meeting and we need to get the accurate reporting for the record.

Chris Brown: Okay. Terence is questioning whether or not we make the motion before we would do the corrections. Normally you would make the motion first.

Patricia Rogers: Then I would move that we approve the minutes - this is Patricia Rogers.

Chris Brown: Thank you. Do we have a second?

Kelly Moran: Second - Kelly Moran.

Chris Brown: Thank you Kelly.

Anthony Spivey: Do we have discussion?

JUNE 31, 2009 MINUTES

Chris Brown: Now I'll go to Steve - I mean to Terence and then go ahead with what you think should be corrected Terence.

Terence Brennan: Okay, well I'm dissatisfied with the minutes actually to the point that I would hope that they could be redrafted. And so I would like to explain why I feel that way.

During the meeting there was just one item on the agenda. That was the department which wanted to solicit legislative suggestions from the individual members of the Council.

In my case I made a couple of suggestions at least, that I considered important but they were not mentioned in the minutes at all, that could partially be addressed by including them. But I think the problem is a little bit beyond that.

I think that if there were other members input that were not reflected in the minutes and that's perhaps for those members to state, then they might also join in that concern.

Since individual suggestions were solicited they strike me as the output of the Council similar to if the Council had voted as a body these were the individual output from the members.

And so what I believe is that the minutes should be made more clear in that the suggestions that were presented should be identified individually and associated with the member.

There were only about - well I guess there was a total of about 20 suggestions, so I don't think this is especially complex. But I think that since we're in a telephone meeting we can't do this easily on the spot, I would want the meetings to actually be redone since actually the staff with directions to redress them as a list with the suggestions individually listed by member.

That's what came out of the meeting and I think that the way that they were kind of joined together is amorphous and leaves an impression in the minutes that at least in some cases it was the output of the Council and not individual members.

That's why I think they should be identified individually and also it doesn't make clear really what happened.

And so it's for the sake of accuracy that...

Chris Brown: Okay, if I can ask - if I can address that Terence.

The Department, on behalf of the Council -- this is Chris Brown speaking -- actually had very detailed minutes.

When Council members would state a position it was actually put in the minutes and I actually liked those minutes, you know, very much because - and I brought up the same point you brought up. It let's people later see what actually took place at that meeting.

The Department was criticized for those minutes by Mr. Benson when he was on the Council to such a degree that we had to bring in the Council's attorney, the Department had to do research on it, and then it was finally agreed that the minutes was simply just be the cold, actions of the Council which had to be say triggered by a motion and second to make it say to the minutes.

And that's normally what the Department is now doing based on that is what the Council, ultimately along with advise from legal counsel to the Council, came up with.

Terence Brennan: Yeah.

Chris Brown: I agree with what you're saying however the Department presently does not have the ability to do that based on what Mr. Benson had brought up in the past.

And Tony may want to elaborate on this but I'm sure that, you know, you remember how we used to argue each meeting because, you know, Mr. Benson said there was too much in the minutes and there shouldn't be anything about what people said. It needed to be triggered by the motion.

Terence Brennan: Before Tony responds to that I might have a response to part of what you said.

Chris Brown: Sure.

Terence Brennan: Generally I agree with that and I understand that minutes are to be a record of what happens at the meeting - in other words what the actions of the Council were at the meeting.

In this case it was a special kind of meeting. In fact it was identified as a special meeting but not for that reason. And since the Council as a whole did not take any action, I think that the - anything that's indicated

in the minutes should in fact be clearly indicating that nothing said there was the action of the Council.

Now if that's the case that nothing said there was the action of the Council, then I think it becomes, in a different way, important to identify which members said what. Because it was not the Council doing it but it was the output of the Council.

And I don't think that it's a complex problem at all. It's not like going through a long meeting that took two hours, it's only 20 suggestions and it's a matter of listing them directly by the suggestor's name.

And in fact by doing that, it makes far clearer reading for understanding the individual sentiments and how they might link together for particular members.

So I understand what you're saying and agree with it that you don't want to belabor the minutes but I think this is a different type of situation and I don't believe it would require much administrative work to do it.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia Rogers; I'd like to weigh in on this.

I agree with what Mr. Brennan has said that while normally - and I agree with what Mr. Benson has said that normally minutes are very, you know, very brief and that what they basically describe is information about what the motions that were made, any objections that were noted in those motions, and then the action on the motion.

But because this was a special meeting I think that the suggestions should be listed and I personally have no problem with adding who the individual was that gave the - that made the motion. Or I mean, made the suggestion.

In some cases there were several people who said that they had the same motion.

I think that by putting that in there and saying as an example, Mr. Brennan, Ms. Rogers, and Ms. Moran all agreed this was a good suggestion that one of the things that says to anyone who reads them is that the Council members more heavily agree that that was an issue that needed to be looked at.

So I think - I agree with Mr. Brennan's position.

Terence Brennan: Are any of the - this is sort of an odd thing to ask and I'll ask for the Chairman's direction on this, but I prepared, just for the purposes of example -- and not because I'm writing the minutes because I have no intention of doing that -- I've prepared for example two formats.

One that Patricia just described which was to group the suggestions and one where the suggestions were listed by member.

And those are both online at this moment at 5959.us/minutes. So if anybody is at a terminal they could see what the formats might be that I would request that the staff follow.

If this was an in-person meeting I could easily - I could present a paper but we're on the telephone so we're limited.

Chris Brown: Right. This is Chris. I think that if we want address the issue of minutes again we should put it on an agenda item for a later meeting.

My concern is that, you know, from the Department's standpoint, everything that you all are saying today are the very things that I brought up when, you know, Mark Benson wanted to change the format.

I don't think I can subscribe to well this meeting is special. It's like meetings are meetings. Whether they have a special purpose or they don't have a special purpose that shouldn't change how your minutes are addressed.

There needs to be a policy that the Department follows for minutes. And maybe we should go back to reviewing the way the minutes were handled previous to say a year ago where what everything that you're saying would have been in those minutes.

And I thought those minutes were great and I know that, you know, the Department worked hard to put those minutes.

Then, I mean when we would receive our minutes they would be, you know, five, six, seven pages and they would be complete.

So maybe this is something that we want to, you know, address for down the road on how we're going to do the minutes.

Terence Brennan: Well that might be but we do have -- oh this is Terence Brennan -- that may be but we do have a special case here.

This was not the normal meeting, this was different from I think any of the meetings you probably are discussing.

And in my case...

Chris Brown: Actually I don't think that you can say that, you know - the Department - well this meeting is special so we're going to do our minutes, you know, differently.

If there's a correct way of doing the minutes it should be correct for any meeting.

Terence Brennan: Well normally we're not directed at the beginning of the meeting to not make any motions.

If we had been the situation where we could have made motions then we could have presented our suggestions as a body and certain suggestions would have filtered through and others wouldn't.

Chris Brown: All right, I don't know, let me be - keep going.

Woman: This is...

Chris Brown: Tony, do you have anything that you can add to this conversation?

Anthony Spivey: Yes, this is Anthony Spivey speaking. I've listened to your conversations and Chris, what you said earlier is spot on.

We did have detailed meetings - detailed minutes for - in the past for all of these CAM meetings. And as you were saying, Mr. Benson did request that the minutes be a little more brief and that's the posture that this group took.

But, in response to Mr. Brennan, I would have to disagree with you that that particular meeting was a special meeting because all of these meetings are special meetings because it is a meeting that we're addressing for the public.

So I can't single out that particular meeting as being more important or less important than any of the other meetings that we've had.

So in that regard the minutes should be prepared the same identical format for every meeting that we have.

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: This is Terence Brennan. I wasn't suggesting it was...

Anthony Spivey: Just a minute please, just a minute please. I let you speak but it was my turn now. Thank you.

((Crosstalk))

So in that regard I would say that if the Council wants to have a format for the minutes to be either brief or non-brief we need to do the format each the same way for all of meetings regardless of what the subject matter is.

Maggie Rogers: This is Maggie Rogers. I'd like to say that it certainly was a special meeting. It was advertised as a meeting that was different from the others because it was a meeting we were to give suggestions.

That was the entire format of the meeting. It's unlike any other meeting that's ever been held that I've been attending meetings.

And so it was a report that should have been made and they should have been identified. And having said that, then I would like to say one other thing. I like that question but I have another remark so I don't want (unintelligible) - a lot of noise.

(Unintelligible) of the (unintelligible).

Man: There's a huge amount of noise on the line.

Maggie Rogers: Yeah.

Kelly Moran: Can we please an announcement that anybody attending this conference call must have their phone on mute so that the Council may conduct its business please. This is Kelly Moran.

Chris Brown: Thank you Kelly.

Terence Brennan: Yeah, I was just going to suggest that.

Maggie Rogers: Okay, now did you send the minutes out by email? Tony, that's addressed at you? Were the minutes sent out my email?

Kelly Moran: Maggie this is Kelly Moran. We don't get any minutes via email for the Council. Only through our agenda packets.

Maggie Rogers: Okay.

((Crosstalk))

Maggie Rogers: I'm sorry, what?

Anthony Spivey: This is Tony - no they were not. You get the entire package when we send it out.

Maggie Rogers: Okay, can you send the minutes out by email?

Terence Brennan: I think she may be asking can you send them out before the packet goes out so that they can be reviewed. Is that what you're suggesting Maggie?

Maggie Rogers: I'm suggesting that you do both so that...

Anthony Spivey: Well this is Tony. Yes, we could do that but what I would suggest Chris, that you take a vote and have a motion to see which direction you want to go so we can have a record of it.

Maggie Rogers: Okay.

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: Before that vote I have secondary objections to this because...

Maggie Rogers: I have, I have...

Terence Brennan: ...my comments were not included in the minutes.

Maggie Rogers: Excuse me...

Kelly Moran: Hey...

Maggie Rogers: ...I'm not through yet.

Chris Brown: I mean do we want to not take the vote then?

Maggie Rogers: Excuse me, may I finish? Excuse me, is it okay if I finish?

Chris Brown: Go ahead.

Maggie Rogers: Thank you. I am very uncomfortable about you...

Chris Brown: Who is you?

Maggie Rogers: You all. Just wait I'll tell you. This is Maggie Rogers and I am uncomfortable about the mentioning of anyone's name when they are not there to explain what they've done or even to agree or disagree with you and that goes for anyone.

I think it's important - and I have heard this one name brought up several times and not being there to say - I don't think you have - I don't think it's necessary to when you're talking - it sounded to me like you were trying to make somebody be the bad guy and I don't think that's a good thing to do.

I think it's better - if you have - you could say another Regulatory Commission member suggested that we not do it this way so we quit doing it. But to over - to come over and over again with somebody's name like that in what seems like a blaming, accusatory manner is uncomfortable for me and I would appreciate it if you just spoke of people generically rather than.

Chris Brown: Okay if I can address that, if you go back to the previous minutes you'll actually see Mark Benson's name in the minutes.

Also I'm not saying it in a derogatory; I'm simply saying it as a matter of fact. Mark wanted brevity to the minutes and wanted what was in the minutes...

Maggie Rogers: Who's speaking? Who's speaking?

Chris Brown: This is Chris Brown - Chris Brown. And he elaborated and explained his position.

Maggie Rogers: Excuse me, you didn't identify yourself and I didn't know.

Chris Brown: It's Chris Brown.

Maggie Rogers: Okay.

Chris Brown: So he - I'm not saying it in a critical way. He had his position and it's in the minutes.

If you go back to the previous minutes you'll actually see. Plus if you ask him he's not going to, you know, deny it. I'm sure he'll tell you why he believes as he does.

Maggie Rogers: I just think that the way it was put was not wonderfully put. And I feel that instead of, you know - I mean I'm not going to go around saying well Chris Brown or anybody - Kelly Moran or Patricia Rogers - I'm not going to go around - I feel it's better to say, another Council member.

Steve Czonstka: This is Steve Czonstka.

Terence Brennan: This is Terrence. Oh Steve, go ahead.

Steve Czonstka: I would suggest a compromise so we can distinguish between the minutes and what may have been attribution of ideas to specific members.

Is there a transcription of the meeting available as opposed to the minutes?

Chris Brown: Tony, do you have an answer to that?

Anthony Spivey: You know, we record the meetings in here but we do have disc for the meetings.

Tim Dennis: This is...

Terence Brennan: I have a personal transcription but I don't think that would serve for people's purposes.

Tim Dennis: This is Tim Dennis. Chris, can I address the Council?

Chris Brown: Yes, please. Go ahead Tim.

Tim Dennis: The issue of the minutes, you know, proceeds my tenure with the Council so I'm not aware of, you know, the history behind it.

But generally the AGs office has opined that, you know, minutes are simply a brief summary and they're not required to be verbatim.

I would note that there is a motion and a second on the floor. And what I would probably suggest is that you go ahead and have a vote on the motion.

And if the motion fails there - if there was a recording of the last meeting and if the Board desires to pay for that, I'm sure that, you know, staff could, you know, get a court reporter or somebody to transcribe the recording of the last meeting and present it as the minutes at the next Board meeting for approval.

Steve Czonstka: Yeah, but this is Steve Czonstka again. I don't think they should be called the minutes and that's what I'm saying, that there should be a transcription available if desired, and we continue with our policy on the minutes and approve them or disapprove them as they are written.

And if we want to have specific attribution as to what was said by whom, we have a transcription available.

Terence Brennan: This is Terence Brennan. I still have an objection because the suggestions I made -- two suggestions -- which I believed were important and expected to see them included in the minutes as the concept at the very least, were not.

And it would appear that they were not there so that anyone reading the minutes would have a mistaken impression of what happened at the meeting. That was what led me to the suggestion that the suggestions be individually identified in this specific case.

This is not the same as transcribing the meeting. It's a matter of summarizing the suggestions into a phrase which works perfectly well in most cases and identifying who did what.

For example, certain members favored increasing education hours; certain members favored an omnibus association law. Those are not complicated concepts and don't require a full transcription. So...

((Crosstalk))

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly.

Terence Brennan: And I also feel that the Council - the (unintelligible) of the Council should govern in perhaps, in this specific case and this appears to me to be a bit of a specific case, that they would like the minutes done in a different way because the normal form doesn't quite suit the situation.

And the minutes vary even from meeting to meeting. But it's not that we necessarily seek a full transcript of it.

Chris Brown: Right. Well I...

((Crosstalk))

Woman: I would like.

Terence Brennan: But before you take a vote - before you take a vote I definitely want to address the two issues that were not included in the minutes.

Chris Brown: Kelly, thank you. I really feel...

Kelly Moran: Please call the question...

Chris Brown: This is Chris Brown speaking and I've given Terence a lot of time, you know, to make his points but we really need to keep going. So let me

just get a couple of more - I heard Kelly and then I think it was - was it Maggie?

Patricia Rogers: Patricia.

Chris Brown: Patricia - also Patricia. Your comments Patricia and then we'll at least follow Counsel's advice. Let's take a vote and then we'll go from there.

Kelly your comment.

Kelly Moran: I would just like the question called so that we can move forward with the vote please.

Chris Brown: Okay. Patricia?

Patricia Rogers: If the question has been called there's no further discussion.

Chris Brown: All right. All those in favor of approving the July 31 minutes and let's do a roll call vote. The Department want to call the members or do you want me to.

Renese Jones: I'll call them.

Chris Brown: Okay.

Renese Jones: Chris. Brown.

Chris Brown: Aye.

Renese Jones: Terence Brennan.

Terence Brennan: I do not approve the minutes.

Renese Jones: Steve Czonstka.

Steve Czonstka: I do not approve the minutes as written. I would approve them if they included a transcription would be available.

Renese Jones: Kelly Moran.

Kelly Moran: I approve the minutes.

Renese Jones: Maggie Rogers.

Maggie Rogers: I do not approve the minutes.

Renese Jones: Patricia Rogers.

Patricia Rogers: No.

Chris Brown: Okay, I have one question because the motion as it presently, has failed. Would any of the other no votes change if it was incorporated what Steve said about the transcript?

Patricia Rogers: No. This is Patricia - no.

Chris Brown: Okay, then it would still fail.

Tim Vaccaro: Mr. Chair?

Steve Czonstka: I wouldn't think that would be a best solution to it although I think it's in the right direction.

Anthony Spivey: Can you all hold up for a minute - this is Anthony Spivey.

Chris Brown: Okay.

Tim Vaccaro: Mr. Chair, this is Tim Vaccaro.

Chris Brown: Yes Tim.

Tim Vaccaro: I'd like to make a couple of suggestions here.

Chris Brown: Please.

Tim Vaccaro: It was a very brief meeting. We did get a number of suggestions from folks. What I would suggest is that you could possibly update the minutes to make it clear that - instead of saying the Council recommended, say various members recommended the following and we can simply add Mr. Brennan's suggestions in here.

Or if the Council wants to, I think we could simply just go ahead and list the individual names and what their specific recommendations were.

And then if the Council going forward wants to look at having more detailed minutes I think you can certainly look to do that.

Patricia Rogers: Okay, Mr. Chair this is Patricia. I think that - I was going to add that in addition to what is missing in the minutes we also had individuals from the public who made comments. And I do believe that they should - that their comments should be reflected.

Chris Brown: Okay. I don't know that we would want the public comments ever reflected because that really goes against what the minutes - the purpose of the minutes because the minutes are the actions of the Council.

Kelly Moran: And we didn't have public comment on the agenda anyway.

Chris Brown: Yeah.

Man: Yeah, that's difficult because I agree with Patricia that in concept I think that's very important. Procedurally I don't - I'm not quite sure what to say about that.

Chris Brown: Fine. Does anyone have an objection to Tim Vaccaro's suggestion of the Department redoing the minutes to include this information and then we'll look at the minutes at the next meeting.

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly Moran. I'd like to table the approval of the minutes until the Department can revise them and submit them to us at our next meeting.

Chris Brown: Okay thank you. Do we have a second to the motion?

Steve Czonstka: Steve Czonstka, second.

Chris Brown: Thank you.

Terence Brennan: I would like to revise the motion. I'm making - in other words - I'm not sure procedurally what you do here but I would just want to.
((Crosstalk))

Anthony Spivey: Please identify yourself.

Chris Brown: You're making a motion to amend.

Man: A motion to amend.

Anthony Spivey: Please identify yourself.

Terence Brennan: We suggest to the Department that in the process of writing the minutes that they follow what Mr. Vaccaro suggested and list the suggestions by member which was my original request which probably would not be difficult to do because they're going to have to kind of understand that any way.

Terence Brennan: All right. My understanding was that the motion was...

Man: That was explained.

Man: Mr. Vaccaro had suggested.

Patricia Rogers: I would second Mr. Brennan's amendment.

Man: We already have a motion on the floor.

Terence Brennan: Well this is an amendment to the motion.

Patricia Rogers: This is an amendment to the motion.

Kelly Moran: To my motion?

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia Rogers and I would second Mr. Brennan's amendment.

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly Moran. Please vote on the motion on the floor which is my motion. And if you would like to amend it...

Terence Brennan: Excuse me, you lose the motion once it's seconded.

Chris Brown: Yeah, you normally...

((Crosstalk))

...vote on the amendment. I guess where I'm confused is I thought Kelly's motion was to follow the...

Kelly Moran: That's exactly what my motion was.

Chris Brown: Right.

Kelly Moran: An amendment wasn't needed.

Terence Brennan: The amendment was to provide some specific direction and the specific direction was to list - to include the instructions to...

Anthony Spivey: This is Anthony...

Terence Brennan: ...(unintelligible) member.

Anthony Spivey: Hello, excuse me, this is Anthony Spivey. The motion was to table the minutes until the next meeting.

Terence Brennan: That was the motion, yes but I amended the motion. Did it not include - I'm sorry, maybe I got that wrong.

Kelly did your motion not include sending it to the Department?

Kelly Moran: My motion was to table the approval of the July 31 Council meeting minutes until the Department can revise them as discussed and represent them to the Council at the next meeting.

Terence Brennan: Okay, and that was - yes, and hence my amendment which is that since you included the concept of revising them that I wanted to make sure that the Department in the process of revision.

I realize this is very wordy but the concept is very simple.

That in the process of this revision they revise them by member and by suggestion to present them to us so that there's still not some question that comes up at the next meeting as to that they revise them but they didn't do it the way that we wanted.

Patricia Rogers: And this is Patricia Rogers. I seconded Mr. Brennan's amendment.

Chris Brown: Okay, thank you. Before I vote on the amendment, is the Department -- Mr. Vaccaro, Mr. Spivey -- are you comfortable with the amendment?

Tim Vaccaro: This is Tim Vaccaro, I think we're fine. I don't know that you even need an amendment. I think it was just a clarification of Ms. Moran's motion.

Chris Brown: That's kind of what I thought but...

Tim Vaccaro: But whatever you want to do is fine. We'll bring back the minutes to specifically state who said what.

Chris Brown: Okay, thank you.

All those in favor of the amendment?

Patricia Rogers: Aye.

Man: Aye.

Kelly Moran: Aye.

Chris Brown: Opposed, same sign.

Steve Czonstka: No.

Man: Aye.

Chris Brown: Aye. Did Kelly vote?

Kelly Moran: Yes, I voted. I voted in favor.

Chris Brown: In favor, okay. So the amendment is approved. Now to the main motion as amended, all those in favor?

Anthony Spivey: One moment before you finalize that.

Chris Brown: Okay.

Anthony Spivey: This is Anthony Spivey. I heard one - was it correct that I only heard one no vote?

Chris Brown: There were two, myself and Steve.

Anthony Spivey: Okay, two.

Terence Brennan: What - excuse my confusion but what motion are we returning to here?

Kelly Moran: My original motion.

Chris Brown: Kelly Moran's motion.

Terence Brennan: I'm sorry - twice and two people at once.

Chris Brown: Kelly Moran's motion to table.

Terence Brennan: Which was? Oh, to table until - and the motion that we just voted on was...

Chris Brown: Right. Now her motion is her motion but as amended by your motion.

Terence Brennan: Oh.

Chris Brown: So now you have to go back to the main motion to approve the main motion as amended.

Terence Brennan: Okay, I see. We were - yes, we were voting on my amendment.

Chris Brown: We're only voting on - you're only voting on the amendment. Now you're voting on the main motion as amended.

Terence Brennan: I'm sorry, I had no idea this was going to be so complicated at least for me. Yes, okay I understand now.

Chris Brown: All right. So all those in favor of the motion to table?

Patricia Rogers: Aye.

Terence Brennan: Aye.

Kelly Moran: Aye.

Chris Brown: Aye.

Steve Czonstka: Aye.

Chris Brown: Opposed, same sign. All right, it's unanimous. All right, next item, Chair's Report - chair has not reported at this meeting.

COUNCIL REPORT - Tim Dennis.

Tim Dennis: Good morning Council. Just a couple of items that I wanted to briefly touch on.

At the last meeting in June the Board had directed staff to proceed with rule making on several rules and 61E14. That process is proceeding.

Staff met on July 30 to discuss preparation of the rules and the rules should be noticed for rule making. They've previously been developed - noticed for rule development and should be noticed for rule making within the next 30 days depending on how quickly we can finish preparing any statements of estimated regulatory costs that might be necessary.

The other issue at the last meeting that the Council had asked me to look in to was a - the Council wanted to explore the possibility of adopting a mission statement.

And basically it really sort of varies. There are some provisions and statutes that require certain entities that would qualify as agencies to adopt mission statements. Others have adopted mission statements into their rules or are required to in their rules.

Many state agencies have sort of a, you know, short general slogan type mission statement with no apparent statutory rule authority.

The main thing, if I were to advise the Council would be if the Council desires to look into adopting some type of mission statement, I would suggest that it adopt something descriptive, brief, and that is phrased in such a manner that it doesn't open yourselves up to the challenge that it's a rule or its some sort of statement of such specificity that it actually provides direction that the Council should be bound by.

The definition of a rule in Chapter 120 means each agency statement of general applicability that implements, interprets, or prescribes law or policy or describes the procedure or practice requirements of an agency and the definition goes on from there but that's the important part.

And so I would just suggest that it be more of a - perhaps a descriptive nature if that is in fact something that the Council wants to look in to.

And that's really all I have. If the Council members have any questions I'll answer them.

Patricia Rogers: Mr. Chair this is Patricia Rogers. What is the timeframe on the approval of 64E14?

Tim Dennis: 61E14?

Patricia Rogers: 61E14, yes.

Tim Dennis: Yeah. Well what we would - what we expect is that we'll publish a notice of proposed rulemaking that would run within the next - let's see, today is the 21st - would appear on either September 4 or more likely September 18.

At that point, assuming there are no - there's several things that could happen after that notice of proposed rulemaking. What we'll probably get are comments from the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee that will have to be addressed.

The minimum timeframe that would - that the rules would be adopted would be 28 days. But a more likely scenario which we would probably be looking at more like probably 60 to 90 days assuming that there aren't, you know, any administrative or legal challenges to the rules but just the ordinary comments from the Joint Administrative procedures Committee that need to be addressed.

Maybe some minor changes in response to those comments. Probably looking at 60 to 90 days after publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking.

Patricia Rogers: Mr. Chair this is Patricia again.

Chris Brown: Yes.

Patricia Rogers: We need to keep in mind that one of the rule changes to change the education from 18 hours to 24 hours and therefore vendors who are offering free license or education would need to know that this rule change is coming.

And my concern is that we need to have some state specifics so that they aren't suddenly told a week from now or two weeks from now you need to have 24 hour course and it needs to include these additional things. Sorry we didn't tell you earlier.

Tim Dennis: Well, there is a note - the Notice of Rule Development has already been published in the Florida Administrative Weekly. And that is the, you know, sort of the required, you know, notice.

And from my understanding with Tony, there hadn't been any comments received or requests for workshops received to date. That may change after the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is published.

But I don't suppose that there's nothing, you know, the Council, you know, may want to explore an effort to make that known a little bit more generally in the industry.

I'm not sure and I'm not familiar enough with your industry to know, you know, what that might involved. But perhaps you all can discuss that as an item of new business.

Steve Czonstka: Well this is Steve Czonstka.

Chris Brown: Yes Steve.

Steve Czonstka: I don't think you can change the pre-license or education requirements in the middle of a licensing term. I think you've got to wait until the next term anyway.

Chris Brown: And I - well that's the question that I was going to ask. When the rule is adopted for additional hours, would there not be a date specific at that point in time saying when it goes into effect which I'm assuming, as Steve is indicating, it would be the next licensing period.

Tim Dennis Well, you know, that's something that the Board would probably want to address then after the Notice of Rulemaking is published at it's next

meeting to make a change to the rule. Because it's not currently in the rule and if there's not a different date specified then statutorily a rule becomes effective 20 days after, you know, certain procedures. Essentially the rules filed with the Department of State after the required amount has passed.

So it sounds to me like the Council would want to revisit that proposed rule at it's next meeting to clarify when it goes in to effect to, you know, either set a date specific for some other reference so you don't catch anybody in mid cycle.

Chris Brown: Yeah, because you would actually have that because the timeframe from when say a prospective CAM signs up for a class, considers he's taking the class, that process from beginning to end is going to exceed 20 days.

So it's like you actually begin the process under, you know, one rule and be ending it under a different rule if there's only a 20 day time.

So can I request - Tony can you be sure that that's placed on our next agenda?

Anthony Spivey: Yes.

Patricia Rogers: Okay. And this is Patricia. I'd ask if you find out if any legislative requirements for dates.

You know, I personally would like to see it implemented before the next cycle but I - but before we vote on that or discuss it I think we need to know what the law says.

Tim Dennis: Sure, I'll be happy to look into that.

Chris Brown: Okay. Does that conclude tour report Tim?

Tim Dennis: Yes, it does.

Chris Brown: All right, thank you.

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY REPORT-Elizabeth Duffy.

(Libby Duffy): Good morning this is (Libby Duffy).

Chris Brown: Good morning.

(Libby Duffy): I am filling in for you all this morning. I have a small introduction that I can give you on who your new prosecuting attorney will be when she gets started.

I believe you all have your Prosecuting Attorney Report in your agenda materials.

I have some summaries. I met with Mr. (Hirsch) yesterday and we've got some summaries on the cases. If there is any case in particular you have questions about, I can let you know that even while we are in this transition period every one of these cases has been handled, reviewed, and some action taken on it.

So with that note is there anything in particular that anyone has any questions about? I will do my best to answer.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia Rogers and I had more a general question.

There are certain names that I see continually coming up again, and again, and again, and again, and again.

And I'm concerned that we really don't see any - even when I go back on line to check that there's been action taken, it seems that they're continuing to be able to conduct themselves in business and I'm a little concerned about certain of these individuals whose names show up again and again.

And I'm wondering if maybe we need to be more vigilant in some of the things - in some of the actions.

(Libby Duffy): Okay, that is a concern that I will make sure and discuss with Mr. (Hirsch).

What typically happens in the profession -- the ones I am more familiar with at any rate -- as they accrue discipline each discipline becomes - if a prior offense they've got a stronger discipline until at some point it doesn't - you reach a revocation stage without a reapplication.

And so I would make a - maybe I should not make an assumption but, I would make - I am making an assumption that to some degree -- Tony you may be able to step in and help me here. But I would assume the same thing would happen with a CAM license.

Anthony Spivey: Yes, that is correct.

(Libby Duffy): And so you would see if you do have a repeat offender you should see a stronger penalty until they would reach a point at which they would no longer be able to keep their license.

Patricia Rogers: Okay. Well some of these names -- this is Patricia again -- some of these names I've been watching over the years. And I know that they've been disciplined but yet they seem to continue to come up. And I'm a little - that's what I'm a little concerned about.

Perhaps Tony rather than go into the details of the individual because I don't really feel - you know, and for their sake I don't know that I want to bring up their specific names.

Perhaps I should address it directly with you?

Anthony Spivey: That -- this is Tony -- that would be a good suggestion.

Patricia Rogers: Okay, thank you.

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly Moran.

Chris Brown: Yes Kelly.

Kelly Moran: I have a question. Based on what Patricia's comments were with the repeat offenders be it management, companies and/or community managers, what would it take to -- whether it's a legislative change or a change in department procedure -- to have licenses suspended at some point in time?

(Libby Duffy): This is,,,

Kelly Moran: That question really doesn't need to be answered right now but maybe that's something that we need to investigate and then come back to the Council with recommendations on.

(Libby Duffy): That would absolutely be I think appropriate. I am making notes on that so that I can meet with Mr. (Hirsch) today about what your concerns are.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia - there is - suspension is one of the provisions in the rules.

(Libby Duffy): That was going to be my question. I understood it was too, so that was one of my stuttering in my voice was I kept thinking surely suspension is one of the disciplinary features.

Kelly Moran: Mm-hmm. Maybe we need to look at that and increase the timeframe for a suspension for some of these cases that are repeat or they're within a certain process within legal.

Whether it's in the legal process, whether it's been - their case has been sent to an expert or there is additional investigation. There should be some place along this line that if they're a repeat offender they are no longer allowed to practice community association management until their case is resolved.

(Libby Duffy): Okay, Ms. Moran that is an interesting point because that's a very - that's actually a different question that your Disciplinary Guidelines.

What you will get in to with that sort of question would be what we call an ESO which is an Emergency Suspension Order.

And we have guidelines that OGC has developed when those are available. And I will be glad to make sure that Mr. Spivey has access to the newest.

They put together a PowerPoint presentation on that that was actually given to my building code administrators and inspectors for the last month. And I'd be happy to see that that information could be provided to you guys as well.

And I don't know - this would be something again Tony, you may need to correct me on, I would assume that the same ESO and ERO procedures would be available in CAM cases as they are in our other professions cases. Is that correct?

Anthony Spivey: This is Tony. Any time a licensed individual with the Department is posing a threat to the - let me rephrase that.

Whenever there's a threat to the public's safety, health, and welfare we can institute a - stop that individual from practicing.

Maggie Rogers: This is Maggie Rogers. This ties into this completely and it has to do with the number of people who are reported who are not licensed but who you encourage to become licensed to bring them in to the regulatory auspices.

But I think that we need to address the fact that our people are illegally functioning as CAM managers that should never be given a license.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia Rogers. I know that's not exactly on the agenda. I would agree with you in general terms Maggie but I think that there are some exceptions...

Maggie Rogers: Okay, I'll bow to you...

Patricia Rogers: ...where somebody might find themselves caught in a situation. I've seen that occasionally. But I agree with you in general.

Maggie Rogers: Okay, I'll bow to you because I know you have better experience in that area than I do.

Kelly Moran: I agree with Patricia on that. There are special circumstances from time to time that may possibly warrant the issuance of a license.

This is Kelly Moran; I have one more question. Considering the fact that we have over 80 cases in Legal and there's a lot of - a very large timeframe between the date it's received in the Department and the date it's received in Legal, and I do understand that once a complaint is found to be valid and the licensee is notified that there is a complaint against their license, they have 20 days to respond.

And there are multiple timeframes in there - in that process. However some of them have been, you know, from October of '08, received in the Department and then it's not received in Legal until August of '09, so that's just one example. But there are a few in there that have some very large gaps in timing.

Is there anything that we as a Council can do to assist the Department in moving these cases along or are there additional resources that the Department needs in order to bring these cases to a resolution?

(Libby Duffy): Let me clarify your question Ms. Moran. You're asking about the gap in time between received in the Department and received in Legal; is that correct?

Kelly Moran: Correct.

(Libby Duffy): Okay. Just to give you a brief overview - and again, Tony if I'm - step outside my bounds I can talk about it in general as most professions.

The day received in the Department is the very first date that a complaint was made.

And so the gap in time between that and the date received in Legal is the time in which the complaint is investigated. And so there could be as you said, you've got some timed responses back and forth.

It frequently depends on how long it takes to conduct interviews, there are in your cases as well as in another - a number of other professions, a great deal of sometimes paper to be gathered, copied; assembled records. And so all of that time it has to do with the amount of time the investigators have it.

As a general rule they've got 180 days to get it to Legal. Sometimes for particular reasons and in different professions it is different.

I know that with my building codes they have a special statutory provision so the investigators get it to me faster because I only have a certain amount of time to get it to probably cause.

So it can vary a little bit but as a general rule they've got a six months period in which to investigate it before it has to be turned over to Legal. And again, sometimes it does take longer depending on the individual being investigated.

And so that - I don't think there would be anything else that the Council could do to assist the Department in doing that because I would imagine that would be a very case-specific and workload-specific situation.

Does that answer your question?

Kelly Moran: Yes, thank you very much.

Terence Brennan: This is Terence Brennan. I bit ago somebody mentioned that we perhaps could do well to try to be vigilant since we are complaining periodically that enforcement isn't proceeding very well, just to do our part to try to make sure we know what we're talking about.

Is there - are there in the processing of complaints are there any sorts of milestone documents that are issued as it goes say from the investigator to the Legal department and as it perhaps leaves the Legal department it goes on?

Are there - is there an efficient way that we could access the initial complaint and the early on the screening so that we can see what's happening? Because the list that we get lists the cases.

It shows names, it shows the dates, and it shows where they are but it really doesn't say anything about the case and it would really be helpful at least for me.

It would be very helpful to be able to see what the cases are and what's happening - what somebody is complaining about.

That's just kind of a general question but if you have any suggestions on that and what documents we might look at without ending up with truckloads of papers, would we best do to be able to understand the cases actually are beyond just seeing names on the summary sheet?

(Libby Duffy): And I can answer that question again in more of a general sense. These are the tracking reports. What you're given are the reports that are prepared for us as well.

I don't - since I have not visited the external Web site -- in fact if I were to try to load our Web site in the office it automatically goes to our Intranet -- but my question - I'm not sure. I know that we have access to a computer screen -- and again Tony you may need to step in and help me here. I hate to keep putting you on the spot but I want to make sure I understand that I'm saying this correctly.

The information that's available to us within our internal computer system lists a brief summary of what the complaint is about.

And I have not visited our external Web site. Does that information concerning the complaint appear there if you search an individual's name?

Man: It's very summary.

REGULATION REPORT-Jerry Wilson

Anthony Spivey: This is Anthony Spivey. Since we were anticipating all of this detailed discussion on this report, I've called (Jerry Wilson) in from our Regulation Department who can provide more detailed answers to some of these questions you're asking.

(Jerry Wilson): Good morning everyone.

(Libby Duffy): Good morning (Jerry).

(Jerry Wilson): So how do we...

Anthony Spivey: Could you restate that question? He just walked in right where you were finishing that.

Terence Brennan: The - was it the question as to what is on the Web site...

Anthony Spivey: Yes.

Terence Brennan: ...that you're requesting?

Anthony Spivey: Yes.

Terence Brennan: Do you want me to try to summarize that or do you want to...

Anthony Spivey: Sure, go ahead Mr. Brennan.

Terence Brennan: ...restate it? Okay, I think the question was does the Web site contain - what sort of information does the Web site itself contain relative to the information you may have on your computer screens in your office?

I believe I know the answer to that but I'll leave it for somebody else to (unintelligible).

(Jerry Wilson): Sure. The two systems speak to one another. So when we enter in a community association manager case in our database and we make it public per the statute which says any complaint or record is public information, so we make it public. And so that will cause it to be on the Web site.

Terence Brennan: Mm-hmm. Now what I've seen on the Web site is strictly a list of or a line showing the license number, the CAM's name, a one-line summary of what the issue is and where it is in the process, but that's typically what's there.

But the actual complaint or the Department's evaluation of it by the investigator or whatever stage it's at, it's not there and it's not linked.

Would it be - in fact I would ask this; is it possible to link those documents because that would actually be a very useful tool for -- as the original question was -- for us to be vigilant. It would apply to the public as well so that they then could have some...

(Jerry Wilson): Well I guess we might...

Terence Brennan: ...way to judge?

(Jerry Wilson): Yes, we have an agency clerk and she is responsible for public records.

Terence Brennan: Mm-hmm.

(Jerry Wilson): And, you know, if someone wanted the actual documents - and they can be quite voluminous. I'm talking 500 to 1000 pages. You know, I would have the person contact the agency clerk and coordination, you know, with our office to get that information to whoever wants it.

You know, putting the actual documents on the website, you know, I don't - it may be a technical question, it may be a legal question. We'll be - you know, we can certainly ask. But right now the agency clerk handles public records requests.

Terence Brennan: I understand that. What about a subset of the documents? For example, the initial complaint form, which I believe is retyped into a database so that it appears in a standardized - a standardized manner and usually only a few pages long and states the complainant's - whatever the complainant's problem is.

And usually from what I've seen the investigator then produces a short report, usually less than a page, that then goes on to the - to Tallahassee from wherever the complaint originated.

If there maybe - yes, there maybe reams of supporting documents or maybe not but those summary documents I think would be helpful to understand what cases were about as opposed to just sort of guessing and complaining.

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: Is there a way that that database information could be linked as opposed to having to go to the - to the agency clerk and doing public records process which is pretty tedious?

(Jerry Wilson): And, you know, I'm not prepared to answer the question. I'm prepared to discuss it, you know, with Mr. Vaccaro, Mr. Spivey, our legal team and get you that answer but, you know, I understand the question.

Terence Brennan: Okay.

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: Well thank you. That was - that - I was just looking for a way for us to be vigilant as it was put earlier by one of the other members.

(Jerry Wilson): Okay. Are there any other questions I can answer while I'm here?

((Crosstalk))

Woman: (Jerry), thank you very much.

Woman: The only thing that I would make sure that my answer to a question before you entered the room was about the gap in time between the time that the cases were received in the department or received in legal. And I simply explained that it is very case (based) as far as how long it takes to put one together and like you said some of the paper was very voluminous and depends on getting your witness statements.

And my answer to that was it was more less that it was just very case specific in how long it takes to do the investigation. I wanted to make sure that that was - that is a correct answer.

(Jerry Wilson): Yes. That's a correct answer.

Woman: Thank you.

(Jerry Wilson): And, you know, were are, you know, it is our goal to get all our cases done expeditiously and I'm looking at, you know, all of our cases...

Woman: Yeah. About an hour

((Crosstalk))

(Jerry Wilson): ...it's a goal (of) our vision.

Woman: (Bye).

(Jerry Wilson): Thank you.

Chris Brown: Thank you. All right. Moving on the Executive Director, Tony Spivey.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-Tony Spivey

Anthony Spivey: Okay, thank you (Chris). If you look on page starting on Page, 16 your financial reports, and notice your Page 17; when we sent the agenda out for some reason it didn't insert and this is your operating account which shows the period ending March 31, 2009 and the ending balance for your account and that particular account is \$906,576. And if you all have any questions on that account, we can discuss that at this time.

Patricia Rogers: Mr. Chair, this is Patricia.

Chris Brown: Yes.

Patricia Rogers: Tony could you just email us a copy of it so we have it for our records.

Anthony Spivey: Yes. That was my intention.

Patricia Rogers: Okay.

Anthony Spivey: All right. And moving to your unlicensed activity account starting on Page 20. And the actual figures on Page 21 the ending balance for that period March 31, 2009 is \$91,879. If there are no questions on those accounts that ends my report.

Chris Brown: Okay. Thank you. Tony, were you or someone else going to cover the information, the department email?

Anthony Spivey: Yes. I can go through them as we go through these items we can discuss with the individuals that brought those up.

((Crosstalk))

Chris Brown: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

CAM COUNCIL REPORT

Anthony Spivey: Okay. Starting on Page 24, there was a request to - from the Council to give brief summary about public information and (see) what the costs are to do a public information brochure. And as you'll see on Page 24, I received a email response from our communications office and it shows the information that approximately a thousand copies cost almost \$500. And that brochure is in the size of 8-1/2 by 11 sheet folded in half with the information that will be put on it.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia. Are these glossies?

Anthony Spivey: Yes they are.

Patricia Rogers: Okay, because that would explain the cost.

Anthony Spivey: Yes. Any other questions on that item?

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly Moran. I'd like to make a motion for the Council to appropriate funds for our public information brochure at a minimum production of 10,000 brochures.

Chris Brown: Very good. Do we have a second?

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia. I'll second it for sake of discussion. I don't know that I'm ready to move forward with that but I'll second it for the sake of discussion.

Chris Brown: Okay.

Terence Brennan: Yes this is Terence Brennan. Have we previously discussed what the content of these would be? Or in specific terms or general terms or...

Kelly Moran: No. At our last meeting we, if you look at Page 5 of your July 31 minutes, it had indicated that under the public information program that the Council should start developing a public education program, either a brochure or CD, to help educate the public on the role of the (CAM), the firm, and the state of Florida. And I had volunteered...

Terence Brennan: Yes.

Kelly Moran: ...to check with staff regarding cost estimates. And would also work with staff to develop the brochure. And I would like to do that and bring it back to the Council for a draft of the brochure so that the Council may approve it before it goes into of course final print.

However I'd like to see the Council go ahead and appropriate funds so that we can get this project off the road or off the ground. And at 10,000 brochures it would be about \$5000 and would be well within our budget guidelines.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia. I think that I'd like to see the content before I approved appropriation.

Terence Brennan: Would this be - this is Terence Brennan. Would it be possible for you to develop this? I realize you would want to go through a full development and then find that the Council didn't want to do it and waste your time. But could you develop it in kind of an outline aspect so that it kind of goes half way there?

Kelly Moran: I'd be happy to put together some thoughts on the - and do a mock up brochure.

Terence Brennan: Yeah. I'd...

((Crosstalk))

Kelly Moran: Is the Council in agreement that a public education program is needed?

Patricia Rogers: I think - this is Patricia, I think we previously voted that we thought one was.

Kelly Moran: Correct.

Terence Brennan: Right.

Kelly Moran: Yes.

Terence Brennan: Yeah.

Maggie Rogers: This is Maggie Rogers. But I think it's very vague about what direction we're going to go in and I think that we need to see some mock-ups and Kelly if you said you do that (it'd) be great.

Kelly Moran: Yeah.

Maggie Rogers: Is that you that said that?

Kelly Moran: Yes. That's correct Maggie. However I would like the Council to be very cognizant of the fact that the work can't be done on this project unless the Council appropriates funds for it. I will be happy to spend my time to do - to get a quick little draft together but I don't want this project to go any further unless the Council sets aside funds for it.

Maggie Rogers: Well that's - I can (understand). Maggie again, I think that we don't want to be - we want to be prudent and know that what we're voting on is a direction that we want to go in. It's just too vague at this point to give funds for something that we don't even really know what we're doing except for a general idea. So if you could do that that would be really helpful.

Terence Brennan: Yeah. I wouldn't - I wouldn't have a problem with the amount of money. That's just...

Maggie Rogers: We want to know what we're doing.

Kelly Moran: Okay. Let's make sure that this gets on the next agenda for discussion and you will have a draft of the brochure in your package that I'll make sure that Tony gets.

((Crosstalk))

Maggie Rogers: And can you see that it's emailed to each person (too)?

Kelly Moran: I don't know that...

Tim Dennis: I don't - I don't - if it's going to be emailed it needs to be emailed from staff.

Kelly Moran: Yes.

Tim Dennis: I don't think that a Council member should be individually emailing each other.

Kelly Moran: Yes. And that would be a violation of...

((Crosstalk))

Tim Dennis: ...the Sunshine Law issue there. This is Tim Dennis.

Maggie Rogers: I'm not asking for the board members or the Council members to do that. I'm asking when she sends it to staff, which she said she was going to do, I'm asking that it be emailed to us. In fact I'm asking everything be emailed to us.

Anthony Spivey: Anthony Spivey. I need you all to state your name before you speak.

Maggie Rogers: I did.

Chris Brown: Tony, this is (Chris). Are you square on what you're going to put on the next agenda?

Anthony Spivey: Yes.

Chris Brown: For this matter? Good Okay.

Steve Czonstka: This is (Steve). Do we have to table this motion to the next meeting?

Patricia Rogers: I'll move to table. This is Patricia.

Kelly Moran: I believe we have a motion on the floor. I'll withdraw my motion.

Patricia Rogers: A motion was seconded. It can't be withdrawn. I think it just has to be tabled.

Woman: (Unintelligible).

Man: (Moving on). Should I call you in a little bit?

Terence Brennan: Well that's probably okay because if we like the product we probably - we probably...

Patricia Rogers: (Vote to do it).

Terence Brennan: ...probably approve - just do it. Yeah.

Chris Brown: Do we have a second to table?

Anthony Spivey: This is Anthony Spivey again. We already had a motion in and a second so we need to finalize that.

Chris Brown: I thought you had to finalize it and then if it fails then you could entertain a new motion.

Patricia Rogers: No you can have a motion to table. This is Patricia.

Chris Brown: That's what it was. Yeah.

Patricia Rogers: There was no second yet.

Anthony Spivey: No. Your motion was to - the motion was to appropriate the funds and Patricia Rogers seconded.

Patricia Rogers: And now I'm making a motion to table that motion to...

Maggie Rogers: Maggie Rogers. I second the motion to table the motion.

Chris Brown: I don't know if we're doing this correctly but I don't know that...

Anthony Spivey: Well you not...

((Crosstalk))

Chris Brown: ...we bring it up at the next. (In) favor of the motion to table say Aye.

((Crosstalk))

Chris Brown: Oppose same sign. All right. The matter's tabled. Thank you. Go on Tony.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF WHAT CONDO TIME SHARES AND MOBILE HOME DO

Anthony Spivey: Okay. Item Number 2, brief summary of what condo, time shares and mobile homes do and I think this was our - excuse me, I think this was discussed earlier and apparently this is not what was asked from what I heard earlier if I'm correct.

Patricia Rogers: Yes. This is Patricia. Basically I think that it would be helpful if we had an old review from the division of Florida condominiums, time shares and mobile homes of what a condominium - what the condominium laws are, what the HOA laws are, what the other, you know, what coop laws are and so on. Not like a long session because I know it goes on forever but just a sense so that we understand how complicated the - how complicated the rules are that our individual owners must follow.

I think that by doing that - I mean our individual managers must follow. I think by doing that...

Terence Brennan: Hello?

Kelly Moran: Hello?

Terence Brennan: Well (Terrance Brennan's) still here but somebody disappeared.

Man: Okay.

Kelly Moran: Was that Patricia that dropped off?

Anthony Spivey: I think Patricia dropped off.

Chris Brown: I guess it was Patricia that dropped off.

Maggie Rogers: Yeah. Maggie Rogers here.

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly Moran. And when Patricia gets back on she can - she can probably elaborate a little bit more on it. But correct me if I'm wrong, the division of condominiums, time shares and mobile homes regulate those entities. They don't regulate the community association managers. So therefore...

Anthony Spivey: That's correct.

Chris Brown: That's correct.

Kelly Moran: ...associations that have complaints against the condo, the time share entity or the mobile home entity, actually the home owner should go to that division and file their complaints against their association or their boards of directors. So the (DBPR) is the entity that ends in us so the entities that regulate community associations managers because that is a profession.

And when Patricia gets back on, I'm not sure if she was asking whether the Council wanted a copy of all laws relative to 718 for condominiums, time shares, mobile homes 719, 720, 721. Is that the way the Council understood it?

Maggie Rogers: I think we have to wait until she gets back so she can - she can define this herself.

Tim Dennis: This is Tim Dennis. Just from the Council's notes...

Patricia Rogers: Yes, this is Patricia, I apologize my cell - my phone battery went dead.

Chris Brown: Okay. We were hanging - we were just waiting for you Patricia.

((Crosstalk))

Patricia Rogers: Okay. It suddenly went dead. What I - what I was saying is I think that it would help us as a Council if we had a better concept of how difficult some of the laws are. I think that sometimes people - that sometimes we don't really understand what our - what the managers need to know and therefore it is difficult for us to properly regulate. Does that make sense?

Kelly Moran: Patricia, this is Kelly Moran. Are you - do you want all the Council members to receive a copy of 718, 719, 720, et cetera so that they can see what the laws are that regulate each one of the various entities?

Patricia Rogers: Well that may be helpful but I think that more we need like maybe a half an hour overview on each of the - each of them just to get a sense of how different they are.

Terence Brennan: Were you - are you thinking of an overview presentation like a verbal presentation?

Patricia Rogers: Yes.

Terence Brennan: Is that...

Patricia Rogers: Yes. I was thinking of like a, educational program...

Kelly Moran: You would need - you would need an attorney to present that to us, correct, because we would be reviewing statutes?

Patricia Rogers: Do attorneys provide education? I mean I think the department could do it. Certainly someone like (Mr. Cochran) is well qualified to be able to give us an overview.

Maggie Rogers: This is Maggie Rogers. That program that Tony did last year was really great. So something like that can be done.

Patricia Rogers: Yeah. Tony you did a great job of our introduction program. Something like that only about community associations.

Anthony Spivey: This is Tony Spivey. (Chris), would you consider approving appointing maybe a committee member to work with on those to get the information you all are trying to get?

Patricia Rogers: And (Chris) this is Patricia I would volunteer to do that.

Chris Brown: I think it would be good. (Patricia's) got a very clear understanding of what she'd like to do. She could work with the department and the department could, you know, assist in the development of this to the degree they legally can.

I know that when you actually get to specific statutes that the department, because I talked to them, takes the position you need an attorney because they're not able to, you know, give legal interpretation from, you know, of the statutes. So I think that would be very good if Patricia would work with the department on the development of this.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia, I would be pleased to.

Kelly Moran: And this is Kelly Moran. In addition, the division of condominiums, time shares and mobile homes has so many publications that you can - that as a homeowner, board member, community manager, you can obtain from that division that would, you know, kind of - it helps explain exactly what they do and how they regulate those entities. That maybe helpful too.

Chris Brown: Very good. Okay. I think we can move on to your next item, excess revenue.

EXCESS REVENUE

Anthony Spivey: Okay. On excess revenue, the Council at the last meeting briefly discussed the waiving of fees for your upcoming renewal period, which is September of next year. And you wanted a timeline basically, (I mean), could you - when's the latest you could wait to actually invoke such a waiver if you chose too?

And if you look on Page 28 in your agenda, there is an email from Miss (Jean Whitten) who responded to that question for me. I was going to

have her here this morning to speak but unfortunately she has left the department for another position but she did respond to the information.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia. So based upon her email, we would need to do something probably next spring.

Anthony Spivey: Correct. As soon as we get - and we haven't received the information from our General Council's office yet as to the time, specific timeline for the legislative information rule making. But once we get that we can share that information with the Council. But at least this - at least this will give you a heads up as to start thinking more about as to what you want to do with it.

Chris Brown: I have a question Tony. If we sooner than later did everything necessary to do the waiver, would that be taken into consideration if next year the legislature is looking at a sweep?

Anthony Spivey: That I would have to get a reading from the secretary's office. If you decided to do it, what I would do is go ahead and present the information to our finance and accounting office and then they would give a report to the secretary's office as to whether or not it was feasible to do so or not. But...

((Crosstalk))

Chris Brown: Okay. Thank you.

Anthony Spivey: ...made that decision I could move forward with it.

Chris Brown: Okay. Thank you.

RESOLUTION FROM COUNCIL OUTLINING DUTIES DELEGATED TO DEPARTMENT TO REVIEWED ANNUALLY BY COUNCIL

Anthony Spivey: Okay. And item Number 4. The Council asked for a list of duties that are being delegated to staff to work. And if you will look on Page 30 in your agenda, there's three items that the staff takes care of the department here for the Council.

And as you can see, it's approving the legal update courses reviewing and approving those, reviewing and approving the course providers and the course offerings. That is there for your information or for edification or deletion or adding of (unintelligible).

Terence Brennan: This is Terence Brennan. This is kind of tangential to but maybe it's a good place to ask it. Since the subject came up at the July 31 meeting about increasing the number of hours, if that were to be done. I think it should be done in a rational way where the hours

were based on what the education should consist of and not just popping up with a number and then fitting an education into that.

In the - who - would it be for us to determine what the education should consist of or can the department provide some help in determining what topics should be included or - and Patricia might have something to say about this because she's - she deals with educational issues. How would it be determined what a rational number of hours would be? And is this something in anyway for the department to help with?

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia. I think that the department right now is going based upon what the Council has determined as the number of hours that are appropriate. I think that unless there's a change there probably - they probably come up with a pretty rational approach to the number of hours in each area.

I mean it's kind of difficult to say. I mean two hours legal some years that's great and other years it's not enough. This year two hours legal there's really very little legislative change so, you know, it might be that, you know, the instructions going to be very scant. So...

Terence Brennan: Oh I see and this is - this is - these three items are really related mostly to the CEU providers and those course offerings then. It's not the - or does this cover also the initial education?

Patricia Rogers: It does not cover the initial education.

Terence Brennan: Okay.

Patricia Rogers: Well it (would) review and approve course providers. And...

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: Yeah.

Terence Brennan: Okay. Well I'm not...

Patricia Rogers: ...but if I could go back to the original and to the rule change. The rule change specifies what we wanted in the courses.

Terence Brennan: Yeah.

Patricia Rogers: In the - in the (unintelligible).

Kelly Moran: Yeah. So we've already decided on that. This is Kelly. Right?

Terence Brennan: Yeah.

Tim Dennis: And this is Tim Dennis. If there were a legislative change to increase the number of pre licensor hours beyond 24 or make other changes to the licensing and continuing education requirements, I believe the Council would have an opportunity to revisit those rules at that time and make further determinations as if to (there's), you know, if the legislature doesn't specify as to where those hours should be and in what categories.

Terence Brennan: So the legislature as is the current situation specifies a maximum number of hours and then Council kind of works within that limit to see what - how the education should be presented.

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: That's - I don't need to take any more time on that. It was - that was all I had to say.

Chris Brown: Okay. Thank you.

MISSION STATEMENT FOR CAM COUNCIL

Anthony Spivey: Okay. It's Tony Spivey again. Number 5 on the list, mission statement for town Council, I believe that's already been addressed by Mr. (Dennis) earlier this morning.

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly Moran. Is this something that we want to move forward with with regard to the mission statement Council members?

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia. I do - I think we should. I do think that we should have a mission statement. I think that - I think that most sessions have mission statements in the boards. I think it's a very positive thing. If you have a mission statement that reflects that we want high - that we expect our managers to have high ethical standards. I think that any statement we can make along those lines would be really positive.

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly. I agree. Maybe we can all come up with one suggestion, filter that to Tony for next - our next agenda.

Patricia Rogers: Sounds good to me. This is Patricia.

Chris Brown: Sounds good. Sure. All right.

REGULATION REPORT

Anthony Spivey: Okay. The next item is the regulation report on Page 31. That's for information purposes for you. It shows the status of the number of

complaints that were added to the system within the (recorders). If there are no questions on that, we will move to the next agenda item.

Man: (I do).

Man: (Unintelligible). I told him I'd call him when the meeting's over.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Chris Brown: Considering the - we don't have any change in the makeup of the Council from the last meeting, I don't know if we've got any change in Council members positions on the election of the chair.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia. I have not changed. I'll start it. I haven't - I have not changed my position.

Chris Brown: Okay.

Terence Brennan: And, you know, Terence Brennan. I don't think I would vote differently.

Maggie Rogers: This is Maggie Rogers. I have not changed.

Chris Brown: Okay. I have not. (Steve Kelly), any change in your position from the last meeting?

Woman: No. No change in my position.

Man: Okay.

Man: (Steve), no.

Chris Brown: All right. My suggestion is it's just be tabled to the next meeting and I don't know if Tony wanted - has any information on the department proceeding. In fact, the Governor's office proceeding to fill the 7th position.

Anthony Spivey: I know - this is Tony. The Governor's office is aware that the position needs to be filled and they are working to do so. But as of this date I have not received any information of any perspective appointees for the position.

Chris Brown: Okay. Thank you Tony.

Anthony Spivey: Welcome.

Chris Brown: I'm not aware of any other business that we needed to bring up at this point.

Maggie Rogers: Maggie Rogers

Chris Brown: Yes Maggie.

Maggie Rogers: I would like - yes. I'd like to make a motion that all email addresses that anyone needs to use could be used. For example, I have two and one - and often one doesn't work. And so I'd like to make a motion that we're - can have that be done. I don't know if this is appropriate a motion.

((Crosstalk))

Chris Brown: I'm not sure - I'm not sure what the motion is.

Maggie Rogers: The motion is that we can have information sent to at least two different email addresses.

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly. Maggie I think you could probably just address that directly with Tony, right?

Patricia Rogers: I would think - this is Patricia. I would think so.

Maggie Rogers: Okay. All right. I have...

Patricia Rogers: I know that I have two email addresses which probably drives Tony crazy and since I don't always remember which one I last sent from, he gets them from both. He has no - doesn't - hasn't seemed to have had a problem.

Maggie Rogers: It's just that one of my - they're so unreliable and I have EarthLink and, you know, Gmail, which should be fairly reliable but none of them are 100% reliable. And I just want to be sure that - but I would also like to request or make a motion if that need be that all - that our agenda and the details of our agenda are sent through email.

We do get the outline. If we could get the - what you send in the books or have it listed somewhere where we can go into it and check on it, that would - one way or another that we can have that information through, you know, email. So that when we're out of town, we'll still be able to get the information and study it.

Patricia Rogers: Well this is Patricia. I would second that motion. I also think it would be a cost reduction for the staff.

Chris Brown: I think it would actually be a cost increase. We have to now do it both ways. They're going to have to take the time to PDF all the information, you know, rather than just simply copy it.

Patricia Rogers: Well I just...

Maggie Rogers: Well I'm not - this is Maggie. I'm not really very astute but I know that doesn't take much time.

Chris Brown: Okay. I'll let the staff - Tony, do you have any thoughts on that?

Anthony Spivey: I was making some notes on another - could you re-clarify what you were just saying Maggie? Thank you.

Maggie Rogers: I just want to be sure that all the information that you send to us in the packet is also sent through email - through email instead of just the outline. You sent the outline. You send the outline...

Anthony Spivey: Right.

Maggie Rogers: ...of the meetings. But I would like for you to send the details that you put in the packet. And that way if any of us are not at home to receive mail, if we're on vacation or whatever, we can at least pick it upon a computer somewhere. There's not...

Anthony Spivey: What - I will address that with the department because there is a concern that depending on what we put on the agenda may or may not should be out there for the public.

Kelly Moran: Oh.

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: This is Terence Brennan. Can something on the agenda be non-public?

((Crosstalk))

Tim Dennis: There may be information - this is Tim Dennis. There may be information provided to Council members. I'm not say - you know, I don't know how frequently it happens with this board but certainly there could be situations perhaps related to discipline or other matters. And I know this rules - this board's statute and rules are little bit different.

But there are times when the Council members may receive information in the full agenda packet that is considered confidential. Usually that's flagged. And I know the department is going through a

process right now to update its distribution of the agenda on the Web site.

But I think that's something that Tony should address with staff and legal and come back to you at the next meeting with a, you know, a report on.

Terence Brennan: Yeah. If there is - this is Terence Brennan. If there's any situation at all where I receive information that is in some way legally confidential, I would absolutely want to know that because otherwise I would - I would just normally share it with anybody who asked.

And I wouldn't want to be in a position of causing a problem in that respect. But I'm surprised it would happen or could happen. I hope it hasn't happened so far. I haven't seen anything flagged in any of the booklets that I've gotten.

((Crosstalk))

Maggie Rogers: This is Maggie Rogers.

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly Moran.

Maggie Rogers: Last 2-1/2 years I haven't seen it happen. We haven't had anything flagged in the last 2-1/2 years that was confidential.

Chris Brown: Kelly.

Kelly Moran: I just want to - I think Tony just needs to come back to us with, you know, the department's rules regarding dissemination of information. And, you know, technically most of the information that we receive as Council members is subject to public records, laws with regard to the state of Florida.

But there is a - correct me if I'm wrong but I believe there is a process for requesting information through the state and not necessarily to be disseminated by board members or Council members as public record. Correct?

Anthony Spivey: That is correct.

Kelly Moran: Okay. So no Council members would ever disseminate information to the public without them going through the actual public records procedure...

Anthony Spivey: Right.

Kelly Moran: ...to request that information.

Anthony Spivey: That's correct. They should not.

Kelly Moran: And we did have in the past - somebody was on the Council. We did have some confidential information that, you know, was not subject to the open public record law that had been provided to the Council and we had to shred all of our information.

Terence Brennan: That's correct. All right. Well, if that ever happens, I would certainly request that staff clearly flag it as such.

Kelly Moran: Oh yes. They will let you know. Definitely. Trust me.

((Crosstalk))

Maggie Rogers: So. This is Maggie Rogers.

Chris Brown: ...opportunity. This is (Chris). Based on the...

((Crosstalk))

Chris Brown: ...department having opportunity to research this matter and come back and give their advice to us, do we have a motion to table the motion to the next meeting?

Patricia Rogers: I move to table - this is Patricia.

Chris Brown: Okay. Thank you. Do we have a second?

Terence Brennan: Second.

Chris Brown: Okay. Thank you. All those in favor of tabling the motion to...

Maggie Rogers: I'd like to...

Chris Brown: Yes. What?

Maggie Rogers: No argument.

Chris Brown: Okay. All in favor say aye.

((Crosstalk))

Man: Aye.

Woman: Aye.

Woman: Aye.

Chris Brown: Opposed same sign. All right. The matter is tabled to the next meeting to give the department time to review it and make recommendations to the Council.

I think we at this point can request any other topics. We've got quite a few that we've already placed on the agenda as we've been going through the meeting. But if there's anything else we wanted to place and then we needed to discuss the meeting dates. We also needed to discuss two - any of these which would be in person because the next in person date we wanted to discuss privatization.

Okay. No other topics for the next meeting agenda. The dates. Were any of those already scheduled as in person Tony? Is November in person?

Anthony Spivey: Normally - this is Tony. Normally your November meeting is in person. But we have not officially designated it as such.

((Crosstalk))

Kelly Moran: This is Kelly Moran. I hate to be the first one to say anything but I'm already booked up with a teaching assignment in Orlando on November 6.

Patricia Rogers: Okay. This is Patricia. My question - we usually have Friday meeting dates, right?

Chris Brown: Correct. I don't see a problem in my calendar. If the 6th is not good, the following Friday is all right with me.

Kelly Moran: Me too. And all the other dates are fine with me. This is Kelly Moran.

Patricia Rogers: February - and I can't speak to the other - the 2010 dates yet but I'll plan my - I'll plan my schedule around them.

Steve Czonstka: This is (Steve). I can't meet November 13 if you change that.

Patricia Rogers: Okay.

Steve Czonstka: How's the 20th.

Patricia Rogers: Let me look at my calendar.

Kelly Moran: Good for me.

Chris Brown: Good for me. How's the 20th for you (Steve)?

Steve Czonstka: I don't know when Thanksgiving is but that's - it's good to me.

Chris Brown: It's not - it's the following week. It's the 26th.

Steve Czonstka: Okay.

Patricia Rogers: Okay. November 20 is all right with me.

((Crosstalk))

Tim Dennis: This is Tim Dennis. Just to let you know, I'm already committed to meeting the Board of Podiatry in Orlando that Friday. Certainly the Office of the Attorney General will make sure that there's counsel there but at this point I couldn't guarantee that it would be me at that meeting.

Chris Brown: Okay.

Tim Dennis: But that's just, you know, if it works for the board, obviously your, you know, we'll ensure that you have legal counsel there.

Chris Brown: Right. Yeah, I think - like I say, if it works for the board, I think we probably should schedule it.

Tim Dennis: Yes.

Maggie Rogers: We have a - we're not - is it going to be in Tallahassee or Orlando?

((Crosstalk))

Patricia Rogers: Orlando is sure easier and cheaper to get to. This is Patricia.

Kelly Moran: That's true.

Chris Brown: Orlando's good. I mean everybody seems to like Orlando. It's centrally located, you know.

Patricia Rogers: And I can tell you from Ft. Lauderdale, flights to Orlando are outrageously expensive. And...

Terence Brennan: (How) about Tampa?

Patricia Rogers: Tampa's fine with me.

Kelly Moran: Yeah. Tampa's an easy...

((Crosstalk))

Kelly Moran: ...from anywhere.

Chris Brown: Tampa's great with me.

((Crosstalk))

Maggie Rogers: Well Orlando is...

Chris Brown: ...for Tampa?

Maggie Rogers: ...from anywhere.

Chris Brown: What was that?

Maggie Rogers: Orlando is a lot cheaper - this is Maggie. Orlando is the cheapest place to fly to from any place in the state.

Terence Brennan: I would think so.

Maggie Rogers: Yeah. It's the number one destination in the world, that's why.

Kelly Moran: Maggie and Terence where are you coming from? What airport?

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: I'm in (Madison) County.

Maggie Rogers: ...either one. They're very, very cheap.

Chris Brown: Yeah. Tampa would be very convenient to Terence and myself.

Maggie Rogers: Let me finish. The Sanford Airport is very, very cheap. It's right there adjacent to Orlando and the Orlando Regional is cheap too. So those are - those are the best two airports to fly into into the state. They're the cheapest.

Patricia Rogers: But the one - this is Patricia. The one advantage of Orlando is they also have conference rooms on site.

Maggie Rogers: Yes. That's true.

Patricia Rogers: Which makes it much easier.

Terence Brennan: The hotels in Tampa do also.

Maggie Rogers: Not at the airport.

Patricia Rogers: Yeah but not at the airport.

((Crosstalk))

Terence Brennan: I'm actually - I'm indifferent to it as well as the date. It really doesn't make too much difference to me. I don't - just to put my two cents in, I don't mind going to Tallahassee or to Orlando or anywhere. And anyway I'll be driving so I'm not going to be (tossing) the state plane fares, so.

((Crosstalk))

Kelly Moran: Well the Tampa airport has the Marriott connected to it so staff could stay there and we can have, you know, meet in one of the conference rooms there.

Anthony Spivey: This is Tony. We'll look at these meeting sites because I just want to remind you that in November you will be in the beginning and the prime season of football season for the different colleges and other teams.

Chris Brown: Why don't we just leave it Orlando or Tampa, whatever can work out best for...

((Crosstalk))

Patricia Rogers: That's fine...

Chris Brown: ...accommodations and meeting room and let the department decide that. Since this next meeting is in person and we're going to be discussing the privatization, I wanted to bring up the question again because I'm just very concerned that there's going to be questions about privatization.

What does this mean? What does that mean? How would this be done? How would this be affected? That (unintelligible) would have (Robert Scrob), the person who represents the Council on this matter who is the expert present at the meeting to be able to answer

questions that the Council members would have, particularly the new Council members who have never had his presentation before and never had opportunity to ask questions before.

Woman: Yes.

Patricia Rogers: This is Patricia. I would also ask that we get that background package as soon as possible. My schedule gets so hectic. The earlier - even if I can get it a couple of months in advance, it means I really will get a chance to read it.

((Crosstalk))

Chris Brown: It was already in the minutes that the department would send the initial privatization study to all the members. I don't think that's been done yet. It did say...

Patricia Rogers: Okay.

Chris Brown: ...before the next in person meeting. So I mean we're just now deciding on that. But Tony can you get that to the Council members as quickly as possible?

Anthony Spivey: Yes we can, (Chris).

Chris Brown: Okay. Thank you. And then we had an agreement that we would have the (Robert Scrob) present so that he can answer your questions and give his overview of privatization.

Patricia Rogers: Yeah. This is Patricia. I agree. I think we have to have the person who can answer the questions.

Chris Brown: Okay. Very good. All right. I will take care of that. Then for November 20.

Patricia Rogers: Hello.

Chris Brown: Hello.

Patricia Rogers: Oh. Somebody must have just dropped off.

Maggie Rogers: Yeah maybe.

Chris Brown: Who did we...

Woman: (Chris).

Chris Brown: ...who did we lose?

Patricia Rogers: I don't know.

Terence Brennan: Not Terence Brennan.

Patricia Rogers: Not Patricia.

Chris Brown: Okay. Is the department still there? Are you still there Tony?

Anthony Spivey: Yes. We're here.

Chris Brown: Oh. Okay.

Maggie Rogers: It's Kelly. Kelly are you here?

Kelly Moran: I'm here Maggie.

Chris Brown: All right. Did we want to discuss February 5, May 7 or just...

Patricia Rogers: I can't - you know - this is Patricia. I can't commit to those at this point. But if they're Fridays, generally Fridays I can plan around.

Kelly Moran: Should we go ahead and schedule them now and then just discuss at the next meeting to double check schedules?

Patricia Rogers: That's fine. This is Patricia. That's fine with me.

Chris Brown: Okay. How does February 5 look?

Patricia Rogers: I don't know.

Kelly Moran: Good for me. This is Kelly.

Patricia Rogers: And Patricia - I just can't say. I don't know. You know, I'm just now beginning to do the schedule for 2010. But again, as long as it's a Friday, it's usually fine with me.

Chris Brown: Okay. So then tentatively we're set for February 5 and May 7. Do any Council members know already they have conflicts?

Maggie Rogers: Those are phone conferences. This is Maggie. It's a question. These will be phone conferences, right?

Chris Brown: That's correct.

Maggie Rogers: Okay.

Chris Brown: Okay. We will leave them then scheduled for February 5, May 7. And if there's no other business, we're...

Patricia Rogers: I'll make - this is Patricia. I'll make a motion to adjourn.

Maggie Rogers: This is Maggie Rogers. I second it.

Chris Brown: All right. Thank you all very much. You all have a great weekend.

Patricia Rogers: You have to vote. You have to vote.

Chris Brown: All those in favor.

((Crosstalk))

Man: Aye.

Woman: Aye.

Woman: Aye.

Chris Brown: Thanks. You all have a great weekend.

Woman: Thank you.

((Crosstalk))

Patricia Rogers: You too. Bye bye.

Chris Brown: ...department.

Man: Bye bye.

Man: Thank you all.

ADJOURMNET

MOTION: Ms. Patricia Rogers moved to adjourn the meeting.

SECOND: Ms. Maggie Rogers seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:19pm