

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

MINUTES

BOARD OF LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

**TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL
850.922.7892 OR TOLL FREE 800.416.4132**

**GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING
June 16, 2004**

11 **Call to Order**

12
13 Mr. Davis called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

14
15 **Members Present**

16
17 Paul Davis, Chair
18 Elizabeth Gillick, Vice Chair
19 Collene Walter
20 Phillip Graham
21 Elizabeth Marshall-Beasley

22
23 **Others Present**

24
25 Barbara Edwards, Board Counsel
26 Charlie Pellegrini, Prosecuting Attorney
27 Juanita Chastain, Executive Director
28 Linda Tinsley, Government Analyst

29
30 **Discussion**

31
32 **Advanced Building Code Courses**

33
34 Ms. Chastain advised the board that she and Ms. Edwards met with the Bureau
35 of Education and Testing. She stated that they addressed the board's concerns
36 about the review and approval of advanced courses. She stated that they are
37 attempting to have the board approved by the Florida Building commission as an
38 accreditor. Ms. Chastain stated that if they were approved every course would
39 automatically be approved by the Florida Building Commission.

40
41 Ms. Walter advised the board that she attended the Florida Building Commission
42 meeting on Monday June 14, 2004 for the Education Technical Advisory
43 Committee. She stated that it was the Florida Building Commission's
44 recommendation that the responsibility for review and approval of advanced
45 building code courses be delegated to the individual licensing boards. She

46 stated that this was in response to the overwhelming dislike of the core courses
47 being so general and the fact that most of the licensing boards want the ability to
48 make sure the advanced courses were more specifically tailored for each of the
49 individual professions. She stated that the Florida Building Commission agreed
50 and submitted legislation in regards to changing the current law, which states
51 that instead of the Florida Building Commission being responsible for providing
52 advanced courses that it would be delegated to the individual licensing boards.
53 She stated the legislation failed and the law was never changed. Ms. Walter
54 advised the board that the Board of Landscape Architecture did approve the
55 requirement for two (2) hours of advanced courses. She stated that many of the
56 other licensing boards had not made a determination or set a one (1) or a zero
57 (0) as the requirement for advanced courses. She stated that the Board of
58 Landscape Architecture did set two (2) hours and the board did approve
59 advanced courses based on the assumption that the legislation would pass.
60 She stated that the issue was discussed at length with the representatives and
61 members that were there. She stated that Mr. Dick Brody, the Chair was
62 present and he is aware of the profession and some of the issues the board
63 faces and he complemented the board for being pro active and for being one of
64 the few professions that actually did require advanced code course training and
65 has been working diligently to bring those courses on line. Ms. Walter stated that
66 Mr. Brody asked her to apologize to the board that the Landscape board is one of
67 the few boards that are following the proposed rules and unfortunately the
68 proposed rules were not implemented.

69
70 Ms. Walter stated that the Florida Building Commission was going to delegate
71 responsibility for reviewing and approving the advanced courses to the individual
72 licensing board. She stated that the Florida Building Commission was also going
73 to set up a voluntary accreditation process where certain individuals would
74 submit an application to show that they were experts in certain fields of the
75 building code and they would be able to review courses that were submitted to
76 make sure the courses comply and accurately present the various elements of
77 the building code. She stated that the purpose is to assure that when the
78 course is presented by the provider the accreditor will review the course outline
79 and content and they will certify to the board that the course accurately reflects
80 the information in the building code.

81
82 Ms. Marshall-Beasley asked how the Landscape Architect Licensees would
83 know if an Architect course is approved for a Landscape Architect.

84
85 Ms. Walter advised Ms. Marshall-Beasley that the licensee would check with the
86 licensing board to see if it is approved. She stated that because the authority
87 was not delegated by a legislative change the Building Commission is still the
88 entity responsible for preparing and offering the courses.

89

90 Ms. Gillick asked Ms. Walter if courses were denied by the Florida Building
91 Commission would the licensing boards be contacted.
92
93 Ms. Walter advised Ms. Gillick that the Florida Building Commission does not
94 have a process in place to review and approve courses. She stated they had not
95 developed any rules because they were under the assumption that the authority
96 was going to be delegated to the boards.
97
98 Ms. Walter stated that the Florida Building Commission is still responsible for
99 reviewing and approving courses and they have set up a voluntary accreditation
100 process. She stated that now the Florida Building Commission has to do rule
101 making in regards to how they will review and approve courses. She stated what
102 they are thinking of doing is taking the voluntary accreditation process and
103 having that be the official process where by a provider would submit a course
104 and it would be reviewed by the individual accreditor and based on the
105 accreditor's recommendation the course would be sent to the various technical
106 advisory committee's of the Florida Building Commission. She stated that if it
107 was a plumbing course and touched on irrigation that course would go to the
108 technical advisory committee for plumbing and they would review the course and
109 most likely agree with the accreditor and send their recommendation to the
110 Florida Building Commission and the Commission would approve the course.
111 She stated that at this time there are no rules in place to implement the approval
112 process. Ms. Walter stated that the Florida Building Commission is still
113 determined to get the legislation passed to delegate the authority to the licensing
114 boards. She stated the reason the legislation did not pass had nothing to do
115 with the concept it had to do with the additional items added to this piece of
116 legislation. She stated they hope to submit the legislation separately next
117 session. She stated they are trying to implement a temporary fix in the meantime
118 for Landscape Architecture, Construction Industry Licensing Board and those
119 professions that have adopted advanced credit requirements. Ms. Walter stated
120 that the Board of Landscape Architecture could submit to the Florida Building
121 Commission the name of a board member to be approved as an accreditor which
122 would allow them to review advanced courses for Landscape Architecture. She
123 stated right now there is not a rule in place to approve this person. She stated
124 that the current law requires the Florida Building Commission to approve the
125 advanced courses. Ms. Walter stated that one option is to have the Board of
126 Landscape Architecture submit an application to become an accreditor and
127 someone be the qualifier who reviews the applications and hope that the Florida
128 Building Commission expedites the rule process to implement the process for the
129 courses to be submitted to the appropriate technical advisory committee and to
130 the Florida Building Commission for approval. She stated that the second option
131 is to not approve any advanced courses and see what happens in the next
132 legislative session. Ms. Walter stated that the problem with that is that it would
133 be a year into the licensing renewal period. Ms. Walter advised the board that

134 the board could change their rules to not require two (2) credit hours of advanced
135 building code or to make it optional.

136
137 Ms. Chastain advised the board that the requirement was in the statute.

138
139 Ms. Walter stated that the board could set the requirement at zero (0).

140
141 Ms. Edwards stated that one of the concerns is what the Florida Building
142 Commission plans to do with regards to those courses that the board had already
143 approved and now that they have to approve because the legislation did not
144 pass. She stated that the board could write a letter and the Commission would
145 probably approve the courses.

146
147 Ms. Gillick stated that Ms. Walter does a great job but she feels that the full board
148 should approve the courses.

149
150 Ms. Walter stated that the Florida Building Code's idea is that the individual
151 qualifier or accreditor would be an expert as it relates to the subject trade areas
152 of the building code. She stated that the trade areas are not set up by
153 profession they are set up by the segments of the building code. She stated that
154 from the landscape architecture standpoint the profession deals with some
155 aspects of accessibility, commercial pools and spas, residential pools and spas.
156 She stated that the accreditor would be looking at building code specific not
157 profession specific.

158
159 Ms. Walter stated that as the situation relates to the current courses the board
160 has approved she asked that if Ms. Edwards feels the Florida Building
161 Commission would approve the courses based on the fact the Board of
162 Landscape Architecture complied with the legislation she suggested that the
163 board direct Ms. Edwards to send a letter as soon as possible asking the Florida
164 Building Commission to approve the advanced courses. Ms. Walter stated that
165 this would solve the immediate problem.

166
167 Mr. Davis stated that the building code itself has been changed this past year
168 and it will be changed again substantially in about three (3) years.

169
170 Ms. Walter stated that the international building code comes into affect in
171 January 2005.

172
173 Mr. Davis stated that the legislature has not made up its mind how they are going
174 to handle the situation and the Florida Building Code Commission can make a
175 strong commitment until they see what the legislature is going to do. He stated
176 that he would be inclined to a wait and see attitude and getting the immediate
177 courses approved for the ASLA meeting the end of July and track what is going
178 on with the Florida Building Code Commission.

179 Ms. Walter advised the board that the next Florida Building Commission was in
180 August. Ms. Walter stated that her recommendation would be to get a letter out
181 to the Florida Building Commission in regards to the advanced courses that the
182 board has approved and have the commission approve the courses as advanced
183 courses. She stated that she would recommend that Ms. Edwards contact Jim
184 Richmond, the attorney for the Florida Building Commission, in regards to the
185 time frame for the rule making that the Commission has to do to allow the Florida
186 Building Commission to review and approve advanced courses from outside
187 providers. She stated that Ms. Edwards could report back to the board at the
188 July meeting.

189
190 Ms. Marshall-Beasley stated that she thought Mr. Davis was making sense with
191 his suggestion of a wait and see attitude.

192
193 Ms. Walter stated that her concern was that come November and the legislation
194 does not pass and the Florida Building Commission has not been pro active with
195 their rule making then the board is less than ten (10) months into their licensing
196 renewal cycle.

197
198 Ms. Marshall-Beasley stated that the board could do a rule change and set the
199 requirement to zero (0).

200
201 Ms. Edwards stated that other boards have set the number at zero (0) in their
202 rules.

203
204 Mr. Graham stated that the board should wait and see and setting the number to
205 zero (0) seems to be a reasonable thing to do.

206
207 Ms. Edwards stated that her recommendation would be that the board develop
208 the rule now and that gives the board a full year to get it adopted.

209
210 **MOTION:** Ms. Marshall-Beasley made a motion to direct Ms. Edwards to
211 move forward with the rule development to set zero (0) hours and
212 to direct Ms. Chastain and Ms. Edwards to pursue approval for
213 current courses.

214
215 **SECOND:** Ms. Walter seconded the motion and the motion passed
216 unanimously.

217
218 **Response to James Penrod – CLARB**

219
220 Ms. Edwards advised the board that the letter in their agenda was a change to
221 the draft that was presented earlier. She stated that it was developed as the
222 results of a meeting that took place between Ms. Chastain and George Ayrish
223 from the Bureau of Education and Testing. Ms. Edwards stated that she thought

224 it was appropriate and it covers all the bases and she asked the board to
225 approve the letter as presented.

226
227 Mr. Graham stated that he liked the letter as is.

228
229 The board directed Ms. Edwards to send the letter as presented.

230

231 **Ratification List**

232

233 Ms. Marshall-Beasley stated that she had to leave the conference call in a half an
234 hour and she asked the board if they could review the ratification list before she
235 had to leave.

236

237 **MOTION:** Mr. Graham made a motion to amend the agenda and review the
238 ratification list as the next agenda item.

239

240 **SECOND:** Ms. Gillick seconded the motion and the motion passed
241 unanimously.

242

243 Ms. Marshall-Beasley stated that if the board received a ratification list how does
244 the board know that the Department has researched their backgrounds
245 appropriately.

246

247 Mr. Davis stated that one of the principals has to be a Landscape Architect and
248 they have to provide documentation as part of the application.

249

250 Ms. Marshall-Beasley stated that if there was some action in progress how would
251 the board be made aware of that.

252

253 Ms. Chastain asked Ms. Marshall-Beasley if she was asking about criminal
254 history or discipline.

255

256 Ms. Marshall-Beasley answered yes.

257

258 Ms. Chastain advised the board that she would research the board's rules. She
259 stated that one of her other boards by rule can deny an application based on
260 criminal or discipline history against any one named on the application.

261

262 Ms. Gillick stated that she would feel more secure if she was assured that it was
263 checked before the application was put on the ratification list.

264

265 Ms. Chastain advised the board that she she felt confident that the applications
266 were checked for past disciplinary action but she would ask the Central Intake
267 Unit to make a notation on each ratification list that all pertinent documents had
268 been reviewed and confirmed.

269 **MOTION:** Mr. Graham made a motion to approve the following candidates on
270 the ratification list.

271

272 **SECOND:** Ms. Marshall-Beasley seconded the motion and the motion passed
273 unanimately.

274

275 ***Certificate of Authorization***

276

277 Fernandez-Beraud, Inc.

278

279 ***Business Name Change***

280

281 From: Williams Hatfield & Stoner, Inc.

282 To: Tetra Tech, Inc.

283

284 ***Exam***

285

286 Jeffrey Zock

287

288 **Review of Continuing Education Providers and Courses**

289

290 **American Society of Landscape Architects (National)**

291 **Continuing Education Course Applications for 2004**

292 **ASLA Annual Meeting**

293

294 Ms. Walter informed the board that the courses were submitted by the ASLA for
295 the courses proposed for the national conference to be held in Salt Lake City in
296 the fall. She stated that they had submitted sixty four (64) course applications.

297 Ms. Walter advised the board that the format was very similar to what they had
298 submitted last year. She stated that they submitted each course, lecture,
299 seminar and workshops as separate entities. She stated that she reviewed all of
300 the applications and all of the information is included that is applicable to support
301 the request for the number of credit hours that they are requesting.

302

303 Ms. Marshall-Beasley stated that there was an error on page four (4) that stated
304 the hours requested for Lesson Learned was for seventy five hours (75) credit.
305 She stated that it must be for .75

306

307 **MOTION:** Ms. Marshall-Beasley made a motion to approve the following
308 ASLA courses with the correction.

309

310 **SECOND:** Ms. Gillick seconded the motion and the motion passed
311 unanimately.

312

313 ***CEO Roundtree Discussion of Current Issues – 1.5 hours***

314 ***Putting The Historic American Landscapes – 1.5 hours***
315 ***Natural Spaces for Memory Support: A Closer Look at Dementia Gardens –***
316 ***1.5 hours***
317 ***Planning Methods for GIS – 1.5 hours***
318 ***Context Sensitive Solutions; Transportation Making Places and Places***
319 ***Shaping Transportation – 1.5 hours***
320 ***It's Not Just a Way of Life: A Western County's Program for Preserving***
321 ***Farmland and Protecting its Agricultural industry – 1.5 hours***
322 ***The Davis County Shore Lands Vision Engaging Communities and***
323 ***Stakeholders to Preserve a Critical Wildlife Source – 1.5 hours***
324 ***Making of Memories – The Transformation of Downtown Salt Lake City into***
325 ***Salt Lake Olympic Square and Olympic Medals Plaza – 1.5 hours***
326 ***Making the Transition to Running Your Own Firm – 1.5 hours***
327 ***Whatch Gonna Do? Part three – 1.5 hours***
328 ***Interface between Natural Resource Development and cultural/Historic***
329 ***Landscapes: BLM and the Use of Color for Visual Mitigation on Public***
330 ***Lands – 1.5 hours***
331 ***Redesigning Small Parks: Social and Ecological Trends – 1.5 hours***
332 ***Mainstreaming Sustainable Development and Best Practices in Resort***
333 ***Planning – 1.5 hours***
334 ***Changing Landscape of Federal Land Management – 1.5 hours***
335 ***Dealing with Drought: Water Conservation in Landscape Design – 1.5 hours***
336 ***Growing Nowhere: Designing Urban Oil Systems that Work – 1.5 hours***
337 ***Do You Have the Owners Manual for Your Firm – 1.5 hours***
338 ***Professional Awards Jury Discussion – 1.5 hours***
339 ***Cultural-Sensitive Design: Case Studies of Recent Practice in China – 1.5***
340 ***hours***
341 ***Master Format 04, KEED, and Landscape Architecture Specifications – 1.5***
342 ***hours***
343 ***Have We Embraced Design Computing? – 1.5 hours***
344 ***Daybreak – A New Community's Effort to Define Sustainability – 1.5 hours***
345 ***Designing for Accessibility in the Great Outdoors – 1.5 hours***
346 ***Intersections between Landscape/Land Use – 1.5 hours***
347 ***Threat management: Beyond CPTED – 1.5 hours***
348 ***Surviving the LARE - .75 hours***
349 ***Photography 101; Capture thr Perfect Picture for the Award of Sales***
350 ***Presentation – 1.5 hours***
351 ***Sacred Sites - .75 hours***
352 ***Bloomin Natives - .75 hours***
353 ***Tools for Community Mapping - .75 hours***
354 ***Better Way- The Grasp Methodology for Determining Valuable Level-of-***
355 ***Services Standards - .75 hours***
356 ***The Wilderness Debate: Public Land in Utah - .75 hours***
357 ***San Diego Fires of 2003, Lesson learned - .75 hours***
358 ***Over the Top: Advanced Technology - .75 hours***

359 ***Keeping it Fresh: Fanning the Entrepreneurial Flame - .75 hours***
360 ***Widening the Net: Innovative Strategies for protecting Cultural landscapes***
361 ***- .75 hours***
362 ***Signage and Wayfinding: Keys to project Identity and Success - .75 hours***
363 ***Enhancing Online Public Participation Applications With Visual, Spatial,***
364 ***and Geographic Material - .75 hours***
365 ***Northern Continental Divide Scenic Loop Drive – Jaw dropping Scenery***
366 ***and Rural Economic Development in Northwest Montana - .75 hours***
367 ***GSA: Community and Professional Landscape - .75 hours***
368 ***Emerging Role of Landscape Architects in Urban/Wildland Interface***
369 ***Development - .75 hours***
370 ***Public Space in Contemporary Urbanism and the emerging Challenge in***
371 ***the Role of Design - .75 hours***
372 ***Leadership Development - .75 hours***
373 ***Demystifying these Mysterious Fellows - .75 hours***
374 ***Preserving our National Parks for Future Generations – 1.5 hours***
375 ***Successful Wetlands Mitigation: Incorporating Flexibility Into Design and***
376 ***Implementation - .75 hours***
377 ***Landforms: Site Structures, and Authorware: A Multimedia Experience to***
378 ***Inform Design - .75 hours***
379 ***Reconstructing Nature at Waterly Creek - .75 hours***
380 ***Understanding the Essence of Community Based Values and***
381 ***Environmental Ethics – 1.5 hours***
382 ***WILDFIRE! From 1988 to Today: 18 years of Repeat Photography in***
383 ***Yellowstone National Park - .75 hours***
384 ***Green Roofs, Green Cities: Public-Private partnerships to Avert an***
385 ***Infrastructure Crisis - .75 hours***
386 ***What’s Your Pizazz? Marketing Strategies for the Small to Medium-Size***
387 ***Design Firm - .75 hours***
388 ***Integrated Water Conservation Strategies for LEED Points - .75 hours***
389 ***Integrate Green and Gray Infrastructure Using Greenspace-Accounting***
390 ***Tools - .75 hours***
391 ***Green Space Connections: How to Use Ecological Corridors to Strengthen***
392 ***Biodiversity and Build Healthier Communities - .75 hours***
393 ***Park Transformations: Blurring the Lines Between Parks and Open space -***
394 ***.75 hours***
395 ***Salt Lake City Green - .75 hours***
396 ***Developing More Sustainable Land Use Plans in Rapidly Growing***
397 ***Communities: Honoring Community Open Space Values and Strategically***
398 ***Locating Development – 4.5 hours***
399 ***Critical Lands Conservation in Utah – 4 hours***
400 ***Evaluation of the Therapeutic Gardens at Salt Lake Regional Hospital – 7***
401 ***hours***
402 ***From Copperton to Daybreak and Beyond – Kennecott’s Historic Role in***
403 ***Cutting Edge Town Planning – 9 hours***

404 ***Building as Landscape: The Collaborative Process, Design and***
405 ***Construction of the Conference Center Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day***
406 ***Saints – 4 hours***

407 ***Adopting a Landscape of One’s Own – 4.5 hours***

408

409 **Florida Chambers Environmental Network**

410 ***Environmental Permitting School – 13 hours***

411 ***Avoiding the Politics of Water Shortage – 4 hours***

412

413 Ms. Walter advised the board that the provider was an approved provider and
414 she had reviewed the applications and they are complete and she confirmed with
415 Alex that they do have an evaluation form.

416

417 **MOTION:** Ms. Walter made a motion to approve the courses as submitted.

418

419 **SECOND:** Mr. Graham seconded the motion and the motion passed
420 unanimously.

421

422 **LSI Greenlee Lighting**

423 ***Illuminating Landscape and Architectural Features – 4 hours***

424

425 Ms. Walter advised the board that the application is a course renewal from
426 Greenlee Lighting. Ms. Walter stated that she took the course last year and the
427 application was complete.

428

429 **MOTION:** Mr. Graham made a motion to approve the course as presented.

430

431 **SECOND:** Ms. Gillick seconded the motion and the motion passed
432 unanimously.

433

434 **Symmes Maine & McKee Associates, Inc. – Provider Application**

435

436 Ms. Walter advised the board that the board had previously discussed amending
437 the rule to state that the provider needs to provide credentials about their
438 company. She stated that she had been searching the Internet to obtain the
439 information. She stated that this applicant was approved by AIA and the
440 application is complete.

441

442 **MOTION:** Ms. Marshall-Beasley made a motion to approve the provider
443 application as presented.

444

445 **SECOND:** Mr. Graham seconded the motion and the motion passed
446 unanimously.

447

448 Ms. Marshall-Beasley asked Ms. Edwards to include the requirement to provide
449 credentials in the rule.

450
451 Ms. Edwards stated that she would contact Ms. Walter to discuss exactly how
452 much information needs to be provided.

453
454 **Irrigation Association – Provider Application**

455
456 Ms. Walter stated that the provider application from Irrigation Association was
457 complete and she obtained additional information from their web site and she
458 recommended approval.

459
460 **MOTION:** Mr. Graham made a motion to approve the provider application for
461 Irrigation Association.

462
463 **SECOND:** Ms. Marshall-Beasley seconded the motion and the motion passed
464 unanimously.

465
466 **New Business**

467
468 Ms. Walter advised the board that between the continuing education application
469 review and the Florida Building Commission that she is having a conflict with her
470 time. She asked the board if someone else on the board could take over or they
471 could be a designated back up.

472
473 Ms. Marshall- Beasley stated that she would gladly be back up for the continuing
474 education review.

475
476 Ms. Chastain asked Ms. Walter and Ms. Marshall-Beasley if they wanted to
477 divide the applications.

478
479 They both agreed.

480
481 Ms. Walter asked that Alex would call in an emergency and check to see who
482 had the time to review the applications.

483
484 Ms. Walter advised the board that RedVector.com is an approved provider. She
485 stated that they had submitted and approved on the last board agenda various
486 renewal courses. She stated that the Florida Landscape Architect Laws and
487 Rules course which was previously approved was not included in the agenda
488 items. Ms. Walter stated that it was an oversight and the provider has been
489 offering the course. She stated that the provider is asking that the course be
490 approved retroactive.

491
492 Mr. Davis asked Ms. Edwards if the board could approve the course retroactive.

493 Ms. Edwards asked if the provider forgot to send the course or did the continuing
494 education area fail to include it in the agenda material.

495
496 Ms. Gillick stated that she agreed with Ms. Edwards if it was Red Vector's fault
497 the board should not approve the course.

498
499 Ms. Walter advised the board that she had correspondence from Alex and she
500 had informed the provider that the course was approved and she had not
501 submitted the course to the board for approval and it was an oversight on her
502 part.

503
504 **MOTION:** Ms. Marshall-Beasley made a motion to approve the course
505 retroactive.

506
507 **SECOND:** Mr. Graham seconded the motion and the motion passed
508 unanimously.

509
510 Ms. Marshall-Beasley advised the board that she gives Alex a lot of credit for
511 owning up to her mistake and for figuring out how to resolve it.

512
513 Ms. Chastain stated that she would pass that message on to Alex.

514
515 Ms. Chastain advised the board that she checked with Ms. Estes about the
516 applications and all applicants are checked against the complaint screen.

517
518 **Old Business**

519
520 Ms. Chastain asked the board if everyone had received the brochure from David
521 Nam and what the board's opinion was of the brochure. She stated that she was
522 not familiar with the board's logo that was on the brochure and she would send it
523 to the Department's Communications office for approval. She asked if the board
524 agreed to provide funds for the distribution of the brochure. She stated that Mr.
525 Nam wanted the board to distribute them to the licensees and regulatory
526 agencies. Ms. Chastain stated that she felt it was not a large number maybe
527 2000 and she stated she would check with the print shop on the cost.

528
529 Mr. Graham asked Ms. Chastain if what the size of the brochure would be
530 because the lettering was too small to read.

531
532 Ms. Chastain stated that she would share the board's concerns to Mr. Nam.

533
534 Mr. Davis stated that he had a call to hang on to his Maestre material.

535
536 Ms. Edwards stated that she had determined that the Maestre order could be
537 entered as the board determined was appropriate at the last meeting.

538 Ms. Edwards advised the board that the rules for discipline provide for penalties
539 that cannot be imposed under the statutes. She stated there is a \$5000.00
540 penalty limit and there are rules that provide for penalties of \$10,000.00 that
541 cannot be imposed.

542

543 Mr. Davis advised the board that the next meeting would be July 30, 2004 in
544 Daytona Beach at the ASLA conference.

545

546 **MOTION:** Ms. Gillick made a motion to adjourn.

547

548 **SECOND:** Mr. Graham seconded the motion and the motion passed
549 unanimately.

550

551 The meeting adjourned at 11:20 a.m.

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582