The Board of Cosmetology meeting was called to order at approximately 9:00 a.m., by Ms. Mary Blanco, Chair.

**Board Members Present**

Mary Blanco, Chair  
Joseph Caetano, Vice Chair  
Donna Osborne  
Laura Brown  
Monica Smith

**Board Members Absent**

Anthony White

**Other Persons Present**

Diane Carr, Secretary, DBPR  
Candace Jones, Director, Office of Legislative Affairs, DBPR  
Jerry Wilson, Deputy Director, Division of Professions and Regulation, DBPR  
Julie Malone, Executive Director, DBPR  
Erica Glover, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs  
Diane Guillemette, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs  
Drew Winters, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, DBPR  
Susan Wilkinson, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, DBPR  
Robyn Barineau, Government Analyst, DBPR

**Interested Parties Present**

Sue Habershaw, Court Reporter  
Susan Albershardt, Commissioner, Governor’s Office of Film and Entertainment  
Robert Valdez, Florida Cosmetology Schools Association  
Bonnie Poole, Florida Cosmetology Association  
Nadine Forbes, Steiner Education Group  
April Lowe, Hair Jamaica  
Portia Washington, Hair Trends  
Cynthia Glover, Hair Trends  
Lynette Beuligman, Puttin on the Ritz Salon
The meeting was opened with a roll call and a quorum was established. Chair Blanco excused Mr. Anthony White’s absence from the meeting.

**Approval of Minutes: October 26, 2003**

Vice Chair Joseph Caetano moved to accept the minutes from the October 26, 2003, board meeting. Ms. Donna Osborne seconded the motion to accept the minutes and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Erica Glover, Assistant Attorney General, inquired if all the board members had received their board meeting materials, if the materials were legible, and if the members had reviewed all the materials. All members responded in the affirmative to these questions.

**Disciplinary Matters**

**Informal Hearings**

Regarding Case No. 2003-046596 against Rhonda’s Unique Touch of West Palm Beach, Mr. Winters presented the department’s case in this matter. Ms. Osborne moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of $150 and costs of $104.94. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2003-057777 against Juan R. Alberto, d/b/a Hair Garden Salon of Miami, Mr. Winters pulled this case from the meeting agenda and indicated it will be presented to the board at a later date.

Regarding Case No. 2003-045250 against Paulette Thomas of North Miami Beach, Mr. Winters presented the department’s case in this matter. Vice Chair Caetano moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of $500 and costs of $188.04. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2003-053017 against Rupert McDonald of St. Petersburg, Mr. Winters presented the department’s case in this matter. Ms. Osborne moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of $500 and costs of $69.85. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2003-062925 against Nailport Express of Tallahassee, Mr. Winters presented the department’s case in this matter. Vice Chair Caetano moved that
the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and impose a fine of $500 and costs of $95.08. Ms. Monica Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

**Defaults**

Ms. Laura Brown inquired about Case No. 2003-013517 against Wild Hare Salon, Inc., of Boca Raton. She added that since this Respondent has repeatedly violated the law, there should be a harsh penalty assessed against this licensee. Mr. Winters informed Ms. Brown that Count IV of the Administrative Complaint takes into consideration the willful or repeated violations of the cosmetology law. Ms. Glover and Mr. Winters explained to Ms. Brown the difference between reprimands, administrative fines, probation, suspensions, revocations, and restrictions of a Respondent’s practice.

Ms. Brown inquired about Case No. 2003-012748 against Kassie Hadfield of Delray Beach. She asked Mr. Winters about the felony charge mentioned in the disciplinary paperwork included in the agenda. Mr. Winters informed Ms. Brown that there is currently an outstanding warrant for Mr. Hadfield’s arrest for utilizing the license of another cosmetology licensee. He added that law enforcement officials are not aware of her whereabouts. Ms. Brown mentioned the need to ensure that should Ms. Hadfield apply to the department in the future for her nail registration, that her application be brought back to the board for consideration at that time. Ms. Julie Malone, Executive Director, ensured Ms. Brown that there are measures in place that would not allow Ms. Hadfield to get a nail specialty registration without her application being reviewed by board staff and the board.

Ms. Brown pointed out a typographical error in Case No. 2003-003906 against Anderson Montgomery of West Palm Beach. She stated that line 4 of the document contained on page 453 should read “he” rather than “she.”

Unless otherwise stated, by appropriate motion the board found that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, the Respondent was in default, the board accepted the allegations as stated in the Administrative Complaint and adopted them as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board, and imposed the penalties shown below:

- Changing Faces Hair Design; Orlando  
  Case No. 2003-052472  
  $400 fine and $160.42 costs

- Headstart Hair Care Salon, Inc.; Destin  
  Case No. 2003-064875  
  $350 fine and $144.01 costs

- Toshanda L. Engram; Orlando  
  Case No. 2003-052477  
  $200 fine and $97.86 costs
- Wild Hare Salon, Inc.; Boca Raton  
  Case No. 2003-013517  
  $650 fine and $143.67 costs

- Kassie Hadfield; Delray Beach  
  Case No. 2003-012748  
  $1,500 fine and $321.69 costs

- Mary Emanuel, d/b/a Unique Hair & Nail Salon; Orlando  
  Case No. 2003-060715  
  $300 fine and $130.37 costs

- Kenneth Thompson; Orlando  
  Case No. 2003-048927  
  $250 fine and $107.49 costs

- Anderson Montgomery; West Palm Beach  
  Case No. 2003-003096  
  $3,500 fine and $156.84 costs

- The Millenium Beauty; Kissimmee  
  Case No. 2003-058734  
  $250 fine and $106.57 costs

- Tyrone Ragin; Ft. Pierce  
  Case No. 2003-041866  
  $200 fine and $126.98 costs

- Analia Edith Samiento; Miami Beach  
  Case No. 2002-00559  
  $500 fine and $583.33 costs

- Greenhouse Day Spa Group, Inc.; Orlando  
  Case No. 2003-044696  
  $150 fine and $272.88 costs

- Zhanette House of Beauty, Inc.; Miramar  
  Case No. 2003-060917  
  $800 fine and $100.14 costs

- New Image Beauty Salon; Pompano Beach  
  Case No. 2001-08932  
  $1,000 fine and $272.64 costs

- Charletta’s Unisex Beauty Salon; Lake Butler  
  Case No. 2003-061821  
  $50 fine and $99.07 costs
Stipulations

Unless otherwise stated, by appropriate motion the board found the Respondent to have committed the offenses as alleged in the Administrative Complaint and adopted the Stipulation of the parties as the board’s final action regarding a penalty to be imposed on the Respondent as follows:

- Nelson Villabol, d/b/a Nelquan’s Touch; Orlando
  Case No. 2003-048923
  $1,250 fine and $120.86 costs
  This case was originally agendaeed as a Motion for Default and Final Order but a Settlement Stipulation was signed by the Respondent and forwarded to the department subsequent to the preparation of the board meeting agenda.

- Bobbie’s Haircuts; Jacksonville
  Case No. 2003-064476
  $50 fine and $205.80 costs

- European Style by Gaby; Cape Coral
  Case No. 2003-066863
  $500 fine and $108.80 costs

- Adam & Eve Hair Creation; Naples
  Case No. 2003-056967
  $250 fine and $215.86 costs

- Top Nail; Tequesta
  Case No. 2003-001077
  $850 fine and $101.26 costs

- Hair Jamaica; Sunrise
  Case No. 2003-0048607
  $200 fine and $62.12 costs

- Hair Obsession; Miami
  Case No. 2003-001576
  $500 fine and $83.98 costs

Department Attorney Report

Mr. Winters distributed a Prosecuting Attorney Status Report. He informed the board that there are currently 131 open cosmetology cases in the legal section.

Mr. Winters introduced Ms. Susan Wilkinson, a recent graduate of the Florida State University College of Law, and informed the board that she will be working with him on cosmetology disciplinary cases. The board welcomed Ms. Wilkinson.
Applications

Hair Braiding Course Applications

- School District of Hillsborough County
  Vice Chair Caetano moved to approve this course application as amended by the provider. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- Natural Braiding Empire
  Ms. Osborne moved to approve this course application. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- Hollywood Institute of Beauty Careers
  Ms. Osborne moved to approve this course application. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Hair Wrapping Course Application

- Hollywood Institute of Beauty Careers
  Ms. Osborne moved to deny this course application as the last page of the course outline (agenda page 1067) references hair braiding rather than hair wrapping. Ms. Brown seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

The board asked Ms. Malone to research and report at the next board meeting why hair braiding, hair wrapping and body wrapping providers are allowed to come before the board as a provider without being licensed by the Commission for Independent Education. The board was made aware of the exemption in section 477.0132(1)(d), F.S., not requiring these providers to hold a license through the Commission for Independent Education.

Continuing Education Provider and Course Applications

- Caridad X. Zamora
  Course Application
  HIV/AIDS Prevention – 4 Hours
  Ms. Osborne moved to deny this course application as a complete course outline is necessary. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

  In addition, Ms. Osborne asked Ms. Malone to contact this provider and have them forward the course handouts for review.
- **Educational Dimensions**
  - **Provider Application**
  - **Course Application**
  - Advanced Concepts in Medical Skincare I – 22 Hours (Live)
    Ms. Osborne moved to approve the provider application. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

    Ms. Osborne moved to deny the course application as the course is not directly related to the practice of cosmetology. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- **F.A.C.E.S. – Florida Aesthetic Continuing Education Seminars**
  - **Provider Application**
  - **Course Application**
  - Introduction to Medical Aesthetics – 6 Hours (Live)
    Ms. Osborne moved to approve the provider application. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

    Ms. Osborne moved to deny the course application as it relates to the medical profession and not the practice of cosmetology. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- **The International Dermal Institute**
  - **6 Course Applications**

    Ms. Smith asked that she be recused from this matter as she works with this provider and believes this would create a conflict of interest.

    - **Hydroxy Acids and Exfoliation Techniques – 4 Hours (Live)**
      Ms. Brown moved to approve this course application. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

    - **Sun Damage and Aging Skin – 4 Hours (Live)**
      Ms. Osborne moved to approve this course application. Ms. Brown seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

    - **Successful Acne Treatments – 4 Hours (Live)**
      Ms. Osborne moved to approve this course application. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

    - **The “Original” Speed Waxing Techniques – 4 Hours (Live)**
      Ms. Osborne moved to approve this course application. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

    - **Effective Skin Analysis – 4 Hours (Live)**
      Ms. Osborne moved to approve this course application. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.
European Skin Care Techniques – 6 Hours (Live)
Vice Chair Caetano moved to approve this course application. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Osborne moved to reconsider this course application. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Osborne moved to deny this course application as it does not solely relate to the practice of skin care and relates to massage techniques. Ms. Brown seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

New Business

Secretary Diane Carr introduced herself and Commissioner Susan Albershardt, Governor’s Office of Film and Entertainment. Secretary Carr mentioned a proposed exemption to the cosmetology law regarding make up artistry which is being sponsored by Representative Don Davis and Senator Jim Sebesta. She added that this narrowly drawn exemption is inline with the Governor’s initiative to diversify Florida’s economy and that the department will move forward simultaneously with the board’s desire to have MMA prohibited in the state of Florida. Commissioner Albershardt spoke about bringing films to Florida and the economic impact this will have on our state. She added that her goal is to make Florida more film-friendly. Ms. Brown interjected her position that all film environments need to be sanitary. Ms. Osborne asked if the proposed exempted make up artists would be properly trained for their work outside of Florida. Commissioner Albershardt stated that a vast majority of these make up artists learn their trade through on-the-job training and evolution in the field. Secretary Carr added that most have been affiliated with the industry for a long time. Ms. Lynette Beuligmann stated that this exemption would be a handicap to the licensees in the state of Florida as these individuals would be allowed to work without being licensed and meeting the state’s minimum licensure requirements including HIV/AIDS education. Ms. Malone stated that there are current statutory exemptions from licensure and that these exemptions do not require those individuals to meet a minimum standard. Ms. Bonnie Poole, Florida Cosmetology Association, added that these individuals should not be exempt from licensure and that the Florida Cosmetology Association would not support this initiative. Ms. Brown stated that overall she supports this proposal. She added that most cosmetology licensees do not have the knowledge to apply make up for monsters or other special effects and that persons affected would not be the general public but actors. Ms. Brown further stated that this exemption would also allow an opportunity for Florida licensees to learn from other make up artists. Ms. Osborne reiterated the need to ensure sanitation in the film environments. Mr. Robert Valdez, Florida Cosmetology Schools Association, indicated that there needs to be a reasonable agreement with the film industry and the licensee base. Secretary Carr reiterated that she and Commissioner Albershardt were present to inform the board about the make up artist proposal that will be filed by Representative Davis and Senator Sebesta and will be linked with the proposal to ban MMA in Florida. Ms. Beuligmann stated that she believes that Florida’s licensees are qualified to perform make up artistry on films. She added that these individuals would be allowed to come to Florida and work on film sets without any type of license, and Florida currently will not allow reciprocity with other states allowing licensed cosmetologists from other states to
work in Florida. Secretary Carr added that the department hopes to be able to work on the board’s desire to allow for reciprocity in Florida but that this initiative is in progress and not yet finalized. She stated that since MMA was discussed last legislative session, this initiative is ready to move forward during the upcoming session. Mr. Valdez mentioned to Secretary Carr that he would like to meet with her, go over outstanding industry issues and come up with a reasonable agreement. Secretary Carr again thanked the board for allowing her the opportunity to discuss this legislative proposal. She added that she will keep the board informed about MMA and reciprocity.

Applications (continued)

Continuing Education Provider and Course Applications (continued)

- American Institute of Massage Therapy, Inc.
  Provider Application
  10 Course Applications

  Ms. Brown moved to approve the provider application. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

  Facial/Body Skin Care I – 16 Hours (Live)
  Facial/Body Skin Care II – 16 Hours (Live)
  Specialty Treatments – 16 Hours (Live)
  Signature Aromatherapy Facial – 16 Hours (Live)
  Ultra Copper Firming Facial – 16 Hours (Live)
  Revitalizing Cell Facial – 16 Hours (Live)
  Waxing – 16 Hours (Live)
  Cosmetic Applications & Services – 16 Hours (Live)
  Cleopatra – 16 Hours (Live)
  Microdermabrasion Treatment – 16 Hours (Live)

  Ms. Smith moved to deny all ten course applications as they appear to be product oriented including specific retail company names. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

  The board asked Ms. Malone to contact the provider and inform them to delete “Turnberry” from the proposed services as it appears to be advertising.

- Cynthia’s Beauty Academy
  Provider Application
  5 Course Applications

  Ms. Brown moved to approve the provider application. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.
Ms. Osborne moved to deny all five course applications as they do not appear to be continuing education courses, and they contain no course outlines. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

**Hair Braiding Course Applications (continued)**

- **Exotica Academy, Inc.**
  Ms. Malone reminded the board that this hair braiding course application was denied at the last board meeting because of the limited course outline. Ms. Brown moved to approve the course application as amended. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

**Continuing Education Provider and Course Applications (continued)**

- **Creative Nail Professionals**
  **Course Application**
  Nail Master Seminar – 30 Hours (Live)
  Ms. Malone reminded the board that this continuing education course application was denied at the April 2003 board meeting as the course materials did not include source materials. Ms. Brown moved to deny the continuing education course application as there appears to be product endorsement information (EZ Flow) included in the course materials. Vice Chair Caetano seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Poole inquired about the electronic reporting for continuing education providers and there not being a category for providers to submit elective courses successfully completed by licensees. Ms. Malone with inquire about this problem and report her findings to the board.

**Body Wrapping Course Application**

- **International Spa Importing Specialists**
  Ms. Brown moved to approve the body wrapping course application. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

**Committee Reports**

**Continuing Education Committee**

Chair Blanco indicated she continues to review continuing education provider and course applications as they are provided to her by the Bureau of Education and Testing.
Rules Committee

- Rule 61G5-30.004, F.A.C. - Citations
  Ms. Osborne mentioned the citation rule amendments included in the agenda. Mr. Winters mentioned the following changes to the rule amendments: Line two on agenda page 1601 should begin a new paragraph at (9); on agenda page 1601, (9)(a) should read, “Practicing cosmetology or a specialty with an inactive or expired license for more than five months but not more than six months without a license”; on agenda page 1601, (9)(c) should read, “Employing a person to practice cosmetology or a specialty with an inactive or expired license for more than five months but not more than six months without a license”; on agenda page 1602, (11)(b) should read, “Operating a salon with a delinquent license for more than seven months but not more than eight months”; and on agenda page 1602, (11)(c) should read, “Employing a person to practice cosmetology or a specialty with an inactive or expired license for more than seven months but not more than eight months”. After discussion, Ms. Brown moved to approve the citation rules as amended. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- Rule 61G5-24.002, F.A.C. – Original Cosmetologist Licensure Fee, Cosmetologist Examination and Endorsement Fees, Initial Specialist Registration; Application and Endorsement Fee
  Ms. Malone explained that the new contract with the professional testing vendor has decreased the computer-based examination cost per hour from $13 per hour to $9 per hour. Ms. Malone suggested the board shift the current fees collected from examination candidates for examination and reexamination which would not change the amount currently paid by applicants. After further discussion, the board agreed to amend Rule 61G5-24.002(1)(b), F.A.C., to increase the examination fee paid to the department to $23 and decrease the examination fee paid to the professional testing service to $27. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Other Business

Executive Director Report

Operating and Unlicensed Activity Accounts for Periods September 30, 2003 and 2002

Ms. Malone pointed out that the board’s net assets as of September 30, 2003, were ($687,574) and that the board’s expenditures appear to be outpacing the board’s revenues by a sum of approximately $250,000 per year. However, Ms. Malone stated that she needs to further investigate this matter.

Ms. Brown inquired about the expenses and the accountability for these expenses. She asked Ms. Malone to research how a trust fund can be swept without a profession’s knowledge and whether or not consideration is given to a profession if they are in a deficit posture.
Committee Report (continued)

Rules Committee

- Rule 61G5-24.008, F.A.C. – Biennial Renewal Fee for Cosmetologists and Specialists
- Rule 61G5-24.010, F.A.C. – Delinquent License and Specialty Registration Fee
- Rule 61G5-24.017, F.A.C. – Inactive Status License and Specialty Registration Fees

Ms. Brown moved to table these rules for further discussion at the next board meeting and that Rule 61G5-24.005, F.A.C. – Salon License Fee, be noticed for rule development at the next board meeting. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Malone will provide the board with a detailed accounting on increasing these fee rules.

The board asked that individuals from the budget office and other areas of the department be present at the next board meeting to answer questions relating to the budget. Mr. Jerry Wilson, Deputy Director, Division of Professions and Regulation, will address the board’s request.

- Rule 61G5-22.05, F.A.C. – Specialty Certification
- Rule 61G5-22.016, F.A.C. – Minimum Curriculum for Nail Specialty Training

Ms. Osborne suggested the minimum curriculum for nail specialty be increased from 240 hours to 500 hours. She added that students who complete school at 240 hours and obtain their nail specialty registration are not proficient enough to go out in the workforce and begin working. These students need additional experience or must be given more services while in school. Mr. Valdez interjected his opinion that increasing hours will not be helpful but that the focus should be geared toward competencies. Ms. Poole stated that increasing competencies will increase hours. She added that the Florida Cosmetology Association supports instituting a state administered examination for nail technicians and that a state examination will assist in protecting the public’s health, safety and welfare.

Ms. Osborne suggested that facial specialist registrants be titled estheticians. She added that the course curriculum for estheticians be increased from 260 hours to 600 hours and agreed that a state examination should be administered for estheticians.

Ms. Poole suggested the board consider tacking on legislative proposals to SB1474, the Consumer Health Freedom Act.

Mr. Valdez mentioned his previous proposal to create a hair stylist license for those individuals who only desire to perform hair styling services. He added that there should also be a nail specialty and facial specialty registration and that a full cosmetologist license encompass all services. He supports increasing the facial specialty hours to 600.
Ms. Beuligmann suggested the full cosmetologist hours be increased to a minimum of 1,500 hours.

Ms. Poole added that the Florida Cosmetology Association has a problem with creating a hair stylist license and further fragmenting the cosmetologist license.

Ms. Osborne moved to revisit increasing the educational hours for facial specialists, nail specialists and cosmetologists at the next board meeting. Ms. Brown seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

**Legislative Committee**

Ms. Brown restated the department is assisting with the proposed MMA language. She added that she has spoken with Dennis Ratnor Companies and they will consider assisting with the board’s proposed legislative changes to the cosmetology definitions and the reciprocity initiative. Ms. Malone will inform the board of Secretary Carr’s progress on the reciprocity initiative. Ms. Brown will provide Mr. Valdez with the lobbying information for Dennis Ratnor Companies.

**Old Business**

There was no old business for discussion.

**New Business**

There was no new business for discussion.

**Other Business (continued)**

**Executive Director Report (continued)**

National Interstate Council (NIC) Bulletin/September 2003
NIC Annual Region Meeting
NIC Directory and General Information
National Coalition of Esthetic & Related Associations’ Newsletter/Winter 2003

Ms. Malone informed the board that this information was included in the board meeting agenda for informational purposes.

Ms. Malone will check with Regulation to determine if they can collect wedding planning guides in Florida and target unlicensed activity included in these guides.

**Board Attorney Report**

Ms. Glover informed the board that she has resigned from the Attorney General’s Office and introduced Ms. Diane Guillemette as her replacement.

**Regulation Report**

Ms. Malone mentioned the Complaints and Investigations Report for Quarter Ending September 30, 2003, and the Cosmetology, Hair Wrapper, and Hair Braider Case Statistics included in the agenda for informational purposes.

**Bureau of Education and Testing**

There was no report from the Bureau of Education and Testing.

**Board Member Comments**

There were no comments from the board members.

**Chairperson Comments**

Chair Blanco had no additional comments.

**Correspondence**

**Letter from DBPR Legislative Affairs Director Candace Jones to Senator Evelyn J. Lynn Regarding Kathleen Nuce Dated November 25, 2003**

Ms. Malone indicated that this letter was included in the agenda for informational purposes. Ms. Brown inquired if airbrush tanning falls under the jurisdiction of the Department of Health. Chair Blanco stated that she felt this falls under the jurisdiction of the Department of Health. Ms. Malone will research this matter and report her findings to the board at the next meeting.

**Letter to Deborah King Dated November 25, 2003**
**Letter to Laura Root Dated November 25, 2003**
**Letter to Denise Fuller Dated November 25, 2003**
**Letter to Velita Limbaugh Dated November 25, 2003**
**Letter to Susanne Warfield Dated November 25, 2003**
**Email to Sherry Park of Florida College of Natural Health Dated December 1, 2003**

These letters will be included in the next board meeting agenda for discussion.

**Dates and Locations of Future Board Meetings**

The board agreed to conduct its next meeting on Sunday, April 18, 2004. The board selected three possible meeting locations in the following preference order: Sanibel Island, Key West or Orlando. Ms. Malone stated that the meeting site will be determined per budget.
Ms. Beuligmann inquired about licensees including their license numbers on all advertisements. Ms. Guillemette will research this matter and report her findings to the board at the next meeting.

Elections

Mr. Caetano moved to elect Ms. Blanco as Chair of the Florida Board of Cosmetology. Ms. Brown seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown moved to elect Mr. Caetano as Vice Chair of the Florida Board of Cosmetology. Ms. Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 3:15 p.m.
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