The Board of Cosmetology meeting was called to order at approximately 9:00 a.m., by Ms. Myra Jowers, Chair.

### Board Members Present
- Myra Jowers, Chair
- Donna Osborne, Vice Chair
- Monica Smith
- Ginny Fincel
- Rosabel Ramos

### Board Members Absent
- Candido Llano

### Other Persons Present
- Robyn Barineau, Executive Director, Department of Business and Professional Regulation
- Ann Cocheu, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs
- Elizabeth Duffy, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Business and Professional Regulation
- Dana Ewaltd, Government Analyst, Department of Business and Professional Regulation

### Interested Parties Present
- Diana Garcia, Court Reporter
- Bonnie Poole, Florida Cosmetology Association
- Jerry Gardner, Elite CME
- Laurel Ritenbaugh, Plant City High School
- Wanda Bouldin, Leto High School
- Leticia Milazzo, ASM Beauty World Academy
- JoAnn Moorman, Daytona College
- Nancy Rice, Daytona College
- Michelle Holder, Westside Technical School
- Jody Newman, Westside Technical School
- Melissa Findley, Career Training Institute
- Maggie Marquez, Central Florida College
- John Conley, The Hairwraps Company
- M. Jaimangal, ABS Business
The meeting was opened with a roll call and a quorum was established. Chair Jowers excused Mr. Candido Llano’s absence from the meeting.

Ms. Robyn Barineau, Executive Director, introduced and welcomed the board’s new attorney, Ms. Ann Cocheu.

Approval of Minutes: April 13, 2008

Ms. Donna Osborne, Vice Chair, moved to approve the minutes from the April 13, 2008, board meeting. Ms. Ginny Fincel seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Disciplinary Matters

Informal Hearings

Regarding Case Nos. 2007-059905 and 2007-059911 against Top Nails and Patrick Q. Le of Winter Haven, Ms. Elizabeth Duffy, Assistant Attorney General, informed the board that the Respondent had elected an informal hearing in the matter. The Respondent was present for the meeting and was sworn in by the Court Reporter. Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of $1,000 and costs of $79.46. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint and impose a fine of $1,000 and costs of $79.46 to be paid over a period of six months, with the first payment of $79.46 due within 30 days of the final order and five monthly payments of $200 each. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2007-014825 against U.S. Nails of North Palm Beach, Ms. Duffy informed the board that the Respondent had elected an informal hearing in the matter. The Respondent was not present nor represented for the meeting. Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case in this matter and suggested assessing a fine of $1,000 and costs of $163.87, plus license suspension or revocation due to the history of non-compliance. It was noted that the fine and costs have been paid in full. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board find the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support
the allegations as set forth in the Administrative Complaint and impose a fine of $1,000 and costs of $163.87, plus six months suspension of the salon license, CE84835. At the end of the six month suspension the license shall be reinstated. However, the license shall be revoked with the revocation being stayed and the licensee will be placed on one year probation. During the one year probation period, the Respondent shall be subject to two inspections to ensure that no additional violations are found. If additional violations are found, the stay of revocation shall be lifted and the cosmetology salon license, CE84835, will be permanently revoked. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Unless otherwise stated, by appropriate motion the board found that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint and elected not to dispute the alleged facts, that there was competent and substantial evidence to support the allegations, that the Respondent committed the offenses as outlined in the Administrative Complaint, and imposed the penalties shown below:

- Angel Nails and Tarnishia Thuy Tran Nguyen; Ellenton
  Case Nos. 2007-046442 and 2007-046439
  $500 fine and $121.84 costs

- Hair Salon Surprise; Delray Beach
  Case No. 2008-001084
  $1,000 fine and $76.25 costs

- Hong Kim Lam and Pro Nails; Auburndale
  Case Nos. 2007-053707 and 2007-053702
  $500 fine and $46.90 costs

- Shelia Awah-Suh; Tampa
  Case No. 2007-056477
  $500 fine and $62.98 costs

**Motions for Waiver of Rights and Final Order**

Regarding Case No. 2008-004128 against Adjo A. Adzoh of Jacksonville, Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case and suggested assessing a fine of $1,000 and costs of $94.56. The Respondent was not present for the meeting. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board find that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, the Respondent failed to respond within 21 days thereby waiving their right to elect a hearing in the matter, that the board accept the allegations as stated in the Administrative Complaint and adopt them as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board and impose a fine of $1,000 and costs of $94.56, plus one year probation against the Respondent’s hair braider registration HB2414. In the event the Respondent fails to comply with the terms of the probation, the Respondent’s hair braider registration will be suspended for the remaining period of the probation. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
Regarding Case No. 2007-035701 against Duangpron Saechua of Miami, Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case and suggested assessing costs of $170.40, plus revocation of the Respondent’s nail specialist registration based on the fraudulent usage of a social security number in order to obtain the registration. The Respondent was not present or represented for the meeting. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board find that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, the Respondent failed to respond within 21 days thereby waiving their right to elect a hearing in the matter, that the board accept the allegations as stated in the Administrative Complaint and adopt them as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board costs of $170.40, and impose permanent revocation of the Respondent’s nail specialty registration FV9556154, based on the facts presented. Ms. Monica Smith seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2007-023040 against Reflection Barber Shop & Beauty Salon of Tampa, Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case and suggested assessing a fine of $1,000 and costs of $322. The Respondent was not present or represented for the meeting. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board find that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, the Respondent failed to respond within 21 days thereby waiving their right to elect a hearing in the matter, that the board accept the allegations as stated in the Administrative Complaint and adopt them as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board and impose a fine of $1,000 and costs of $322. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously. Since the Respondent’s cosmetology salon license, CE9966208, was revoked in accordance with a prior disciplinary matter, the board requested the Regional Office seek assistance from local law enforcement to ensure that the Respondent’s salon is closed.

Regarding Case No. 2007-022793 against SWA’s Master Salon of West Palm Beach, Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case and suggested assessing a fine of $3,000 and costs of $168.77 and suspension or revocation of the Respondent’s cosmetology salon license CE9959032. The Respondent was not present or represented for the meeting. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board find that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, the Respondent failed to respond within 21 days thereby waiving their right to elect a hearing in the matter, that the board accept the allegations as stated in the Administrative Complaint and adopt them as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board and impose a fine of $3,000 and costs of $168.77, plus a six month suspension of the license, and a revocation of the license. However, the revocation shall be stayed and the licensee placed on one year probation. During the probation the Department will conduct at least two inspections of the salon. If the fine and costs are not paid in full before the expiration of the probation period and or should any violations be found during the inspections, the stay of the revocation will be lifted and the cosmetology salon license, CE9959032, will be permanently revoked. Ms. Smith seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case No. 2007-008452 against Layla Ishak of South Daytona, Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case and suggested assessing a fine of $1,000 and costs of $552.17. The Respondent was not present or represented for the meeting.
Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board find that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, the Respondent failed to respond within 21 days thereby waiving their right to elect a hearing in the matter, that the board accept the allegations as stated in the Administrative Complaint and adopt them as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board and impose a fine of $1,000 and costs of $552.17 to be paid over a period of six months, with the first payment of $552.17 due within 30 days of the final order and five monthly payments of $200 each. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Unless otherwise stated, by appropriate motion the board found that the Respondent was properly served with the Administrative Complaint, the Respondent failed to respond within 21 days thereby waiving their right to elect a hearing in this matter, the board accepted the allegations as stated in the Administrative Complaint and adopted them as the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the board, and imposed the penalties shown below:

- Betty Lavette Williams; Bradenton
  Case No. 2007-037780
  $500 fine and $106.36 costs

- Delhys Malik; Minneola
  Case No. 2007-016097
  $500 fine and $47.23 costs

- Diamond Nails and Spa; Tampa
  Case No. 2007-051713
  $500 fine and $56.80 costs

- Dimitry Gribkoff; Royal Palm Beach
  Case No. 2007-026342
  $1,000 fine and $125.17 costs

- Giacomo S. Logiacco; Jensen Beach
  Case No. 2007-008814
  $1,500 fine and $147.14 costs

- Gina M. Montes; Kissimmee
  Case No. 2007-064113
  $500 fine and $107.22 costs

- Jermaine Otis Williams; West Palm Beach
  Case No. 2007-007699
  $1,000 fine and $114.87 costs

- LA Nails; Bradenton
  Case No. 2007-053715
  $500 fine and $75.59 costs
- Marie Presume; Fort Pierce  
  Case No. 2007-026353  
  $1,000 fine and $151.48 costs

- Noetic Hands; Kendall  
  Case No. 2006-043011  
  $500 fine and $94.62 costs

- Sharp Image Hair Salon; West Palm Beach  
  Case No. 2007-013127  
  $1,500 fine and $130.81 costs

- Sylvia Sanchez; Palmetto  
  Case No. 2007-063386  
  $1,000 fine and $78.68 costs

- Tiffany A. Williams, d/b/a Platinum Hair Studio; Sanford  
  Case No. 2007-002990  
  $500 fine and $30.69 costs

- Ton Van Ho, d/b/a Golden Nails Salon; Winter Haven  
  Case Nos. 2007-051859 and 2007-051875  
  $500 fine and $108.15 costs

- Willie Bryant; Panama City  
  Case No. 2007-056381  
  $500 fine and $52.88 costs

**Settlement Stipulations**

Regarding Case No. 2007-005570 against Rubiela Medina of Fort Pierce, Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case and suggested assessing a fine of $2,000 and costs of $65.47 to be paid over a period of 12 months. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board adopt the Stipulation of the parties as the board’s final action in this matter and incorporate it and all of its terms into a Final Order. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case Nos. 2007-012447 and 2007-026097 against Tiffany Nails & Spa and Phu v. Cao of Vero Beach, Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case and suggested assessing a fine of $1,500 and costs of $168.31. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board adopt the Stipulation of the parties as the board’s final action in this matter and incorporate it and all of its terms into a Final Order. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Regarding Case Nos. 2007-053733 and 2007-053726 against Tuong Thanh Ha and Lovely Nails of Ellenton, Ms. Duffy presented the department’s case and suggested assessing a fine of $500 and costs of $121.62. Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board adopt the Stipulation of the parties as the board’s
final action in this matter and incorporate it and all of its terms into a Final Order. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Unless otherwise stated, by appropriate motion the board found the Respondent to have committed the offenses as alleged in the Administrative Complaint and adopted the Stipulation of the parties as the board’s final action regarding a penalty to be imposed on the Respondent as follows:

- Amelia Ljeti; Palm Beach  
  Case No. 2007-061671  
  $1,000 fine and $106.95 costs

- Cali Pro Nail; Sarasota  
  Case No. 2008-008178  
  $500 fine and $119.62 costs

- Glenda Gonzalez Beauty Salon; Immokalee  
  Case No. 2008-007556  
  $1,500 fine and $195.72 costs

- J & M Top Notch Salon; Kissimmee  
  Case No. 2007-025547  
  $500 fine and $89.39 costs

- Kay H. Griesmeyer; St. Petersburg  
  Case No. 2007-051813  
  $500 fine and $78.40 costs

- Luan V. Vo and Cali Nails & Tan; Parrish  
  Case Nos. 2007-046591 and 2007-046582  
  $500 fine and $130 costs

- Mary Lou Elliott; Fort Lauderdale  
  Case No. 2007-064800  
  $500 fine and $133.71 costs

- Nail Creations; Winter Haven  
  Case No. 2007-055792  
  $1,000 fine and $61.70 costs

- New York Nails Salon and Linh Pham; Winter Haven  
  Case Nos. 2008-001943 and 2008-001946  
  $1,000 fine and $71.71 costs

- Queen Nails and Tuyet Luu; Brooksville  
  Case Nos. 2007-065849 and 2007-065792  
  $500 fine and $77.75 costs
- Shujie Hou Gordineer; Port Saint Lucie  
  Case No. 2007-012439  
  $1,000 fine and $147.14 costs

- Tania Leon; Cape Coral  
  Case No. 2007-029917  
  $500 fine and $142.69 costs

- Thanh Hoa Nguyen; Wellington  
  Case No. 2007-005564  
  $1,500 fine and $114.47 costs

- Kelly Carson; Winter Haven  
  Case No. 2007-056089  
  $500 fine and $81.41 costs

**Department Attorney Report**

Ms. Duffy informed the board that as of July 7, 2008, there were 246 open cosmetology cases in the legal section.

Ms. Duffy informed the board that she has been reassigned and that Mr. Phil Monte will be introduced at the next board meeting as their new prosecutor. Ms. Duffy thanked the board for the opportunity to have worked with them.

**Applications**

**Applications for Hair Braiding Courses**

- **COEContinuingEducation.com**  
  After review and discussion of the course application, Ms. Fincel moved to approve the application with the contingency of correcting the Florida Laws and Rules section to reflect the most current amendments such as the pedicure footbath logbook and sanitation requirements, and the display of documents requirement. The corrected course material should be provided to the Executive Director within 30 days of the notice. Vice Chair Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- **Gail Harper**  
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne moved to approve the application with the contingency of correcting the Florida Laws and Rules section to reflect the most current amendments such as the pedicure footbath logbook and sanitation requirements and the display of document requirement. The corrected course material should be provided to the Executive Director within 30 days of the notice. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.
Applications for Hair Wrapping Courses

- **COEContinuingEducation.com**
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne moved to approve the application with the contingency of correcting the Florida Laws and Rules section to reflect the most current amendments such as the pedicure footbath logbook and sanitation requirements and the display of documents requirement, and based on the reference material being outdated. The corrected course material should be provided to the Executive Director within 30 days of the notice. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- **Celebrity School of Beauty**
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne moved to deny the application based on the incorrect references throughout the material to alcohol as a disinfectant, the Florida Laws and Rules section is vague and does not include the most current information, and no reference materials were provided. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Applications for Body Wrapping Courses

- **Celebrity School of Beauty**
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne moved to deny the application based on the outdated reference material and the Florida Laws and Rules section not reflecting the most current information such as the pedicure footbath logbook and sanitation requirements and the display of documents requirement. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- **COEContinuingEducation.com**
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne moved to approve the application with the contingency of correcting the Florida Laws and Rules section to reflect the most current amendments such as the pedicure footbath logbook and sanitation requirements, and the display of documents requirement and the incorrect references to phenol as a disinfectant. The corrected course material should be provided to the Executive Director within 30 days of the notice. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- **Venus Beauty Institute**
  At the January 28, 2008, board meeting, the Body Wrapping application from Venus Beauty Institute was denied. Vice Chair Osborne moved to reconsider the application as amended and presented by the provider. Ms. Smith seconded the motion. The motion for reconsideration passed unanimously.
After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne moved to approve the application with the contingency of correcting the Florida Laws and Rules section to reflect the most current information such as the pedicure footbath logbook and sanitation requirements and the display of documents requirement. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

**Initial HIV/AIDS Courses**

- **Celebrity School of Beauty**
  After review and discussion of the course application, Ms. Fincel moved to deny the application based on the outdated reference material and the Florida Laws and Rules section not reflecting the most current information such as the pedicure footbath logbook and sanitation requirements and the display of documents requirement. Vice Chair Osborne seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- **COEContinuingEducation.com**
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne moved to approve the application with the contingency of correcting the Florida Laws and Rules section to reflect the most current amendments such as the pedicure footbath logbook and sanitation requirements and the display of documents requirement, and based on the resource references being outdated. The corrected course material should be provided to the Executive Director within 30 days of the notice. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

**Continuing Education Provider and Course Applications**

- **ASM Beauty World Academy, Inc.**
  **Course Renewal Application**
  16-Hour Continuing Education Course – 16 Hours Home Study
  At the April 13, 2008, board meeting, the 16 hour continuing education course application from ASM Beauty World Academy, Inc. was denied. Vice Chair Osborne moved to reconsider the application as amended and presented by the provider. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion. The motion for reconsideration passed unanimously.

  After review and discussion of the course material, Ms. Fincel moved to approve the application. Vice Chair Osborne seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

- **COEContinuingEducation.com**
  **Course Application**
  16-Hour Cosmetology Course – 16 Hours Internet
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne moved to deny the application since the Florida Laws and Rules section did not reflect the most current information, based on the incorrect
reference to alcohol and phenols as disinfectants, and no publication
dates were provided for the resource materials. Ms. Fincel seconded the
motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- COEContinuingEducation.com
  Course Application
  16-Hour Esthetician Course – 16 Hours Internet
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne
  moved to deny the application since the Florida Laws and Rules section
did not reflect the most current information, based on the incorrect
reference to alcohol and phenols as disinfectants, and no publication
dates were provided for the resource materials. Ms. Fincel seconded the
motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- COEContinuingEducation.com
  Course Application
  16-Hour Manicurist Course – 16 Hours Internet
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne
  moved to deny the application since the Florida Laws and Rules section
did not reflect the most current information, based on the incorrect
reference to alcohol and phenols as disinfectants, and no publication
dates were provided for the resource materials. Ms. Fincel seconded the
motion and the motion passed unanimously.

- Cosmetologycampus.com
  Course Renewal Application
  Pedicures From A Podiatrist’s Perspective – 2 Hours Internet
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne
  moved to approve the application. Ms. Smith seconded the motion and
  the motion passed unanimously.

- The Hairwraps Company
  Course Application
  AIDS/HIV – 2 Hours Internet
  After review and discussion of the course application, Vice Chair Osborne
  moved to approve the application. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and
  the motion passed unanimously.

- Cosmetologycampus.com
  Course Application
  Florida 16-Hour Cosmetology Package – 16 Hours Internet
  After review and discussion of the course material, Vice Chair Osborne
  moved to deny the application based on the source reference materials
  being outdated and based on the course outline being vague and
  incomplete.
Course Application
Florida 16-Hour Cosmetology Course – 16 Hours Internet
After review and discussion of the course material, Ms. Fincel moved to deny the application based on there being no dates reflected for the resource reference material. Vice Chair Osborne seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Endorsement Applications

Audrone Kvietchiene Endorsing from Lithuania and Illinois
Ms. Barineau informed the board that Ms. Audrone Kvietchiene was applying for licensure by endorsement and that the application was forwarded for their review since Ms. Kvietchiene received her cosmetology education from Lithuania. Ms. Kvietchiene also holds a current and active cosmetology license from Illinois which was received by endorsement from Lithuania. Ms. Kvietchiene was present for the meeting and was sworn in by the Court Reporter. After review of the documentation, Vice Chair Osborne moved that the board approve Ms. Kvietchiene for licensure by endorsement. Ms. Fincel seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Barineau requested the board’s guidance regarding endorsement applicants who received their initial cosmetology education from out-of-country. The board agreed that if an individual originally licensed in another country and who obtained a cosmetology license in another state that has similar or more stringent requirements than Florida, they are eligible to endorse their license in Florida.

Committee Reports

Continuing Education Committee – Ginny Fincel, Chair
Ms. Fincel had no additional report at this time. Chair Jowers thanked Ms. Fincel for her work regarding the review process of the continuing education course applications.

Rule Committee Report – Donna Osborne, Chair
A rules workshop will be conducted on October 12, 2008, for development of the facial curriculum referenced in Chapter 61G5-22, Florida Administrative Code.

Legislative Committee – Donna Osborne, Chair
Vice Chair Osborne mentioned the veto of SB996. A copy of Governor Crist’s veto letter was included in the agenda for informational purposes.
New Business

Use of Lancets for Acne

Ms. Smith noted that the Florida cosmetology laws and rules do not address the usage of lancets for acne other than a vague reference in the cosmetology act definition mentioning that cosmetology services should not be intrusive to skin or tissues of the body. Ms. Smith’s research indicated that when a lancet is used properly in the normal extraction process, the implement gently pricks a pustule (or pimple) to release the contents and that “un-capping” a pustule is not considered an intrusion to live tissue.

Ms. Cocheu cautioned the board on this discussion so as not to be misinterpreted as making policy or rule. It was Ms. Cocheu’s suggestion that specific inquiries be filed as a petition for a declaratory statement.

House Bill 7109 – Small Business Regulatory Relief Act

Ms. Cocheu informed the board of a newly passed bill, HB7109, and the impact on all boards regarding rule development and amendments. The bill places a requirement that an economic impact statement must be provided each time a new rule is created or an existing rule is amended.

House Bill 601 – An Act Relating to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation

Ms. Barineau informed the board of a newly passed bill, HB601, allowing a cosmetology school student to submit their examination application within 100 hours of completion of the 1,200 hour program. It was agreed to further discuss the implementation of HB601 at the rules workshop being conducted in October.

Other Business

Executive Directors Report

Financial Report, March 31, 2008 – Operating Account

Ms. Barineau informed the board that as of March 31, 2008, the balances in the Operating and Unlicensed Activity Accounts were $976,658 and $929,690 respectively and that they are projected to remain in a positive cash posture through 2012.


Ms. Barineau informed the board that the complaints and investigative statistics report for July 2007 through May 2008 was included in the agenda for informational purposes.
Ms. Barineau mentioned the recent press surrounding a new pedicure procedure being offered in salons in other states called fish pedicures. Since the release of the story, the board office has received inquiries regarding the service being offered in salons in Florida. The board agreed to research this matter and discuss this topic at the October board meeting.

**Regulation Report**

Ms. Barineau stated that there was nothing further to report at this time.

**Bureau of Education and Testing**

Ms. Barineau stated that there was nothing further to report at this time.

**Board Member Comments**

There were no further comments.

**Chairperson Comments**

Chair Jowers expressed her appreciation to the board members for their time, effort, and dedication to the cosmetology profession.

**Public Comments**

**Ebraiding.net, LLC Regarding Florida Hair Braider Registration**

Ms. Carol Smith and Ms. Carrie Crawford from Ebraiding.net informed the board of their legislative proposal to create a hair braiding license. The proposal allows hair braidies to use hair extensions and wefts and also suggested the hair braiding training program be at least 190 hours as opposed to the current 16 hours. Ms. Crawford stated that Representative Rouson has expressed an interest in assisting with this proposal.

The board thanked Ms. Smith and Ms. Crawford for keeping them informed of their legislative proposal.

**Dr. Jody Newman and Ms. Michelle Holder Regarding Distance Learning**

Dr. Jody Newman and Ms. Michelle Holder with Orange County Public Schools, Westside Technical School, informed the board that their school is in the early development stages of the theory portion of the cosmetology curriculum being offered as distance learning via on-line. Dr. Newman noted that the concept of distance learning is a future trend and has been accepted and approved by the Department of Education. Distance learning is not considered to be a correspondence course, that it would be led and monitored by a cosmetology instructor, and that the student would still be required to attend the classroom for the hands-on lab portion of the curriculum.
**Dates and Locations for Future Meetings**

Sunday, October 12, 2008 – Ocala (Rules workshop)
Monday, October 13, 2008 - Ocala (General Business Meeting)
Monday, January 26, 2009 – Orlando
Monday, April 27, 2009 – Jacksonville
Monday, July 20, 2009 – Orlando
Monday, October 12, 2009 - Tampa

The board agreed to send the following individuals to the National Interstate Council of State Board of Cosmetology’s annual meeting in Jackson Hole Wyoming, in October 2008:

- Robyn Barineau, Executive Director
- Myra Jowers, Chair
- Donna Osborne, Vice Chair

**Adjournment**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:45 p.m.