

1 BOARD OF LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

2  
3 Radisson Suite Inn Palm Beach Airport  
4 1808 South Australian Avenue  
5 West Palm Beach, FL 33409  
6 561.689.6888  
7

8 November 7, 2003  
9 9:00 a.m.

10  
11 General Board and Business Meeting Minutes

12  
13 Portions of the meeting tape at the beginning and end where inaudible and  
14 completed by notes.

15  
16 **Call to Order**

17  
18 Mr. Davis, Chair called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.

19  
20 Board Members Present:

21 Elizabeth Anne Gillick, Vice-Chair  
22 Paul Davis, Chair  
23 Philip Graham, Jr.  
24 Elizabeth Marshall-Beasley  
25 Collene Walter  
26

27 Others Present:

28 Barbara Edwards, General Counsel  
29 Charles Pellegrini, Prosecuting Attorney  
30 Juanita Chastain, Executive Director  
31 Terri Estes, Government Analyst  
32 Ben Bolusky, FNGA  
33 Bill Klinger, FNGA  
34 Tommy Aiello, FNGA  
35 Jo Ellen Leisinger  
36 Kathleen Spain  
37 David Nam via telephone  
38 Kevin Cavioli, ASLA  
39

40 **Review and Approval of Minutes**

41  
42 **May 20, 2003 telephone conference call**

43 Corrections were made to pages 3, 10, and 11.

44  
45 MOTION: Ms. Gillick moved to approve the minutes with noted corrections.

46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91

SECOND: Mr. Graham seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**July 9, 2003 telephone conference call**

Corrections were made to pages 12 and 17 of the minutes.

MOTION: Mr. Graham moved to approve the minutes with noted corrections.

SECOND: Ms. Gillick seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**August 1, 2003 General Board or Business Meeting**

Corrections were made to pages 19, 24, and 25 of the minutes.

MOTION: Ms. Walter moved to approve the minutes with noted corrections.

SECOND: Mr. Graham seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**Application Review**

**Mark Lichtenheld**

Mr. Graham commented that he felt that Mr. Lichtenheld was qualified based on his experience and documents in the agenda packet. The board discussed Mr. Lichtenheld's education and numerous years of experience under a licensed landscape architect.

Ms. Edwards commented that he appears to have met the requirements under Chapter 481.309, F. S. Ms. Walter commented that Mr. Lichtenheld requested to sit for the examination prior to completing the one year of practical experience under a licensed landscape architect. She commented that he understands he would not be licensed until completion of the one year of experience and passage of all sections of the examination.

MOTION: Mr. Graham moved to approve based on qualifying as a 6-year candidate.

SECOND: Ms. Gillick seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Mr. Nam called in at 9:10 a.m.

**Lance Stahlman**

The board reviewed Mr. Stahlman's plans he submitted to verify that he met the qualifications set forth in Chapter 481.309, F.S. They determined that Mr. Stahlman provided drafting plans under the supervision of a licensed landscape architect. Mr. Davis commented that Mr. Spencer signed an employment affidavit verifying Mr. Stahlman's experience regarding site plans, irrigation plans, site analysis, etc.

92  
93 MOTION: Mr. Graham moved to approve based on qualifying as a 6-year  
94 candidate.  
95  
96 SECOND: Ms. Walter seconded the motion.  
97  
98 Ms. Walter commented that the set of plans reflected that he had the experience  
99 based on the detailed planting and irrigation plans. Ms. Marshall-Beasley  
100 commented that he had strong references.  
101  
102 The question was called and it passed unanimously.  
103

104 **Review of Continuing Education Providers and Courses**  
105 **Memorandum for Continuing Education Unit**

106  
107 **American Institute of Architects Florida Association**  
108 **Provider Application**

109 Ms. Walter presented the provider application. She recommended approval.  
110

111 MOTION: Mr. Graham moved to approve as presented.  
112

113 SECOND: Ms. Gillick seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.  
114

115 **Florida Chapter of International Society of Arboriculture**  
116 **Provider and Course applications**

117 Ms. Walter commented that they were previously approved providers but did not  
118 renew on time, therefore, they were required to reapply. She commented that  
119 several of the courses were offered prior to approval and they understand that  
120 they would not be able to offer retroactive approval of the courses. She  
121 commented that they were seeking approval of those courses because they may  
122 offer them again in the future. She commented that the courses that were  
123 offered prior to approval were not advertised. Ms. Walter commented that she  
124 would recommend approval of the provider and course applications.  
125

126 Ms. Marshall-Beasley commented that a reminder notice should be sent to the  
127 provider not to advertise prior to approval. For a point of clarification the board  
128 determined that this was not a renewal of the providership but board approval.  
129

130 Provider application  
131 First Coast Tree Seminar  
132 Gold Coast Tree Seminar  
133 People and Trees – Landscape Ordinances  
134 Live Oaks in the South, Tampa  
135 Grades and Standards for Tree Nurseries  
136

137 MOTION: Ms. Walter moved to approve the provider and course applications.

138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183

SECOND: Ms. Marshall-Beasley seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Marshall-Beasley asked if classes were approved for certain locations and times. She commented that some classes are offered regionally and she wanted to verify that individuals would receive credit for a course if Live Oaks in Tampa were offered in Savannah. Ms. Gillick commented that they would receive credit because the course content was approved.

Ms. Walter commented that once a course is approved with a certain outline and list of instructors and if the application information changes then they must submit for review and approval. She commented that if content changed significantly then it would be re-reviewed by the entire board otherwise if it were minor changes it was reviewed and approved administratively. She commented that if the course content and instructors were the same then attendees would receive credit.

Ms. Edwards commented that the buyer beware. Ms. Chastain commented that if there were substantial changes then they would not receive credit. Ms. Walter reviewed for Ms. Marshall-Beasley that the course content submitted provided for the course to be offered in Tampa, Savannah, and Baton Rouge with the same instructors. Ms. Marshall-Beasley commented that she felt better about the information she had received directly from the provider based on Ms. Walter's information, however, she would like them to be reminded that if the course content changed they must notify the board.

**Florida Energy Extension Service**

Ms. Walter presented the courses and recommended approval. She commented that courses are taught throughout the state through the county extension services and they provide a list of extension agents that teach the course.

Course applications

Advanced Concepts: Water Sense-Outdoor Water Considerations

Advanced Training Course: Termites

MOTION: Ms. Walter moved to approve the courses as presented.

SECOND: Ms. Marshall-Beasley seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**Tree Introductions, Inc.**

Provider and Course application

Ms. Walter commented that the provider and course applications were complete. She commented that she was not as familiar with cultivars so she included the power point program for the entire board to review.

184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228

Provider application  
The Importance of Clonal Trees in the Sustainable Landscape

MOTION: Ms. Walter moved to approve the provider and course applications.

SECOND: Mr. Graham seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**Letter from Dr. Louis Mills requesting continuing education credit**

Mr. Graham commented that statutorily they were not able to retroactively allow credit for courses that were not approved by the board. Mr. Davis commented that his second request was that he was a Professor of Landscape Architecture at Texas Tech and wanted to receive credit based on the courses he teaches. He commented that he felt that he would qualify based on the rule. Ms. Walter commented that he would have to provide transcripts 90-days prior to the renewal. Mr. Davis commented that they should receive a copy of his contract for teaching.

The board denied his request for multi-hazard design seminar. Ms. Edwards commented that she would prepare an order regarding the denial and reference him to the Rule 61G10-18.001(6), F.A.C. that allowed for Professors to receive credit for teaching. Ms. Gillick commented that when the rule was written they were thinking more of students and not educators. Ms. Walter read the rule, which allowed for instructors, teachers, panelist, etc. to receive twice the credit granted for participants for the first presentation and the same as granted for the second presentation.

Ms. Walter commented that he would receive 12 hours of credit for the first time, then 6 hours of credit the second, and then none after that. Mr. Davis commented that he referenced teaching the Uniform Building Code and Florida has its own Building Code, therefore, he would not receive credit.

The board discussed the method of verifying his employment with the institution. They requested that the letter verifying employment for a Profession, instructor, teacher, etc. be from the department chair or dean with a notarized signature and send a copy of the contract be submitted for future cases. The board directed staff to process this type of application and if they have questions then refer to the board.

**Ratification List – (handout)**

Ms. Estes commented that Copley Design Associates was listed on the ratification list, however, since they had listed projects the entire application was brought for board review.

Copley Design Associates

229 The entire application was provided for the board to review in an addendum  
230 packet. Mr. Graham commented that the firm was in his region and had been  
231 practicing for some time. He commented that he must not have been aware of  
232 the certificate of authorization requirement. He commented that the incorporation  
233 form reflects that he registered in 1997. Ms. Gillick commented that he was  
234 trying to get into compliance and was not caught by enforcement for disciplinary  
235 reasons.

236  
237 MOTION: Ms. Gillick moved to approve the certificate of authorization with a  
238 \$500 fine for violation of Chapter 455.227, F.S.

239  
240 SECOND: Ms. Marshall-Beasley seconded the motion and it passed  
241 unanimously.

242  
243 Ms. Walter referred the board to the previous meeting minutes where an  
244 individual had performed a self-audit of his firm and applied. She commented  
245 that they approved him with a \$500 fine for violation of Chapter 455.227(1)(j),  
246 F.S. and she would like to a similar action for this applicant, which would set a  
247 precedent.

248  
249 MOTION: Mr. Graham moved to amend the agenda to review the discussion  
250 item FNGA advertisement.

251  
252 SECOND: Ms. Gillick seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

253

#### 254 **Discussion**

#### 255 **FNGA – advertisement**

256 Mr. Davis commented that the board had been discussing the FNGA certification  
257 program and they were in favor of the program. He commented that their efforts  
258 were admirable regarding the profession. He commented that the board had  
259 concerns regarding landscape design, which was defined in Chapter 481, F.S.  
260 He commented that there was a concern that the public or consumers may be  
261 confused as to how landscape architects and landscape designers work in the  
262 profession.

263

264 Mr. Aiello, Certification Chairman, introduced Mr. Bolusky, Executive Director and  
265 Mr. Klinger, President Elect commented that they were at the meeting on behalf  
266 of FNGA to discuss the board's concerns.

267

268 Mr. Graham commented that when the issue was brought to the board he was  
269 confused by the advertisement flyers that were presented. He commented that a  
270 citizen of the state would be confused by "Florida Certified", which he felt it would  
271 indicate that the state of Florida licensed or that the state of Florida certified. He  
272 commented that there was enough confusion regarding the profession and this  
273 added to the confusion. He commented that he would like to see the word  
274 "Florida" dropped from the title.

275

276 Ms. Gillick commented that she would like to hear about the history of the  
277 certification program. She commented that since February 2004 the board had  
278 been lead to believe, right or wrong, that FNGA had been advertising since  
279 approximately 2001 that they offered a "Florida Certified" program. She  
280 commented that she would like to know how the program began and where they  
281 were regarding the advertisement of the program.

282

283 Mr. Aiello commented that the program began around 1983, which was originally  
284 Florida Certified Nursery Professional (FCNP). He commented that it was more  
285 geared towards retail, garden center and nurseries, examples would be Home  
286 Depot or Lowes. He commented that FNGA administered a written test.

287

288 Mr. Aiello commented that in the late 1980's contractors wanted their own  
289 certification. He commented that the Association decided to offer volunteer  
290 certification programs within the Association, which was developed in 1989. The  
291 certification program was called Florida Certified Landscape Contractor (FCLC).  
292 He commented FNGA conducts a 13 section/3-day exam for landscape  
293 contractors.

294

295 Mr. Aiello commented that they were in negotiations with Green Industry Institute  
296 located in Monticello, which is a horticulture/industry education system to  
297 subcontract to offer review courses. He commented that they would be providing  
298 review courses.

299

300 Mr. Aiello commented that all of the certifications were offered within FNGA itself  
301 up until a year ago. He commented that approximately 5-years ago a group of  
302 landscape designers was absorbed under the FNGA umbrella. He commented  
303 that in the past FNGA had absorbed associations that were not doing well in  
304 numbers. He commented that they currently had 4 programs.

305

306 Ms. Gillick asked who was certifying the designers before they absorbed them.  
307 Mr. Bolusky replied that it was the Florida Landscape Design Association. Mr.  
308 Aiello commented that he was not aware of them having a certification program  
309 and that began with FNGA in 1999. He commented that they were rewriting the  
310 program to a step system.

311

312 Mr. Aiello commented that the system was you must be Florida Certified  
313 Horticultural Professional (FCHP), then Florida Certified Landscape Technician  
314 (FCLT), then Florida Certified Landscape Contractor (FCLC), and the last would  
315 be Florida Certified Landscape Designer (FCLD). Mr. Davis commented that the  
316 other three certifications were prerequisites to be certified as a designer. Mr.  
317 Aiello affirmed with other requirements.

318

319 Ms. Gillick asked who the administrative body was for the certifications. Mr.  
320 Aiello replied FNGA. Ms. Gillick asked if FNGA was affiliated with the state, either

321 to the department or the Department of Agriculture. Mr. Aiello replied in the  
322 negative and commented that it was a volunteer program. Ms. Gillick commented  
323 that it was a trade association. Mr. Aiello affirmed.  
324

325 Ms. Marshall-Beasley asked if counties and cities required their certifications.  
326 Mr. Aiello replied that some counties do require proof of the certification but it  
327 was not required by law. He commented that some counties and landscape  
328 architects had endorsed the program but it was on a volunteer basis. He  
329 commented that certain counties require the certification to bid on certain jobs or  
330 projects. Ms. Marshall-Beasley commented that it was not state mandated but  
331 was a voluntary certification. Mr. Aiello affirmed.  
332

333 Ms. Edwards asked if the "Florida Certified" was a logo or registered trademark.  
334 Mr. Bolusky replied that he believed that it was. Ms. Edwards commented that  
335 she thought it would be registered through the Department of Agriculture. Mr.  
336 Bolusky commented that the registration of that logo or trademark predated him.  
337

338 Mr. Bolusky commented that the use of that title dated back to 1983. Ms.  
339 Marshall-Beasley asked what the seal or logo was used for and who did it  
340 represent. Mr. Bolusky commented that it was the FNGA logo. Mr. Aiello  
341 commented that at one time there were 3 separate certification programs  
342 landscape, horticulture, and designer, which are now combined.  
343

344 Ms. Marshall-Beasley asked what seal does a landscape designer place on  
345 plans. Mr. Aiello replied that there was no such seal that was recognized by  
346 FNGA. He commented that seal that they have seen on the promotion of the  
347 program was FNGA's seal.  
348

349 Mr. Bolusky commented that FNGA partnered with the Department of Agriculture  
350 to advertise. He commented FNGA and the Marketing and Development Division  
351 with the Department of Agriculture sat down and discussed areas where they  
352 could promote the goods and services of the landscape industry. He commented  
353 that they discussed if there was a way the Marketing Division could assist FNGA  
354 in promoting the certification program. He commented at the time there were  
355 separate logos for the different certification programs. He commented that they  
356 determined it would be best to have one logo for all of the different certification  
357 programs.  
358

359 Mr. Bolusky commented that at the suggestion of the Department of Agriculture  
360 they were recommended to use FNGA logo to promote the certification program.  
361 Ms. Marshall-Beasley commented that she had a problem with an advertisement  
362 that is on the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services with the  
363 Commissioners name logo/letterhead and promotes Florida's landscape industry.  
364 She commented that as a consumer and licensed professional that the  
365 Department of Agriculture has certified the individuals and the program. She  
366 commented when in fact they certify themselves on a volunteer basis.



367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412

Ms. Gillick commented that the brochure was grossly misleading to the consumer. She commented that the only statute that certifies landscape professionals to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public is Chapter 481, F.S. Ms. Edwards commented that it appeared to be more of a battle between state departments and offered to look into.

Mr. Bolusky commented that he had never heard of the possibility of there being a battle between agencies. He commented that the Department of Agriculture offered to print the promotional pieces. Mr. Aiello commented that they never had any ties with the department and that they approached FNGA regarding the promotional materials.

Mr. Bolusky commented that the initial intent was to heighten awareness of plant material and they wanted to look at the certification program. He commented that the Department of Agriculture prepared the layout of the promotional materials on their own. Ms. Marshall-Beasley commented that initially it was more geared for horticulture awareness and it was not an issue until designer professionals were defined in Chapter 481, F.S. She commented that now it is a problem.

Mr. Aiello commented that the Department of Agriculture printed thousands of the promotional brochures, which FNGA has not used. He commented that they came back to FNGA for additional marketing program but FNGA declined. Mr. Bolusky commented that there was no joint marketing program and the promotional brochure was the extent of the promotional materials.

Mr. Davis asked how the logos were used. Mr. Aiello replied that they provide slick with the certifications.

Ms. Gillick commented that they say "Florida Certified" and not FNGA certified.

Ms. Edwards commented that they needed open communications with the Department of Agriculture regarding the use of professionals' titles when they are regulated under another state department. She commented that she did not feel their issue was with FNGA but with the Department of Agriculture.

Ms. Marshall-Beasley commented that she agreed there was an issue with the Department of Agriculture but felt they had issue with FNGA's slicks. She commented that when she initially began looking at this she as a consumer did not grasp that it was a voluntary program but required. She commented that it needed to be clearer to the consumer that the trade association was certifying the professionals not the state of Florida.

Mr. Aiello asked if they would agree to FNGA using just the initials. Ms. Gillick agreed to that request.

413

414 Ms. Gillick commented that FNGA was the Florida Nursery & Growers  
415 Association, which is not part of a statute or rule. She commented that the  
416 certifications were through FNGA not the Department of Agriculture or the state  
417 of Florida.

418

419 Mr. Aiello commented that FNGA did not realize this issue was going to turn out  
420 as it did. He commented that it fell into their lap and they were free so they  
421 thought, great.

422

423 Ms. Marshall-Beasley commented that if they would drop the "Florida" and  
424 replace with "FNGA" she would support. Mr. Graham commented that individuals  
425 that are certified advertise "Florida Certified Landscape Designer" and they  
426 denote that the certification is FNGA.

427

428 Mr. Bolusky commented that he wanted to sum up the board's concerns, which  
429 were that the "Florida Certified" is misleading to the consumer. He commented  
430 that the use of that phrase has been on the books since 1983. He commented  
431 that he was not aware of any consumer complaints regarding the designation.

432 Ms. Gillick commented that it might become an issue soon because the brochure  
433 is being utilized as recent as last year.

434

435 Mr. Bolusky commented that FNGA has heard the concerns of the board and the  
436 public and that was why the three individuals were present to remedy the issue.  
437 He commented that this issue was raised by a licensed landscape architect, not  
438 a consumer, and they recognize the board has a concern that they also share.  
439 He commented that he felt both wanted to accomplish that the title of the  
440 certification program reflects the name of the certifying authority. He commented  
441 that the FNGA certification committee and board of directors understand the  
442 issue where they are prepared to have an open discussion to remedy the  
443 concerns. He commented that they were at the board to come to an agreement  
444 on the title then they could report back to the committee and board of directors to  
445 move forward on changing the certification title.

446

447 Mr. Graham commented that he felt this had become an issue recently because  
448 landscape architects have struggled to tell the story of what they do. He  
449 commented that landscape architects and designers do similar things they  
450 actually do different things, yet they sound the same to a consumer. He  
451 commented that he felt that the consumer groups the two together and that is  
452 why it is becoming an issue now. He commented that he felt the "Florida" should  
453 be removed from the title.

454

455 Ms. Gillick commented FNGA absorbed the landscape designer designation.  
456 She commented that the title was misleading because of the collective industry.

457

458 The board recommended and would support that they drop “Florida” and replace  
459 with “FNGA”.

460  
461 Mr. Bolusky commented that they recognize and appreciate the issue raised in  
462 the letter from the board. He commented that they were all at the meeting to  
463 raise the image as well as professionalism within the industry and with the public.  
464 He asked the board if they were in a position to promote the image of certified  
465 landscape contractors. Mr. Davis commented that the reason the issue was  
466 raised was because Chapter 481, F.S. defines landscape designer. He  
467 commented that he felt that statutorily the board could not endorse a private  
468 certification program.

469  
470 Ms. Gillick commented that image and raising the professional quality within a  
471 certain segment of the industry are two different things. She commented that  
472 image was PR and sales but raising the bar of professional work that further  
473 increases the public health, safety, and welfare is what the board was about.

474  
475 Ms. Walter commented that she was not sure why they would want to say  
476 “Florida Certified” rather than “FNGA”. She commented that they have a  
477 wonderful organization that’s self-policing, self-educating, and self-improving.

478  
479 Mr. Bolusky commented that they would take the board’s comments back to the  
480 committee and board of directors. Mr. Bolusky commented that FNGA never  
481 intended to mislead or deceive anyone. He commented that he did not feel it  
482 was the Department of Agriculture’s intent to over step boundaries either.

483  
484 Ms. Edwards commented that a letter of caution should be sent to the  
485 Department of Agriculture regarding professions that are regulated by other state  
486 governments.

487  
488 Mr. Aiello commented that the Department of Agriculture came to FNGA with a  
489 promotional campaign for marketing and the lead numbers. He commented that  
490 they reviewed the numbers they provided and declined. He commented that Kip  
491 Creel with the American Nurseryman’s and Landscape Association provided a  
492 thorough 72-page report regarding the market and the profession. He  
493 commented that the markets that were tested were D.C. and Atlanta.

494  
495 Ms. Gillick asked when they were approached by the Department of Agriculture  
496 for the joint venture. Mr. Bolusky replied that FNGA approached them to  
497 promote awareness. He commented that his best recollection was that all of the  
498 brochures produced were provided to FNGA. Mr. Aiello commented that they  
499 distribute to their members. He commented that he had not seen it in months.

500  
501 Mr. Bolusky commented that Nelson Pugh was the contact person with the  
502 Department of Agriculture that they worked with in the marketing area.

503

504 Mr. Bolusky commented that assuming that the name would change immediately  
505 he wanted the board to be aware that it would take time to make the change due  
506 to current printed materials. He commented it would not turn on a dime.  
507

508 The FNGA's representatives commented that it might take approximately 12  
509 months.  
510

511 Ms. Leisinger asked if the individuals that are currently issued a certificate for  
512 passing the program if those would be recalled and reissued. Mr. Bolusky  
513 commented that FNGA would take an inventory of all printed communications  
514 and vehicles out. He commented that the hard part would be diverting the  
515 resources to manuals to reflect changes.  
516

517 Ms. Marshall-Beasley asked if they required continuing education. Mr. Bolusky  
518 replied in the positive. Mr. Davis asked if their test addressed contractual  
519 agreements and Chapter 481, F.S.  
520

521 Ms. Gillick requested that FNGA provide communication as to what the  
522 committee and board of directors decide regarding the issue. The board thanked  
523 the gentleman for attending and applauded their efforts.  
524

#### 525 **Ratification List – (handout)**

526  
527 MOTION: Ms. Gillick moved to approve as presented.  
528

529 SECOND: Ms. Walter seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.  
530

#### 531 **Rules**

532 Proposed rule language regarding Military Spouses

533 Letter to Legislature

534 Letter to Honorable James E. King

535 Letter to Honorable Jeb Bush

536 Letter to Honorable Johnnie Byrd

537 Ms. Edwards commented that Chapter 455.02(2), F.S. requires that boards adopt  
538 rule language regarding Military Spouses. She commented that she included  
539 language that had been approved by the Funeral Board. Mr. Graham  
540 commented that the first sentence was confusing. Ms. Walter asked why the  
541 members were not covered and thought both the member and the spouse should  
542 be covered. Ms. Edwards commented that the members were covered in  
543 statute.  
544

545 MOTION: Mr. Graham moved to approve as presented.  
546

547 SECOND: Ms. Walter seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.  
548

549 The board reviewed the letters.

550

551 **Discussion**

552 Financial Report June 30, 2003 and 2002

553 Ms. Chastain referred the board to their packets regarding the cost allocation to  
554 the board. She reviewed the financial report and how the cost allocation works  
555 with the expense side of the report. She commented that the methodology  
556 regarding the sweep had not been determined.

557

558 Ms. Gillick commented that they looked like they were financially stable.

559

560 The tape was inaudible, minutes were completed from notes.

561

562 CLARB Annual Meeting Information and Request for Input about the Computer-  
563 administered LARE

564 Mr. Davis attended the CLARB Annual meeting and commented that he was  
565 appointed to the Education Committee. Ms. Marshall-Beasley commented that  
566 she would like to see the statistics from the first administration of the computer-  
567 administered LARE.

568

569 Ms. Gillick commented that she does not want Florida to ease out of the  
570 examination process. She commented that she would like Mr. Penrod to provide  
571 pass/fail rates for Florida and to answer the board's concerns regarding the  
572 administration of the examination. She commented that she would like to make  
573 sure the Bureau of Education and Testing is aware and okay with the changes  
574 that were coming from CLARB.

575

576 The board requested that a representative from the Bureau of Education and  
577 Testing attend the upcoming February meeting in Tallahassee.

578

579 The board expressed concern regarding the low pass rate.

580

581 The board discussed the logistics of the computer based testing through CLARB  
582 and how it would affect the department's testing services.

583

584 Building Code Modification Update

585 Ms. Walter attended the Building Code Commission meeting and provided the  
586 board with an update regarding the language that was drafted on the floor for  
587 adoption. The board was pleased with the outcome of the Building Code  
588 Commission meeting. There were some concerns with the language for  
589 Modification 702 because it may be viewed as limiting the profession.  
590 Modification 703 was adopted.

591

592 The board discussed the 2-hour advanced course requirement.

593

594 **Reports**

595 Paul Davis, Chair's Report

596 No report.  
597  
598 Juanita Chastain, Executive Director's Report  
599 Ms. Chastain informed the board that she would only have 4 boards instead of 7  
600 boards. She informed the board that Ms. Estes would no longer be with the  
601 Board of Landscape Architecture.  
602  
603 Charles Pellegrini, Prosecuting Attorney's Report  
604 No report.  
605  
606 Barbara Edwards, Board Counsel's Report  
607 No report.  
608  
609 **For the Board's Information**  
610 June 2002 LARE Results  
611 CLARB – Dickson F. Demarche takes office as President of CLARB  
612 E-mail from Green Image and Hammond Design Group  
613 E-mail from David Nam and Collene Walter  
614 CLARB Computer based testing – E-mail from Joe Muffoletto  
615 Letter to CLARB regarding the LARE  
616  
617 Nominations Committee  
618 Mr. Davis commented that he voted for Richard Lee.  
619  
620 Total Number of licensees  
621 The board discussed the renewal process.  
622  
623 **Review of Continuing Education Providers and Courses**  
624 **C2ED Center for Collaboration and Education in Design, Inc.**  
625 Provider Application  
626 Ms. Walter presented the application and recommended approval.  
627  
628 MOTION: Ms. Walter moved to approve.  
629  
630 SECOND: Mr. Graham seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.  
631  
632 MOTION: Ms. Walter moved to add C3 Information Strategies for review.  
633  
634 SECOND: Mr. Davis seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.  
635  
636 **C3 Information Strategies**  
637 Provider Application  
638 Ms. Walter presented the application and recommended approval subject to the  
639 provider identifying where the license number would be placed on the  
640 certification.  
641

642 MOTION: Ms. Gillick moved to approve Ms. Walter's recommendation.  
643  
644 SECOND: Mr. Graham seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.  
645  
646 **New Business**  
647 No new business.  
648  
649 **Old Business**  
650 No old business.  
651  
652 **Adjourn**  
653 MOTION: Ms. Walter moved to adjourn.  
654  
655 SECOND: Mr. Graham seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.  
656  
657 The meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m.