

# **MINUTES**

**BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY  
Department of Business and Professional Regulation  
The Florida Hotel and Conference Center  
1500 Sand Lake Road  
Orlando, Florida 32806**

**Tuesday, November 21, 2006**

The Board of Cosmetology meeting was called to order at approximately 10:00 a.m., by Mr. Joseph Caetano, Chair.

## **Board Members Present**

Joseph Caetano, Chair  
Laurel Ritenbaugh, Vice Chair  
Donna Osborne  
Laura Brown  
Myra Jowers

## **Board Members Absent**

Monica Smith

## **Other Persons Present**

Robyn Barineau, Executive Director, DBPR  
Dan Biggins, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs  
Charles Tunnickliff, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, DBPR  
Tiffany Harrington, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, DBPR  
Dana Ewaldt, Government Analyst, DBPR

## **Interested Parties Present**

Dianna Garcia, Court Reporter  
Yolando Cazares, Yula, Inc.  
Ron Thanh Nguyen, Respondent, Fabulous Nails  
Kim Nguyen, Translator for Ron Nguyen  
Alvaro Melo, Respondent, Hair Express  
Sonia Rivers, Translator for Alvaro Melo  
Mavery Fuentes, Respondent  
Raquel Santiago, Respondent  
Lillian Mercado, Translator for Raquel Santiago  
Kim Thai Nguyen, Respondent, Angel's Nails  
Sinh Nguyen, Respondent  
Cuong Q. Nguyen, Respondent, Creative Nails  
Hai Truong, Respondent, Elegant Nails  
My Tran, Respondent, US Nails

Bonnie Willis Hutton, Esquire, US Nails  
Chau Tran, Respondent, Rosie's Nails  
Anh T. Nguyen, Respondent, Lee Spa & Nails  
Mylee Hall, Respondent, Nail Creations  
Bobby Bui, Respondent, Maria Nails & Spa

The meeting was opened with a roll call and a quorum was established. Chair Joseph Caetano excused Ms. Monica Smith's absence from the meeting.

## **Disciplinary Matters**

### **Proposed Recommended Order**

Regarding Case No. 2006-006718 against Reyna I. Guzman of Miami, Mr. Charles Tunncliff, Assistant General Counsel, presented the department's case in the matter and suggested the board consider dismissal of all charges against the Respondent in line with the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Recommended Order. Ms. Reyna I. Guzman was not present or represented at the meeting. Mrs. Laura Brown moved that the Proposed Recommended Order be accepted by the board. Ms. Donna Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2005-065557 against Camilo Torres of Brandon, Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$500 in line with the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Recommended Order. Mr. Camilo Torres was not present or represented at the meeting. Mrs. Brown moved that the Proposed Recommended Order be accepted by the board. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2005-022253 against Raquel Santiago of Kissimmee, Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggesting assessing a fine of \$500 plus administrative costs of \$182.31 in line with the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Recommended Order. Ms. Raquel Santiago was present for the meeting along with Ms. Lillian Mercado translating. Ms. Santiago and Ms. Mercado were sworn in by the Court Reporter. Ms. Osborne moved that the Proposed Recommended Order be accepted by the board and that Ms. Santiago be granted a 6-month payment plan, with the first payment of \$182.31 being due within 30 days of the date of the final order plus five additional monthly payments of \$100. Mrs. Brown seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2005-006982 against Alice Juanita Greene of Pensacola, Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggesting assessing a fine of \$2,000 in line with the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Recommended Order. Ms. Alice Juanita Greene was not present or represented at the meeting. Mrs. Brown moved that the Proposed Recommended Order be accepted by the board. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2006-007958 and 2006-007940 against Dania Calix, d/b/a Les Scissors Unisex Salon of Hollywood, Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in the matter and suggested the board consider dismissal of all charges against the Respondent in line with the Administrative Law Judge's Proposed Recommended Order. Ms. Dania Calix was not present or represented at the meeting. Mrs. Brown moved that the Proposed Recommended Order be accepted by the board. Ms. Donna Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

### **Motion for Rehearing**

Regarding Case No. 2005-006765 against US Nails of North Palm Beach, Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that the Administrative Complaint charged the Respondent with a violation of sections 477.029(1)(i) and 477.0265(1)(c), F.S. Mr. Tunncliff reminded the board the case was brought before them as a Motion for Waiver of Rights and Final Order at their July 23, 2006, board meeting and the final order imposed a fine of \$900 and costs of \$140.88 plus a 6-month license suspension. Mr. My Tran, the owner of US Nails, was present at the meeting along with his attorney Ms. Bonnie Willis Hutton, Esquire. Mr. Tran was sworn in by the Court Reporter. Ms. Hutton stated that the meeting notice advising her client of the July 23, 2006, board meeting was signed for by an employee of the salon and that Mr. Tran had no knowledge of the scheduled hearing. Ms. Hutton requested the board vacate the final order as well as remove the 6-month license suspension as three months had already passed. Mrs. Brown moved that the board vacate the final order of the July 23, 2006, board meeting. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion. The motion to vacate the final order passed unanimously. Mr. Tunncliff suggested assessing a fine of \$900 and costs of \$140.88. Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that the fine and costs were paid in full. Mr. Tran offered testimony of corrective actions taken with regard to the inspection report violations. Mrs. Brown moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of \$900 and costs of \$140.88. Ms. Myra Jowers seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

### **Informal Hearing**

Regarding Case No. 2006-003410 against Angel Nails of Orlando, Mr. Tunncliff stated that the Respondent elected an informal hearing. Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$500 and costs of \$313.95. Ms. Kim Nguyen was present for the meeting. Ms. Nguyen was sworn in by the Court Reporter. Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that all fines and costs are paid in full. Ms. Osborne moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of \$500 and costs of \$313.95. Vice Chair Laurel Ritenbaugh seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2006-009128 against Fabulous Nails of Orlando, Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that the Respondent elected an informal hearing. Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$500 and costs of \$331.50. Mr. Ron Nguyen was present along with Ms. Kim Nguyen translating. Mr. Nguyen and Ms. Nguyen were sworn in by the Court Reporter. Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that all fines and costs are paid in full. Ms. Osborne moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of \$500 and costs of \$331.50. Vice Chair Ritenbaugh seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2006-010599 against Nail Creations of Davenport, Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that the Respondent elected an informal hearing. Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$500 and costs of \$353.61. Ms. Maylee Hall was present for the meeting and sworn in by the Court Reporter. Ms. Hall offered testimony stating that had she stopped offering acrylic nail services soon after MMA usage was considered a violation and that Nail Creations Salon only performs gel nail services. Ms. Hall continued by stating that a supplier had given her various product samples which happened to include the acrylic bottle that was found at the time of inspection. At the time of inspection the bottle was unopened and she had no intention of using the acrylic product. Mrs. Brown moved that the board dismiss the case against Nail Creation based on Ms. Hall's testimony. Ms. Jowers seconded the motion. Vice Chair Ritenbaugh and Ms. Osborne opposed the motion. By majority vote, the motion passed dismissing the case.

Regarding Case No. 2005-022926 against Alvaro Melo, d/b/a Hair Express of St. Cloud, Mr. Tunncliff noted the matter was agended as a Motion for Waiver of Rights and Final Order due to no election of rights being filed with his office, however, since the Respondent was present he requested to proceed as an informal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(2), F.S. Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$500 and costs of \$155.04. Mr. Alvaro Melo was present for the meeting along with Ms. Sonia Rivera translating. Mr. Melo and Ms. Rivera were sworn in by the Court Reporter. Mr. Melo offered testimony stating that the salon was in business for approximately three years and that he thought the salon was properly licensed since Osceola County had issued the occupational business license without requesting proof of the State cosmetology salon license. Mr. Melo stated that he closed his business after he was cited for unlicensed activity, that he is now working at another salon and that he will not be applying for a cosmetology salon license in the future. Mrs. Brown moved that due to Mr. Melo's testimony, the board dismiss the fines in this matter assessing only the administrative costs of \$155.04. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2006-007457 against Elegant Nails of Brandon, Mr. Tunncliff noted that the matter was agended as a Motion for Waiver of Rights and Final Order due to no election of rights being filed with his office, however, since the

Respondent was present, he requested to proceed as an informal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(2), F.S. Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$500 and costs of \$370.74. Mr. Hai Truong, the owner, was present along with Mr. Bobby Bui translating. Mr. Truong and Mr. Bui were sworn in by the Court Reporter. Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that all fines and costs are paid in full. Mr. Truong addressed the board stating that the product was left in the salon by the previous owner. Mr. Truong stated that he accepts the fine and has taken corrective action by disposing of the MMA product. Ms. Osborne moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of \$500 and costs of \$370.74. Ms. Jowers seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2006-005337 against Maria Nails & Spa of Orlando, Mr. Tunncliff noted the matter was agended as a Motion for Waiver of Rights and Final Order due to no election of rights being filed with his office, however, since the Respondent was present, he requested to proceed as an informal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(2), F.S. Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$500 and costs of \$315.88. Mr. Bobby Bui was present for the meeting and was sworn in by the Court Reporter. Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that all fines and costs are paid in full. Mr. Bui addressed the board stating that the product purchased from the supplier was specifically labeled "MMA Free". He purchased a product in good faith, and he is unable to perform self-tests on the product to ensure that it is MMA free as the label claims. Mr. Bui asked if the State could intervene with the suppliers who appear to be committing consumer fraud. Mr. Bui feels as if the consumers are being victimized. Ms. Osborne moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of \$500 and costs of \$315.88. Mrs. Brown seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2006-014948 against Rosie's Nails of Leesburg, Mr. Tunncliff noted the matter was agended as a Motion for Waiver of Rights and Final Order due to no election of rights being filed with his office, however, since the Respondent was present, he requested to proceed as an informal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(2), F.S. Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$500 and costs of \$363.55. Mr. Chau Tran was present for the meeting and sworn in by the Court Reporter. Tunncliff informed the board that all fines and costs are paid in full. Mr. Tran addressed the board stating that he had recently taken over ownership of the salon prior to inspection. Mr. Tran does not dispute that MMA was found in the salon, however, the product was labeled "MMA Free" and he had no reason not to trust the label. Vice Chair Ritenbaugh moved that due to Mr. Tran's testimony, the board dismiss the fines in this matter assessing only the administrative costs of \$363.55. Ms. Jowers seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. Board staff will process the refund application for the \$500 fine that was paid in full.

Regarding Case No. 2005-000628 against Mavery Fuentes, d/b/a Mabel Hair Salon of Tampa, Mr. Tunncliff noted the matter was agended as a Motion for Waiver of Rights and Final Order due to no election of rights being filed with his office, however, since the Respondent was present, she requested to proceed as an informal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(2), F.S. Mr. Tunncliff presented the department's case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of \$1,000 and costs of \$136.01. Ms. Mavery Fuentes was present for the meeting along with Ms. Ailen Estevez translating. Ms. Fuentes and Ms. Estevez were sworn in by the Court Reporter. Ms. Fuentes addressed the board stating that she disputed the facts since she knew the supervised exception rule. Ms. Fuentes continued by offering explanation of her examination history. The first examination attempt was October 2003, at which time she failed both portions of the exam. In October 2004, Ms. Fuentes passed the theory portion but failed the written portion of the exam. Ms. Fuentes has retaken and failed the clinical exam in April 2004, March 2005, July 2005, and again in October 2005. Ms. Fuentes stated that she has a family to support and will continue to work without a license. Mrs. Brown stated that it is her desire to prevent Ms. Fuentes from obtaining a Florida cosmetology license as her actions disregard the laws and rules of the cosmetology profession. Mr. Daniel Biggins, Assistant Attorney General, reminded the board that the matter brought before them at this meeting pertains to the disciplinary matter only and that licensure was not an available matter for this proceeding. Mrs. Brown requested that Ms. Fuentes' application file be brought before them at their next meeting, and she would like to request that Ms. Fuentes come back before them prior to being issued a cosmetology license. Vice Chair Ritenbaugh moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of \$1,000, costs of \$136.01, plus all outstanding/unpaid citations totaling \$600 must be paid; and that the order include a payment plan with the first payment of \$236.01 being due within 30 days of the date of the final order and seven monthly payments of \$200 until all matters are paid in full. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2005-037532 against J. Russell Salons of Palm Beach, Mr. Tunncliff requested this disciplinary case be pulled from the agenda to be considered at a later date.

Unless otherwise stated, by appropriate motion the board found that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there were was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint and adopted them as findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board, and imposed the penalties shown below:

- Chinh Nelson Nguyen; Miami  
Case No. 2006-002337  
\$250 fine and \$28.05 costs

- Jade Nails; Orlando  
Case No. 2005-063997  
\$500 fine and \$320.47 costs
- Jean Krupa, d/b/a Heavenly Skin; Holly Hill  
Case Nos. 2006-0019168 and 2006-018181  
\$500 fine and \$21.75 costs
- Michelle Anna Nguyen; Land O'Lakes  
Case No. 2006-013413  
\$500 fine and \$402.46 costs
- Nail Place; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-016676  
\$500 fine and \$319.05 costs
- Phong Tan Nguyen; Seminole  
Case No. 2006-011959  
\$500 fine and \$339.70 costs
- Roberto A. Figueroa; Jupiter  
Case No. 2004-048757  
\$1,000 fine and \$100.57 costs
- Tien M. Nguyen; Sarasota  
Case No. 2005-059267  
\$50 fine and \$336.87 costs
- Tip-Top Nails and Day Spa; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-010478  
\$500 fine and \$294.15 costs
- Top Nails; Cocoa  
Case No. 2006-012650  
\$500 fine and \$294.15 costs
- Yvonne A. Houston; Clearwater  
Case No. 2006-021044  
\$500 fine and \$71.15 costs
- Charisma Nails and Spa; Maitland  
Case No. 2006-001451  
\$500 fine and \$325.84 costs
- Teresa N. Woo; Kenneth City  
Case No. 2006-009141  
\$500 fine and \$59.80 costs

## **Motions for Waiver of Rights and Final Order**

Unless otherwise stated, by appropriate motion the board found that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, the Respondent failed to respond within 21 days thereby waiving their right to elect a hearing in this matter, the board accepted the allegations as stated in the Administrative Complaint and adopted them as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board, and imposed the penalties shown below:

- Adaliza's Nails; Poinciana  
Case No. 2005-006120  
\$500 fine and \$119.87 costs
- Addington K. Braynen; Pompano Beach  
Case No. 2005-037133  
\$1,000 fine and \$77.91 costs
- Alicia Casas; Ft. Lauderdale  
Case No. 2004-039771  
\$500 fine and \$222.41 costs
- Awilda Pagan; Pompano Beach  
Case No. 2006-014003  
\$500 fine and \$105.71 costs
- Carolyn Landers; Ft. Lauderdale  
Case No. 2006-048240  
\$1,000 fine and \$49.54 costs
- Elizabeth Sansom; North Palm Beach  
Case No. 2005-021019  
\$1,500 fine and \$156.62 costs
- Elizabeth Sansom; North Palm Beach  
Case No. 2005-029627  
\$500 fine and \$144.65 costs
- Eva's European Skin Care; Altamonte Springs  
Case No. 2005-043322  
\$500 fine and \$133.68 costs
- K-Nails, Inc.; Kissimmee  
Case No. 2006-001685  
\$500 fine and \$325.84 costs
- Keely's Hair Color Salon; Seminole  
Case No. 2004-046917  
\$1,000 fine and \$409.97 costs

- Long Bao Nguyen; Brandon  
Case No. 2006-007462  
\$500 fine and \$370.74 costs
- Louis Wellon; Sanford  
Case No. 2004-037908  
\$500 fine and \$106.63 costs
- Metro West Nails and Spa; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-009122  
\$500 fine and \$306.60 costs
- Nail Elite; Oviedo  
Case No. 2005-054962  
\$50 fine and \$77.91 costs
- Nail Salon; Kissimmee  
Case No. 2006-003507  
\$500 fine and \$318.70 costs
- Nailport; Marianna  
Case No. 2004-049625  
\$500 fine and \$352.30 costs
- Paulette A. Thomas; North Miami Beach  
Case No. 2004-015023  
\$1,000 fine and \$188.04 costs
- Sabrina D. Lesane; Fort Pierce  
Case No. 2005-011065  
\$1,200 fine and \$125.13 costs
- Samuel Dela Torre; Lake Worth  
Case No. 2006-005343  
\$2,000 fine and \$84.69 costs
- Studio 108; Englewood  
Case No. 2005-027783  
\$500 fine
- SWA's Master Salon; West Palm Beach  
Case No. 2005-051467  
\$1,800 fine and \$128.06 costs
- Theresa Z. Jacobs; Jacksonville  
Case Nos. 2005-024281 & 2005-024283  
\$500 fine and \$9.79 costs

- Teresa N. Woo; Kenneth City  
Case No. 2006-009120  
\$500 fine and \$59.80 costs
- VN Nails; Miami  
Case No. 2006-003750  
\$500 fine and \$80.41 costs

### **Settlement Stipulations**

Regarding Case No. 2006-009124 against Lee Spa Nails of Orlando, the Administrative Complaint charged the Respondent with a violation of Section 477.0265(1)(h), F.S. Mr. Anh T. Nguyen was present for the meeting and sworn in by the Court Reporter. The department suggested assessing a fine of \$500 and \$306.60 costs. Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that the fines and costs are paid in full. Mrs. Brown moved that the board adopt the Stipulation of the parties as the board's final action in this matter and incorporate it and all its terms into a final order. Ms. Jowers seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Unless otherwise stated, by appropriate motion the board found the Respondent to have committed the offenses as alleged in the Administrative Complaint and adopted the Stipulation of the parties as the board's final action regarding a penalty to be imposed on the Respondent as follows:

- Leslie's Nails; Brandon  
Case No. 2006-007371  
\$500 fine and \$269.38 costs
- Lorri A. Bolander; Key West  
Case No. 2006-012355  
\$500 fine and \$88.14 costs
- California Nails; Tallahassee  
Case No. 2005-051832  
\$550 fine and \$76 costs
- Cecilia D. Nguyen; St. Petersburg  
Case No. 2005-063827  
\$338.12 costs
- Central Nails; Clermont  
Case No. 2006-021454  
\$750 fine and \$199.14 costs
- Central Nails; Orlando  
Case No. 2005-063986  
\$500 fine and \$320.47 costs

- Chinh Nelson Nguyen; Miami  
Case No. 2006-002333  
\$250 fine and \$29.15 costs
- Creation Nails; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-016691  
\$500 fine and \$319.05 costs
- Cuong Quoc Nguyen; Valrico  
Case No. 2006-013394  
\$500 fine and \$402.94 costs
- Deluxe Nails, Inc.; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-001863  
\$500 fine and \$315.88 costs
- Fesal K. Sari; Maimi  
Case No. 2005-064960  
\$250 fine and \$48.94 costs
- Golden Nails and Tanning; Leesburg  
Case No. 2006-014939  
\$200 fine and \$363.55 costs
- Hair & Such; Lauderhill  
Case No. 2006-002798  
\$800 fine and \$161.91 costs
- Jennifer Chin-Young; Port St. Lucie  
Case No. 2005-045699  
\$500 fine and \$86.24 costs
- Karline Ricketts; Miami  
Case No. 2005-037552  
\$1,800 fine and \$156.62 costs
- Khanh Kim Tran; St. Petersburg  
Case No. 2006-005364  
\$500 fine and \$309.60 costs
- Mariana C. Santana; Miami  
Case No. 2006-002845  
\$500 fine and \$50.01 costs
- Nail Time; Jacksonville  
Case No. 2005-004504  
\$250 fine and \$455.89 costs

- Natural Nails & Spa; Orlando  
Case No. 2005-050080  
\$500 fine and \$400.54 costs
- Ngo T. Le; Pinellas Park  
Case No. 2006-005397  
\$500 fine and \$309.60 costs
- Perfect Nail Care; Longwood  
Case No. 2005-054958  
\$500 fine and \$386.39 costs
- Quang P. Nguyen; Pinellas Park  
Case No. 2006-011972  
\$500 fine and \$339.70 costs
- Ramon Horta; Miami  
Case No. 2005-064965  
\$500 fine and \$63.84 costs
- Ray T. Nguyen; Pinellas Park  
Case No. 2006-005397  
\$500 fine and \$309.60 costs
- Regal Nails; Orlando  
Case No. 2005-057007  
\$2,000 fine and \$467.78 costs
- Rene Carlos Valle; Maimi  
Case No. 2006-008020  
\$500 fine and \$175.65 costs
- Erich Roa; Lake Worth  
Case No. 2004-011904  
\$3,200 fine and \$147.80 costs
- Rossana P. Serrano; Miami  
Case No. 2006-012545  
\$500 fine and \$62.19 costs
- Sandy Tran; Bradenton  
Case No. 2005-059272  
\$50 fine and \$346.80 costs
- Sharon Spencer; Port St. Lucie  
Case No. 2005-045692  
\$500 fine and \$86.24 costs

- Sinh Nguyen; Seffner  
Case No. 2006-011115  
\$500 fine and \$396.50 costs
- Super Nails; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-008930  
\$500 fine and \$299.14 costs
- Tiffany Nail & Spa; Vero Beach  
Case No. 2005-040425  
\$500 fine and \$128.06 costs
- Top Nails; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-009115  
\$500 fine and \$306.60 costs
- Top V Tech Nails; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-015446  
\$500 fine and \$319.05 costs
- VIP Nails; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-011092  
\$500 fine and \$331.50 costs
- Wilson N Nail Salon; Oviedo  
Case No. 2006-016681  
\$500 fine and \$319.05 costs
- Mishalz; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-010921  
\$250 fine and \$136.27 costs
- VY Quoc Luu; Dover  
Case No. 2006-013430  
\$500 fine and \$573.12 costs
- Polo Nail Salon; Orlando  
Case No. 2006-012648  
\$500 fine and \$294.15 costs

#### **Department Attorney Report**

Mr. Tunncliff informed the board that as of November 16, 2006, there are 220 open cosmetology cases in the legal section.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.