

FLORIDA STATE BOXING COMMISSION
GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
BOARD CONFERENCE ROOM
1940 NORTH MONROE STREET
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-1016

October 11, 2012 at 9:30 a.m.

CALL TO ORDER

Dr. Williams called the meeting to order October 11, 2012 at 9:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Dr. Mark Williams

MEMBERS PRESENT BY PHONE

Dr. Wayne Kearney
Mr. Antonius DeSisto
Mr. Marco Lopez

OTHERS PRESENT

Tom Molloy, Executive Director
Christa Patterson, Assistant Executive Director
Lina Hurtado, Government Analyst II
R. Kathleen Brown-Blake, Prosecuting Attorney
Tom Barnhart, Assistant Attorney General
Roger Maas, Prosecuting Attorney
Michael Gattuso- Global Fighting Solutions (by phone)
Alice Downs, AAMMA
Larry Downs, Jr., AAMMA
Corey Shafer, ISKA
Erica White, Prosecuting Attorney
Wayne Bermudez- World Striking Challenge
Luis Fernandez- World Sticking Challenge
Todd Harlib- USA Boxing (by phone)

**REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF RULE DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED
RULEMAKING, RULE 61K1-4.028, FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE**

61K1-4.028 Tournaments

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that the proposed rule amendment to 61K1-4.028, F.A.C, was included in the agenda materials

Dr. Williams asked the commission if there were any comments and no one responded.

Ms. Brown-Blake opened the floor for public comment.

After discussion and public comment, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to open rule 61K1-4.028, F.A.C., for rule development.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REVIEW AND VOTE ON PROPOSED RULES

61K1-1, F.A.C. Repeals

Ms. Brown-Blake asked commission to consider a vote to publish the language.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to publish the language.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Chapter 61K1-3, F.A.C

- **61K1-3.002 Promoters and Matchmakers; Licensing and Bond; Duties and Conduct.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.003 Concessionaire; License; Bond.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.004 Physician; License and Duties; Authority.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61 K1-3.005 Managers; License.**

After discussion, the following motions was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.007 Participant; License; Conduct and Other Requirements.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.
SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.008 Judge; License and Duties.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.
SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.009 Announcer; License and Duties.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.
SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.010 Timekeeper or Knockdown Timekeeper; License and Duties.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.
SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.011 Second; License and Duties.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.
SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.012 Referee; License and Duties.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.
SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.013 Trainer; License and Conduct.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.
SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-3.014 Booking Agent, Representative of Booking Agent; License.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake opened the floor for public comment and public comment was heard by the commission.

Chapter 61K1-4, F.A.C

Ms. Brown-Blake opened the floor for public comments and public comments were heard by the commission.

- **61K1-4.006 Physician and Emergency Medical Technician Requirements.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-4.020 Kickboxing Weight Classes; Weigh-In.**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **61K1-4.021 Kickboxing Conduct of Bout; Rounds.**

After discussion, the following motions was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the language with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF APPLICATION REVISIONS

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that the revised application had been previously considered by the commission: however, additional edits where required. She reviewed each of the forms with the commission.

Ms. Brown-Blake opened the floor for public comments and public comment was heard by the commission.

- **Amateur Sanctioning Organizations- Form # DBPR-FSBC-01**

After discussion the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the revised application with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **Application for Licensure, Participant - Form # DBPR-FSBC-02**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the revised application with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **Application for Licensure, Concessionaire/ Manager - Form # DBPR-FSBC-03**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the revised application with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **Application for Licensure, General- Form # DBPR-FSBC-04**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the revised application with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **Application for Licensure, Physician- Form # DBPR-FSBC-05**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the revised application with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **Application for Licensure, Trainer/ Referee-Form # DBPR-FSBC-06**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the revised application with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- **Application for Licensure, Promoter- Form # DBPR-FSBC-07**

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the revised application with modifications.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COST (SERC)

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that the SERC checklist is used to determine if proposed changes would pose an economic impact to small business.

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that the proposed rules were previously reviewed at the May 9, 2012 commission meeting. She asked the commission to make a motion to approve the SERC checklists and she reviewed each checklist with the commission.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that the following rules did not have an economic impact.

61K1-1.002 Definitions

61K1-1.0024 Code of Conduct for Commissioners, Commission Staff, and Commission Officials

61K1-1.0025 Executive Director, Duties and Responsibilities

61K1-1.0026 Approval of Matches, Issuance of Permits, Assignment of Event Officials

61K1-1.050 Method of Payment

61K1-1.070 Administrative Complaints

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve that no SERC is required.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that the commission had previously voted to repeal the following rules back in March 2011.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that the repeal had no economic impact.

61K1-1001 Purpose, Applicability and Scope of Rules

61K1-1.0027 District Coordinators, Duties and Responsibilities

61K1-10028 Chief Inspector, Inspector, duties and Responsibilities

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Following review and discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC for 61K1-1.001, F.A.C.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.001, F.A.C.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that the economic impact will not exceed the \$200,000 threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.002, F.A.C.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 economic threshold.

After discussion the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.003, F.A.C.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.004, F.A.C.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.005, F.A.C.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that there was no economic impact.

After review, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.006, F.A.C.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.007, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.008, F.A.C.

Ms. Brown-Blake stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.009, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.010, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.011, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.012, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.013, F.A.C., and stated there is no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.013, F.A.C., and stated the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 economic threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.014, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.015, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.016, F.A.C., and stated the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.0165, F.A.C., and stated that was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.017, F.A.C., and stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.018, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 economic threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.019, F.A.C., and stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.020, F.A.C., and stated that there is no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.021, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake briefly explained the SERC for 61K1-3.022, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.023, F.A.C., and stated the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.024, F.A.C., and stated that there is no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.025, F.A.C., and stated there is no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.026, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 economic threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.027, F.A.C., and stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.028, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-3.029, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERCs for the following rules:

61K1-3.030 Boxing Conducts of bouts; Rounds;

61K1- 3.031 Boxing Scoring;
61K1-3.032 Kickboxing Weight Classes;
61K1-3.033 Kickboxing Participants' Apparel;
61K1-3.034 Kickboxing Bandages and Handwraps; Gloves;
61k1-3.035 Kickboxing Conduct of Bout; Rounds;
61K1-3.036 Kickboxing Scoring;
61K1-3.037 Mixed Martial Arts Weight Classes;
61K1-3.038 Mixed Martial Arts Participants' Apparel;
61K1-3.039 Mixed Martial Arts Bandages and Handwraps; Gloves;
61K1-3040 Mixed Martial Arts Conduct of Bout; Rounds;
61K1-3.041 Mixed Martial Arts Scoring;

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERCs.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.001, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 economic threshold.

After discussion the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERCs for the following rules:

61K1-4.002 Compliance Checks
61K1-4.004 Emergency Equipment; Other Requirements
61K1-4.005 Arena Equipment; Ring Requirements
61K1-4.011 Unprofessional or Unethical Conduct
61K1-4.013 Notices of Non- Compliance

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.006, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact will not exceed the \$200,000 threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.007, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.009, F.A.C., and stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.012, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.014, F.A.C., and stated that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.015, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERCs for the following rules:

- 61K1-4.016 Boxing Weight Classes; Weigh- In
- 61K1-4.017 Boxing Conduct of Bouts; Rounds
- 61K1-4.019 Boxing Bandages; Handwraps; Gloves

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.018, F.A.C., and stated there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC's for the following rules:

61K1-4.020 Kickboxing Weight Classes; Weigh- In
61K1-4.021 Kickboxing Conduct of Bouts; Rounds
61K1-4.023 Kickboxing Bandages; Handwraps; Gloves

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that there was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.022, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact would no exceed the \$200,000 economic threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERCs for the following rules:

61K1-4.024 Mixed Martial Arts Weight Classes; Weigh- In
61K1-4.025 Mixed Martial Arts Conduct of Bouts; Rounds
61K1-4.027 Mixed Martial Arts Bandages; Handwraps; Gloves

Ms. Brown-Blake advised the commission that was no economic impact.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Kathleen Brown-Blake reviewed with the commission the SERC for 61K1-4.026, F.A.C., and stated that the economic impact would not exceed the \$200,000 threshold.

After discussion, the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the SERC.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION OF AMATEUR SACTIONING ORGANIZATION KICKBOXING APPLICATION- Global Fighting Solutions

Dr. Williams asked for staff recommendation regarding Global Fighting Solutions application.

Ms. Hurtado reported that the staff recommendation was to approve the application for Global Fighting Solutions as an amateur Kickboxing organization.

After discussion and review the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Lopez made a motion to approve the staff recommendation to approve the application of Global Fighting Solutions as an amateur kickboxing sanctioning organization.

SECOND: Mr. DeSisto seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION OF AMATEUR NEW SPORT PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY WORLD STRIKING CHALLENGE (WSC)

Mr. Fernandez, a representative of World Striking Challenge was present at the meeting and addressed the commission regarding a new sport proposal.

Mr. Lopez asked Mr. Molloy for his input regarding the sport. Mr. Molloy stated that he was concerned about the size of the gloves. Mr. Molloy stated that the gloves were acceptable as long as they were within the weights.

Dr. Williams recommended that WSC comply to current MMA rules and eliminate the rules that would not apply.

Mr. Barnhart asked about the scoring system and Dr. Williams recommended a pre-fight meeting with the officials to educate them about the rules and scoring system.

Ms. Patterson stated that they will have to submit a promoter application for professional and an application for sanctioning organization for amateur events.

OLD/NEW BUSINESS

Prosecuting Attorney Status Report

Mr. Maas was present at the meeting and advised the commission that there is an open case at DOAH.

Executive Director Report

Mr. Molloy thanked Ms. Brown-Blake for her hard work and assistance to the Commission.

There being no other business, the meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m.